




FOR IMMEDIATE RELEASE:
Wednesday, February 3, 2010

FOR FURTHER INFORMATION:
Andrew Kohut, Director
Michael Remez, Senior Writer

Most Say Passage of Health Care Legislation Unlikely
PUBLIC FOCUS STILL ON HAITI; MEDIA SHIFTS TO STATE OF UNION

In a week when the media focused heavily on Barack Obama’s first State of the Union address and the state of the economy, Americans continued to track news about the earthquake in Haiti more closely than any other major news story.




Four-in-ten say they followed news about the aftermath of the earthquake and relief efforts “most closely” last week, far more than said the same about the debate over health care reform (18%) or reports about the condition of the U.S. economy (15%). Fewer than one-in-ten (8%) say they followed news about the State of the Union speech more closely than any other story, according to the latest News Interest Index survey, conducted Jan. 29 to Feb. 1 among 1,020 adults by the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press.

The media, on the other hand, gave the most coverage to the president’s speech (19%) and news about the economic crisis (18%), according to the separate analysis by the Pew Research Center’s Project for Excellence in Journalism. Reporting on the aftermath of the Haiti earthquake made up 11% of the newshole examined.

The media, on the other hand, gave the most coverage to the president’s speech (19%) and news about the economic crisis (18%), according to the separate analysis by the Pew Research Center’s Project for Excellence in Journalism. Reporting on the aftermath of the Haiti earthquake made up 11% of the newshole examined.

And, two weeks after Republican Scott Brown won the Massachusetts special election for Edward M. Kennedy’s Senate seat, 60% of Americans say they do not think health care legislation will pass this year. That’s down slightly from 67% just after the special election, but

still a stark reversal from immediately before the Jan. 19 vote. At that point, 57% said they thought legislation would pass this year, while 33% said they thought it would not. About one-in-ten (11%) now say they do not know, up from 5% one week earlier.



Among those following the health care debate very closely, 56% say they think legislation will not pass this year, while 35% think it will. That represents a significant change from one week earlier,

when 73% of that group said legislation was not likely to pass and 23% thought it would. Among those following the debate less closely, views are largely unchanged from one week earlier: 63% say legislation won't pass and 26% say that it will. Just before the Massachusetts election, 54% of this group said health care legislation would pass this year, while 34% said it would not.

The views of those who watched Obama's speech – which included a pitch to lawmakers to complete action on the legislation – are largely the same as the views of those following the debate very closely: 55% say legislation will not pass this year; 36% think it will. Among those who did not watch the speech, 64% say legislation will not be enacted this year, while 24% say that it will.


More than four-in-ten (45%) say they watched Obama's speech, while a narrow majority (55%) says they did not. More than half of Democrats (55%) say they watched the speech, compared with 38% of Republicans and 41% of independents.

Crisis in Haiti Continues to Unfold

Though four-in-ten Americans say they are following the aftermath of the Jan. 12 earthquake in Haiti more closely than any other major news story, the percentage tracking this news very closely has dropped since the week the quake hit. Currently, 45% say they are following this story very closely, down from 60% that first week and 50% the following week.

More than four-in-ten (45%) say they followed news about the condition of the economy very closely last week. The economic crisis accounted for 18% of the newshole. About four-in-ten (39%) say they followed the debate over health care reform very closely, a level little changed in recent weeks. While the health care debate was a significant part of assessments of

Obama’s speech and his first year in office, stories that focused primarily on this debate made up just 3% of the coverage analyzed by PEJ.



A third of Americans (33%) say they followed news about Obama’s State of the Union speech very closely, a level comparable to the 37% that said they followed his first speech to Congress on Feb. 24, 2009 very closely. In early 2008, just 18% said they followed George W. Bush’s last State of the Union address very closely. This year, 8% say they followed the speech more closely than any other major story; reporting on the speech made up 19% of the newshole.

About two-in-ten (21%) say they followed news about the widespread recall of Toyota cars and trucks – and a temporary halt to sales of certain models – because of problems with sudden acceleration. Just 5% say this is the story they followed most closely. Toyota’s troubles made up 4% of the newshole.

Similarly, 23% say they tracked developments in the war in Iraq very closely last week. News about the latest bombings in Iraq accounted for just 1% of the newshole; 4% say this was the news they followed most closely last week.


Views about Obama Mostly Stable, But Somewhat More Positive

While more than half of Americans continue to say their opinion of Obama has not changed recently, a slightly higher number than one week earlier says their opinion has grown more favorable.

In polling conducted shortly after the State of the Union address, 18% say their views have become more positive, 22% say they have become more negative and 57% say their views have not changed in recent weeks. One week earlier—

following Republican Scott Brown's win in the special Senate election in Massachusetts – the balance of opinion was more negative. In polling conducted Jan. 22-25, 8% said their views of Obama had grown more positive, while a third (33%) said they had become more negative.

