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About this Report

This report examines trends in international migrant population from 1990 to 2013 and in international remittance flows from 2000 to 2013. The migration estimates in this report refer to the total number (or cumulative “stocks”) of migrants living around the world rather than to the annual rate of migration (or current “flows”). Migration and remittance patterns are analyzed for groups of low-, middle- and high-income nations, using World Bank categories; migration patterns also are analyzed for regions and individual nations. Analysis is based on migration stock data from the United Nations and migrant remittance data from the World Bank.

Research associate Phillip Connor wrote the overview and migration sections of this report; senior writer D’Vera Cohn wrote the section on remittances, based on the analysis of research associate Ana Gonzalez-Barrera. Editorial guidance was provided by Paul Taylor, executive vice president of the Pew Research Center; Michael Dimock, director of the Pew Research Center for the People & the Press; Jeffrey S. Passel, senior demographer; and James Bell, director of international survey research. Anne Shi, research associate, number-checked the report. Eileen Patten, research analyst, formatted the report. Marcia Kramer of Kramer Editing Services copy-edited the report.

A Note on Terminology

“International migrants,” as defined by the United Nations, are those living for one year or longer in a country other than the one in which they were born. Thus, many foreign workers and international students are counted as migrants, as are refugees and, in some cases, their descendants. Total migrant stock includes unauthorized or illegal immigrants living in various countries.

The economic classification of countries used in this report follows the World Bank’s categories of low-, middle- and high-income countries based on per capita, global national income (GNI) in U.S. dollars. GNI cut points separating low-, middle-, and high-income countries are based on World Bank lending rules. The country classifications in this report use 2013 country groups; however, further comparisons using the World Bank’s country groups in 1990 produce similar patterns. For a listing of countries by their economic classification, see Appendix B.

“Remittances” are funds or other assets sent to their home countries by migrants, either themselves or in the form of compensation (wages) for border, short-term and seasonal employees. The World Bank reports only remittances sent via formal channels, such as banks and other businesses that transfer money.
This report uses international migrant data published by the U.N., which classifies migrants born in territories such as Puerto Rico (a U.S. territory) or Guadeloupe (a French territory) living in the U.S. (born in Puerto Rico) or France (born in Guadeloupe) as international migrants. Similarly, U.N. international migrant data consider people born in one of the 50 U.S. states and living in a U.S. territory as international migrants. U.S. territories (including Puerto Rico) are part of the United States, and those born in U.S. territories are U.S. citizens by birth. Migrants from U.S. territories living in the U.S. are not classified as international migrants in foreign-born population estimates published by the U.S. Census Bureau or the Pew Research Center’s Hispanic Trends Project.

About Pew Research Center

Pew Research Center is a nonpartisan fact tank that informs the public about the issues, attitudes and trends shaping America and the world. It does not take policy positions. It conducts public opinion polling, demographic research, media content analysis and other empirical social science research. The center studies U.S. politics and policy views; media and journalism; internet and technology; religion and public life; Hispanic trends; global attitudes; and U.S. social and demographic trends. All of the center’s reports are available at www.pewresearch.org. Pew Research Center is a subsidiary of The Pew Charitable Trusts.

Alan Murray, President
Jon Cohen, Vice President, Research
Elizabeth Mueller Gross, Vice President
Paul Taylor, Executive Vice President, Special Projects
Andrew Kohut, Founding Director

© Pew Research Center 2016
Table of Contents

About this Report 1
A Note on Terminology 1
About Pew Research Center 2
Table of Contents 3
Chapter 1: Overview 