On balance, women's views have become more favorable in recent weeks. In early December 2009, 10% of women said their opinion of Obama had recently become more positive. The proportion was virtually unchanged in late January (9%), but 21% now say their opinion has become more favorable in recent weeks. In late January, 31% of women said they had come to think more negatively of the president in recent weeks. That fell to 19% in the most recent survey.



More than half of Republicans (54%) said in late January that their views of Obama had become less favorable in recent weeks. That number fell 15 points to 39% in the current survey.

Those who say they are following the debate over health care reform very closely also are more likely to say their views have become more positive: 26% say their views have become more favorable, while 30% say they have become less favorable. One week earlier, 11% said their views had become more favorable, while 42% said they had become less so.

In Recent Weeks, Opinion Of Obama Has Become...						
	Dec 4-7		Jan 22-25		Jan 29-Feb 1	
	More favor- <u>able</u> %	Less favor- <u>able</u> %	More favor- <u>able</u> %	Less favor- <u>able</u> %	More favor- <u>able</u> %	Less favor- <u>able</u> %
Total	12	27	8	33	18	22
Men	14	31	7	35	16	25
Women	10	24	9	31	21	19
18-39	13	23	5	27	16	16
40-64	11	28	12	31	21	24
65+	12	35	7	45	19	29
Republican	2	48	1	54	7	39
Democrat	23	7	18	12	32	7
Independent	8	31	7	34	13	28

Q5. Percent saying their opinion of Obama has not changed in recent weeks not shown.

Most Hear about Edwards Family Saga

About three-quarters of Americans say they heard at least a little about John and Elizabeth Edwards separating after the former senator acknowledged fathering a child with his mistress. About a quarter (26%) say they heard a lot about this, while 50% say they heard a little. Women are more likely than men to have heard a lot about this (29% vs. 22%).

	A lot	A little	Nothing at all	DK
	%	%	%	%
John Edwards' affair and separation	26	50	23	1=100
Apple's new iPad tablet device	24	44	31	1=100
Death of author J.D. Salinger	17	46	36	*=100
Conservative activists' plot to tamper with senator's phones	9	27	63	1=100

Q6a-d. Figures may not add to 100% because of rounding.

About a quarter (24%) say they heard a lot about the unveiling of Apple's new iPad tablet device, while 44% say they heard a little about this. Significantly more had heard about Apple's introduction of its iPhone in mid-2007 (46% a lot, 37% a little). Though young people often lag older Americans in their news awareness, 27% of those under 40 heard a lot about this latest Apple product, compared with 23% of those age 40-64 and 20% of those 65 and older.

Fewer than two-in-ten (17%) say they heard a lot about the death of “Catcher in the Rye” author J.D. Salinger, while 46% say they heard a little about this. More than a third (36%) say they heard nothing at all.

Just 9% say they heard a lot about the arrest of four young conservative activists in New Orleans who apparently tried to gain access to the phones in Sen. Mary Landrieu’s local offices; 27% heard a little about this, but 63% say they heard nothing at all. One of those arrested – James O’Keefe – gained prominence last fall after releasing undercover video that showed employees of Acorn, a community organizing group, appearing to give advice to a couple posing as a pimp and a prostitute. Three-in-ten (31%) had heard a lot about that story in a survey conducted Sept. 18-21, while 25% had heard a little.

These findings are based on the most recent installment of the weekly News Interest Index, an ongoing project of the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press. The index, building on the Center’s longstanding research into public attentiveness to major news stories, examines news interest as it relates to the news media’s coverage. The weekly survey is conducted in conjunction with The Project for Excellence in Journalism’s News Coverage Index, which monitors the news reported by major newspaper, television, radio and online news outlets on an ongoing basis. In the most recent week, data relating to news coverage were collected from Jan. 25-31, 2010, and survey data measuring public interest in the top news stories of the week were collected Jan. 29-Feb. 1, 2010, from a nationally representative sample of 1,020 adults.

About the News Interest Index

The *News Interest Index* is a weekly survey conducted by the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press aimed at gauging the public's interest in and reaction to major news events.

This project has been undertaken in conjunction with the Project for Excellence in Journalism's *News Coverage Index*, an ongoing content analysis of the news. The News Coverage Index catalogues the news from top news organizations across five major sectors of the media: newspapers, network television, cable television, radio and the internet. Each week (from Monday through Sunday) PEJ compiles this data to identify the top stories for the week. The News Interest Index survey collects data from Friday through Monday to gauge public interest in the most covered stories of the week.

Results for the weekly surveys are based on landline telephone interviews among a nationwide sample of approximately 1,000 adults, 18 years of age or older, conducted under the direction of ORC (Opinion Research Corporation). For results based on the total sample, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling is plus or minus 4 percentage points.

In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls, and that results based on subgroups will have larger margins of error.

For more information about the Project for Excellence in Journalism's News Coverage Index, go to www.journalism.org.

About the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press

The Pew Research Center for the People & the Press is an independent opinion research group that studies attitudes toward the press, politics and public policy issues. We are sponsored by The Pew Charitable Trusts and are one of seven projects that make up the Pew Research Center, a nonpartisan "fact tank" that provides information on the issues, attitudes and trends shaping America and the world.

The Center's purpose is to serve as a forum for ideas on the media and public policy through public opinion research. In this role it serves as an important information resource for political leaders, journalists, scholars, and public interest organizations. All of our current survey results are made available free of charge.

All of the Center's research and reports are collaborative products based on the input and analysis of the entire Center staff consisting of:

Andrew Kohut, Director
Scott Keeter, Director of Survey Research
Carroll Doherty and Michael Dimock, Associate Directors
Michael Remez, Senior Writer
Robert Suls, Shawn Neidorf, Leah Christian, Jocelyn Kiley and Alec Tyson, Research Associates
Jacob Poushter, Research Assistant

For more information about the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press' weekly News Interest Index, go to www.people-press.org.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER FOR THE PEOPLE & THE PRESS
January 29-February 1, 2010 NEWS INTEREST INDEX OMNIBUS SURVEY
FINAL TOPLINE
N=1,020

Q.1 In the past few weeks, have you come to have a MORE favorable opinion of Barack Obama, a LESS favorable opinion of Barack Obama, or hasn't your opinion of him changed lately?

	<u>More favorable</u>	<u>Less favorable</u>	<u>Opinion has not changed</u>	<u>DK/ Refused</u>
Barack Obama				
January 29-February 1, 2010	18	22	57	3
January 22-25, 2010	8	33	55	4
December 4-7, 2009	12	27	57	3
September 11-14, 2009	19	26	53	1
June 25-28, 2009	13	20	63	4
May 21-24, 2009	18	21	60	1
April 9-13, 2009	20	18	60	2
March 20-23, 2009	22	19	56	3
February 27-March 2, 2009	23	20	56	1
February 6-9, 2009	23	16	60	1
2008 CAMPAIGN				
TREND FOR COMPARISON:¹				
October 31-November 3, 2008	25	25	49	1
October 24-27, 2008	24	21	52	3
October 17-20, 2008	33	23	43	1
October 10-13, 2008	29	21	48	2
October 3-6, 2008	28	23	47	2
September 26-29, 2008	31	23	45	1
September 19-22, 2008	25	20	53	2
September 12-15, 2008	20	20	58	2
September 5-8, 2008	20	20	59	1
August 29-31, 2008	29	19	50	2
August 22-25, 2008	22	21	54	3
August 15-18, 2008	16	21	60	3
August 8-11, 2008	15	18	64	3
August 1-4, 2008	16	22	60	2
July 25-28, 2008	17	21	59	3
July 18-21, 2008	16	22	60	2
July 11-14, 2008	15	17	64	4
July 3-7, 2008	17	17	62	4
June 27-30, 2008	21	19	56	4
June 20-23, 2008	16	16	66	2
June 13-16, 2008	17	15	64	4
June 6-9, 2008	19	17	61	3
May 30-June 2, 2008	17	21	58	4
May 22-25, 2008	18	20	60	2
May 16-19, 2008	19	19	59	3
May 9-12, 2008	20	23	55	2

¹ For March 20-24, 2008, through October 31-November 3, 2008, the introduction read, "In the past few days." For September 12-15 through October 31-November 3, 2008, the question asked respondents to "Please think about each of the following candidates," Barack Obama, John McCain, Joe Biden and Sarah Palin in the 2008 presidential and vice presidential elections. For June 13-16, 2008, through September 5-8, 2008, the question was worded, "Please think about each of the following *presidential* candidates..." and asked about Barack Obama and John McCain. For March 20-24, 2008, through June 6-9, 2008, the list of presidential candidates included Hillary Clinton, Barack Obama and John McCain.

Q.1 CONTINUED...

	<u>More favorable</u>	<u>Less favorable</u>	<u>Opinion has not changed</u>	<u>DK/Refused</u>
May 2-5, 2008	11	25	59	5
April 25-28, 2008	16	24	58	2
April 18-21, 2008	18	24	54	4
March 28-31, 2008	18	27	52	3
March 20-24, 2008	22	30	46	2

Q.2 As I read a list of some stories covered by news organizations this past week, please tell me if you happened to follow each news story very closely, fairly closely, not too closely, or not at all closely. First, **[INSERT ITEM; RANDOMIZE] [IF NECESSARY “Did you follow [ITEM] very closely, fairly closely, not too closely or not at all closely?”]**

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Fairly closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all closely</u>	<u>DK/Refused</u>
a. Reports about the condition of the U.S. economy					
January 29-February 1, 2010	45	32	13	10	*
January 22-25, 2010	41	34	14	10	*
January 15-18, 2010	37	38	14	11	*
January 8-11, 2010	39	33	15	12	*
December 18-21, 2009	45	31	14	10	0
December 11-14, 2009	42	31	14	13	*
December 4-7, 2009	41	36	13	9	1
November 13-16, 2009	38	33	14	15	*
October 30-November 2, 2009	34	32	17	16	*
October 23-26, 2009	44	30	15	10	1
October 9-12, 2009	41	29	16	13	*
October 2-5, 2009	44	30	15	11	0
September 25-28, 2009	44	37	10	10	*
September 18-21, 2009	44	34	15	7	*
September 11-14, 2009	45	32	14	9	*
September 3-6, 2009	41	31	15	13	*
August 28-31, 2009	45	30	13	12	1
August 21-24, 2009	50	27	13	10	1
August 14-17, 2009	41	37	11	12	*
August 7-10, 2009	42	34	13	10	*
July 31-August 3, 2009	46	34	11	8	*
July 24-27, 2009	45	35	12	8	*
July 17-20, 2009	43	35	11	11	1
July 10-13, 2009	37	38	13	11	*
July 2-5, 2009	38	35	15	12	*
June 19-22, 2009	42	33	15	10	*
June 12-15, 2009	41	35	12	12	*
June 5-8, 2009	41	34	11	14	*
May 29-June 1, 2009	43	37	11	8	*
May 21-24, 2009	44	35	13	9	*
May 15-18, 2009	44	35	12	8	*
May 8-11, 2009	42	34	12	12	*
May 1-4, 2009	47	36	11	5	1
April 17-20, 2009	52	30	10	7	1
April 9-13, 2009	48	29	13	10	0
March 27-30, 2009	48	32	10	10	*
March 20-23, 2009	52	34	8	6	*
March 13-16, 2009	48	33	9	10	*

Q.2 CONTINUED...

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Fairly closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all closely</u>	<u>DK/ Refused</u>
February 27-March 2, 2009	56	30	8	6	*
February 13-16, 2009	55	29	10	6	*
January 30-February 2, 2009	52	31	12	5	*
January 23-26, 2009	57	30	8	5	0
January 16-19, 2009	43	35	13	9	*
January 2-4, 2009	42	36	15	7	*
December 12-15, 2008	51	33	9	7	*
December 5-8, 2008	42	38	13	7	*
November 21-24, 2008	59	24	9	8	*
November 14-17, 2008	56	29	9	6	*
November 7-10, 2008	54	31	8	7	*
October 31-November 3, 2008	63	27	6	4	*
October 24-27, 2008	52	35	7	5	1
October 17-20, 2008	62	29	6	3	*
October 10-13, 2008	65	25	7	3	*
October 3-6, 2008	69	23	5	3	*
September 26-29, 2008	70	22	5	3	*
September 19-22, 2008	56	27	12	5	*
September 5-8, 2008	44	33	16	7	*
August 29-31, 2008	41	34	13	11	1
August 15-18, 2008	39	36	15	10	*
August 8-11, 2008	39	35	16	10	*
August 1-4, 2008	47	34	11	8	0
July 25-28, 2008	46	32	10	12	*
July 18-21, 2008	45	33	13	9	*
July 11-14, 2008	44	33	12	10	1
June 27-30, 2008	49	31	12	7	1
June 13-16, 2008	42	33	14	11	*
May 9-12, 2008	45	31	13	11	*
May 2-5, 2008	43	31	15	10	1
April 18-21, 2008	41	35	13	10	1
April 4-7, 2008	39	37	12	12	*
March 28-31, 2008	42	36	14	8	*
March 20-24, 2008	45	33	13	9	*
February 29-March 3, 2008	38	35	15	11	1
February 15-18, 2008	37	36	11	16	8
February 1-4, 2008	40	37	14	8	1
January 18-21, 2008	42	31	16	11	*
January 11-14, 2008	36	32	15	16	1
November 2-5, 2007	27	37	16	19	1
October 19-22, 2007	25	34	20	21	*
August 10-13, 2007	28	36	18	18	*
Mid-November, 2006	31	40	17	11	1
December, 2005	35	35	18	11	1
Early November, 2005	35	39	17	9	*
Mid-May, 2005	30	39	19	11	1
January, 2005	35	41	17	7	*
Mid-October, 2004	30	43	16	10	1
Early September, 2004	39	34	15	11	1
Mid-January, 2004	37	41	15	7	*
December, 2003	35	38	14	11	2
November, 2003	40	34	15	10	1
October, 2003	32	39	16	12	1

Q.2 CONTINUED...

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Fairly closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all closely</u>	<u>DK/ Refused</u>
September, 2003	39	30	18	12	1
March, 2003	40	35	16	8	1
February, 2003	42	33	15	10	*
January, 2003	40	35	13	11	1
December, 2002	38	34	17	10	1
February, 2002	35	40	15	9	1
January, 2002	30	44	16	9	1
December, 2001	37	40	13	8	2
Mid-November, 2001	41	36	15	7	1
June, 2001	24	41	18	16	1
May, 2001	34	36	15	15	0
April, 2001	36	34	16	13	1
February, 2001	30	39	18	12	1
January, 2001	32	38	17	11	2
June, 1995	26	41	22	11	*
March, 1995	27	45	19	9	*
February, 1995	23	41	22	13	1
December, 1994	28	43	20	9	*
October, 1994	27	40	20	12	1
June, 1994	25	42	23	10	*
May, 1994	33	40	16	10	1
January, 1994	34	39	16	10	1
Early January, 1994	36	44	13	7	*
December, 1993	35	41	15	8	1
October, 1993	33	38	20	9	*
September, 1993	37	40	14	8	1
Early September, 1993	39	39	14	9	*
August, 1993	41	36	14	9	*
May, 1993	37	38	18	6	1
February, 1993	49	36	10	5	*
January, 1993	42	39	12	7	*
September, 1992	43	37	13	6	1
May, 1992	39	39	15	6	1
March, 1992	47	38	11	4	*
February, 1992	47	37	10	6	*
January, 1992	44	40	11	5	*
October, 1991	36	38	16	9	1
b. Debate over health care reform					
January 29-February 1, 2010	39	27	16	17	*
January 22-25, 2010	41	32	15	11	*
January 15-18, 2010	37	27	19	18	*
January 8-11, 2010	39	26	20	15	*
December 18-21, 2009	42	27	16	14	*
December 11-14, 2009	42	30	15	14	*
December 4-7, 2009	42	28	15	14	1
November 20-23, 2009	42	29	14	15	*
November 13-16, 2009	38	26	17	17	1
November 6-9, 2009	35	28	15	22	1
October 30-November 2, 2009	32	26	19	23	*
October 23-26, 2009	40	27	16	17	1
October 16-19, 2009	36	28	15	21	*
October 9-12, 2009	37	29	14	19	1

Q.2 CONTINUED...

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Fairly closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all closely</u>	<u>DK/Refused</u>
October 2-5, 2009	39	29	16	16	*
September 25-28, 2009	45	31	12	12	0
September 18-21, 2009	44	26	19	11	*
September 11-14, 2009	44	27	14	15	*
September 3-6, 2009	40	26	16	17	0
August 28-31, 2009	40	28	17	14	1
August 21-24, 2009	49	24	12	15	1
August 14-17, 2009	39	31	15	15	1
TREND FOR COMPARISON:²					
August 7-10, 2009: <i>Debate in Washington over health care reform</i>	40	27	17	15	1
July 31-August 3, 2009	47	26	14	13	1
July 24-27, 2009	44	28	15	13	*
July 17-20, 2009	33	31	13	21	2
July 10-13, 2009	24	29	20	27	*
June 26-29, 2009	29	26	20	25	1
June 19-22, 2009	28	28	20	23	*
June 12-15, 2009	29	26	18	26	*
May 15-18, 2009	25	30	20	24	*
March 6-9, 2009: <i>Obama proposing a \$630 billion fund for overhauling health care</i>	41	32	13	14	*
September, 1994: <i>The Clinton administration's health care reform proposals</i>	32	41	18	9	*
June, 1994	34	40	19	7	*
May, 1994	37	37	16	9	1
January, 1994	38	38	15	9	*
Early January, 1994	40	40	14	6	*
December, 1993	45	35	12	7	1
October, 1993	44	32	17	6	1
September, 1993	49	34	11	6	*
August, 1993: <i>Reports about the White House task force on health care reform headed by Hillary Clinton</i>	27	32	25	15	1
June, 1993	28	38	19	15	*
May, 1993	30	30	25	14	1
c. The aftermath of a major earthquake and relief efforts in Haiti					
January 29-February 1, 2010	45	38	13	4	*
January 22-25, 2010	50	40	8	3	*
January 15-18, 2010: <i>A major earthquake in Haiti</i>	60	28	8	4	*
TREND FOR COMPARISON:					
August 14-17, 2009: <i>A typhoon that caused flooding and mudslides in Taiwan</i>	7	21	30	41	1
April 9-13, 2009: <i>A major earthquake in Italy</i>	18	35	25	22	*
May 16-19, 2008: <i>The earthquake in China</i>	30	41	17	12	*

² June 12-15, 2009 through August 7-10, 2009 asked about: "Debate in Washington over health care reform." May 15-18, 2009 asked about: "Debate over Barack Obama's health care plans." March 6-9, 2009 asked about: "Barack Obama proposing a \$630 billion fund for overhauling the U.S. health care system." September, 1993 through September, 1994 asked about: "The Clinton Administration's health care reform proposals." May, 1993 through August, 1993 asked about: "Reports about the White House task force on health care reform headed by Hillary Clinton."

Q.2 CONTINUED...

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Fairly closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all closely</u>	<u>DK/Refused</u>
May 9-12, 2008: <i>Reports about the cyclone that hit Burma</i>	23	35	23	19	*
November 2-5, 2007: <i>The impact of Hurricane Noel on the Bahamas and Cuba</i>	11	22	31	35	1
September 7-10, 2007: <i>The impact of Hurricanes Felix and Henriette on Mexico and Central America</i>	14	29	29	27	1
August 24-27, 2007: <i>The destruction caused by Hurricane Dean in Mexico and the Caribbean</i>	18	39	24	18	1
October, 2005: <i>The earthquake in Pakistan</i>	22	39	23	16	*
January 2005: <i>The earthquake and tsunami in the Indian Ocean</i>	58	32	7	3	*
January 2004: <i>The earthquake in Iran</i>	16	34	31	19	*
February 2001: <i>The earthquake in India</i>	15	33	31	20	1
March 2000: <i>Flood rescue efforts in Mozambique</i>	10	26	30	34	*
September 1999: <i>The earthquake in Turkey</i>	27	37	23	12	1
November 1998: <i>Hurricane Mitch and the rain and mudslides in Central America</i>	36	36	16	11	1
February 1995: <i>The earthquake in Japan</i>	25	47	20	8	*
May 1991: <i>The cyclone that devastated Bangladesh</i>	23	36	23	17	1
July 1990: <i>The earthquake in Iran</i>	20	36	28	16	*
d. Toyota's recall and temporary halt of sales of many cars and trucks because of problems with sudden acceleration					
January 29-February 1, 2010	21	30	22	26	1
TREND FOR COMPARISON:					
Early October, 2000: <i>The recall of defective Firestone tires</i>	42	34	16	8	*
e. Barack Obama's State of the Union speech					
January 29-February 1, 2010	33	22	17	29	1
TREND FOR COMPARISON:					
February 27-March 2, 2009: <i>Barack Obama's first address to a joint session of Congress</i>	37	26	14	23	*
February 1-4, 2008: <i>Bush</i> ³	18	17	20	45	*
January 26-29, 2007: <i>Bush</i>	25	26	20	28	1
February, 2006: <i>Bush</i>	24	22	19	34	1
February, 2003: <i>Bush</i>	36	24	15	24	1
January, 1994: <i>Clinton</i>	26	25	18	31	*
February, 1992: <i>Bush</i>	26	26	20	27	1
f. The current situation and events in Iraq					
January 29-February 1, 2010	23	33	27	17	*
January 15-18, 2010	20	35	28	17	*
October 16-19, 2009	23	30	24	23	*

³ February 1-4, 2008 asked about "President Bush's State of the Union address." January 26-29, 2007, asked about "Reports about George Bush's State of the Union address." February, 2006 and February, 2007, asked about "George W. Bush's State of the Union address." January, 1994, asked about "Reports about Bill Clinton's State of the Union address." February, 1992 asked about "President Bush's State of the Union Address."

Q.2 CONTINUED...

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Fairly closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all closely</u>	<u>DK/Refused</u>
September 11-14, 2009	21	33	30	16	*
August 21-24, 2009	25	35	22	18	1
August 14-17, 2009	19	38	23	18	*
TREND FOR COMPARISON⁴:					
July 2-5, 2009	25	34	20	21	0
April 24-27, 2009	21	35	25	19	*
March 20-23, 2009	25	37	21	17	*
February 27-March 2, 2009	40	37	13	9	1
December 12-15, 2008	24	35	25	16	*
November 21-24, 2008	32	31	24	13	0
November 14-17, 2008	24	33	27	16	*
October 31-November 3, 2008	30	35	22	12	1
October 24-27, 2008	29	35	25	11	*
October 10-13, 2008	23	34	30	13	*
October 3-6, 2008	29	33	28	10	*
September 5-8, 2008	24	37	26	13	*
August 29-31, 2008	22	32	29	16	1
August 22-25, 2008	26	31	27	15	1
August 1-4, 2008	27	40	23	10	*
July 25-28, 2008	28	33	22	17	*
July 18-21, 2008	33	35	20	12	*
July 11-14, 2008	24	35	24	16	1
July 3-7, 2008	25	35	25	15	*
June 20-23, 2008	25	36	24	15	*
May 9-12, 2008	29	35	21	14	1
May 2-5, 2008	26	35	25	13	1
April 25-28, 2008	29	35	23	12	1
April 18-21, 2008	29	39	20	11	1
April 11-14, 2008	25	39	20	15	1
April 4-7, 2008	25	37	23	15	*
March 28-31, 2008	29	40	19	11	1
March 20-24, 2008	30	38	19	13	*
March 14-17, 2008	29	38	23	10	*
March 7-10, 2008	28	39	18	15	*
February 29-March 3, 2008	28	40	19	13	*
February 8-11, 2008	24	35	25	16	*
February 1-4, 2008	28	39	22	11	*
January 25-28, 2008	23	35	26	16	*
January 18-21, 2008	31	33	20	15	1
January 11-14, 2008	25	38	21	16	*
January 4-7, 2008	27	38	20	15	*
December 14-17, 2007	26	32	24	18	*
December 7-10, 2007	28	37	21	14	*
November 23-26, 2007	25	37	21	16	1
November 16-19, 2007	31	37	19	12	1
November 9-12, 2007	29	38	19	13	1

⁴ July 2-5, 2009 asked about: "U.S. troops withdrawing from Iraqi cities." February 27-March 2, 2009 asked about "Barack Obama's plan to withdraw most U.S. troops from Iraq by August 2010." From March 20-23, 2009 to April 24-27, 2009 and from March 30-April 2, 2007 through December 12-15, 2008 the story was listed as "The current situation and events in Iraq." From May, 2003 to March 23-26, 2007, the story was listed as "News about the current situation in Iraq." From March 20-24, 2003 to April 11-16, 2003, the story was listed as "News about the war in Iraq." From Early October, 2002, to March 13-16, 2003, the story was listed as "Debate over the possibility that the U.S. will take military action in Iraq." In Early September, 2002, the story was listed as "Debate over the possibility that the U.S. will invade Iraq."

Q.2 CONTINUED...

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Fairly closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all closely</u>	<u>DK/ Refused</u>
November 2-5, 2007	31	35	18	15	1
October 26-29, 2007	28	37	21	13	1
October 19-22, 2007	28	37	20	15	*
October 12-15, 2007	26	36	18	19	1
October 5-8, 2007	29	33	22	16	*
September 28 – October 1, 2007	30	41	18	11	*
September 21-24, 2007	32	38	17	13	*
September 14-17, 2007	31	36	18	15	0
September 7-10, 2007	32	34	20	14	*
August 30 – September 2, 2007	31	34	18	16	1
August 24-27, 2007	34	36	18	12	*
August 17-20, 2007	33	34	18	15	*
August 10-13, 2007	36	37	14	13	*
August 3-6, 2007	29	40	19	12	*
July 27-30, 2007	28	36	19	16	1
July 20-23, 2007	28	34	21	16	1
July 13-16, 2007	25	41	17	16	1
July 6-9, 2007	36	34	18	12	*
June 29-July 2, 2007	32	35	19	13	1
June 22-25, 2007	30	36	18	15	1
June 15-18, 2007	30	37	20	13	*
June 8-11, 2007	32	38	15	14	1
June 1-4, 2007	30	36	20	13	1
May 24-27, 2007	33	36	18	12	1
May 18-21, 2007	36	34	15	14	1
May 11-14, 2007	30	34	18	17	1
May 4-7, 2007	38	37	15	10	*
April 27-30, 2007	27	35	21	16	1
April 20-23, 2007	28	35	22	15	*
April 12-16, 2007	34	33	20	13	*
April 5-9, 2007	33	39	16	11	1
March 30-April 2, 2007	34	37	16	13	*
March 23-March 26, 2007	31	38	18	12	1
March 16-19, 2007	34	34	17	15	*
March 9-12, 2007	34	37	16	13	*
March 2-5, 2007	37	37	16	9	1
February 23-26, 2007	36	36	15	13	*
February 16-19, 2007	30	36	19	14	1
February 9-12, 2007	37	34	18	11	*
February 2-5, 2007	38	38	17	7	*
January 26-29, 2007	36	38	15	11	*
January 19-22, 2007	37	34	18	10	1
January 12-15, 2007	38	36	17	8	1
January, 2007	46	40	8	5	1
January 5-8, 2007	40	32	16	12	0
December, 2006	42	39	12	7	*
November 30-December 3, 2006	40	36	13	11	*
Mid-November, 2006	44	38	12	6	*
September, 2006	33	43	14	8	2
August, 2006	41	39	12	7	1
June, 2006	37	43	13	6	1
May, 2006	42	35	15	7	1
April, 2006	43	36	13	7	1

Q.2 CONTINUED...

	<u>Very closely</u>	<u>Fairly closely</u>	<u>Not too closely</u>	<u>Not at all closely</u>	<u>DK/ Refused</u>
March, 2006	43	38	12	6	1
February, 2006	39	42	12	6	1
January, 2006	40	40	12	7	1
December, 2005	45	38	11	5	1
Early November, 2005	41	40	13	6	*
Early October, 2005	43	36	15	6	*
Early September, 2005	32	40	20	7	1
July, 2005	43	37	13	6	1
Mid-May, 2005	42	42	11	5	*
Mid-March, 2005	40	39	14	5	2
February, 2005	38	45	13	4	*
January, 2005	48	37	11	4	*
December, 2004	34	44	15	6	1
Mid-October, 2004	42	38	11	8	1
Early September, 2004	47	37	9	6	1
August, 2004	39	42	12	6	1
July, 2004	43	40	11	6	*
June, 2004	39	42	12	6	1
April, 2004	54	33	8	5	*
Mid-March, 2004	47	36	12	4	1
Early February, 2004	47	38	10	4	1
Mid-January, 2004	48	39	9	4	*
December, 2003	44	38	11	6	1
November, 2003	52	33	9	5	1
September, 2003	50	33	10	6	1
Mid-August, 2003	45	39	10	5	1
Early July, 2003	37	41	13	8	1
June, 2003	46	35	13	6	*
May, 2003	63	29	6	2	*
April 11-16, 2003	47	40	10	2	1
April 2-7, 2003	54	34	9	2	1
March 20-24, 2003	57	33	7	2	1
March 13-16, 2003	62	27	6	4	1
February, 2003	62	25	8	4	1
January, 2003	55	29	10	4	2
December, 2002	51	32	10	6	1
Late October, 2002	53	33	8	5	1
Early October, 2002	60	28	6	5	1
Early September, 2002	48	29	15	6	2

Q.3 Which ONE of the stories I just mentioned have you followed most closely, or is there another story you've been following MORE closely? [DO NOT READ LIST. ACCEPT ONLY ONE RESPONSE.]

- 40 The aftermath of a major earthquake and relief efforts in Haiti
- 18 Debate over health care reform
- 15 Reports about the condition of the U.S. economy
- 8 Barack Obama's State of the Union speech
- Toyota's recall and temporary halt of sales of many cars and trucks because of problems
- 5 with sudden acceleration
- 4 The current situation and events in Iraq
- 6 Some other story (VOL.)
- 5 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)

Q.4 Thinking now about the issue of health care, from what you've seen and heard, do you think a health care reform bill will pass this year or not?

	<u>Yes, will</u>	<u>No, will not</u>	<u>DK/ Refused</u>
January 29-February 1, 2010`	29	60	11
January 22-25, 2010	27	67	5
January 15-18, 2010	57	33	10
January 8-11, 2010	59	29	11
December 18-21, 2009 ⁵	61	34	5
December 11-14, 2009	56	38	6
December 4-7, 2009	52	35	14
November 20-23, 2009	52	37	11
November 13-16, 2009	49	37	14
November 6-9, 2009	48	40	11
October 30-November 2, 2009	47	39	14
October 23-26, 2009	53	40	7
October 16-19, 2009	57	38	5
October 9-12, 2009	45	46	9

Q.5 Did you happen to watch President Obama's State of the Union address on Wednesday night, or didn't you get a chance to see it?

TREND FOR COMPARISON:

	President Obama's speech about health care <u>Sep 11-14, 2009</u>
Jan 29-Feb 1 <u>2010</u>	
45 Yes, watched	41
55 No, didn't watch	59
1 Don't know/Refused (VOL.)	*

⁵ For December 18-21, 2009 and earlier, the question asked about the chance of a health care reform bill passing "over the next year or not".

Q.6 How much if anything, have you heard about each of the following? Have you heard a lot, a little or nothing at all? **[READ AND RANDOMIZE]**

		(VOL.)			
		<u>A lot</u>	<u>A little</u>	<u>Nothing at all</u>	<u>Don't know</u>
a.	Steve Jobs unveiling Apple's new iPad tablet device January 29-February 1, 2010	24	44	31	1
	TREND FOR COMPARISON: June 29-July 2, 2007: <i>The new Apple iPhone</i>	46	37	16	1
b.	John and Elizabeth Edwards separating after he admitted fathering a child with a mistress January 29-February 1, 2010	26	50	23	1
	TREND FOR COMPARISON: May 16-19, 2008: <i>John Edwards endorsing Barack Obama for president</i>	33	46	21	*
c.	Charges that conservative activists plotted to tamper with the telephones of Louisiana Senator Mary Landrieu January 29-February 1, 2010	9	27	63	1
	TREND FOR COMPARISON: September 18-21, 2009: <i>Employees of the community organizing group "ACORN" appearing to give advice to a couple posing as a pimp and prostitute</i>	31	25	43	*
d.	The death of author J.D. Salinger, who wrote "The Catcher in the Rye" January 29-February 1, 2010	17	46	36	*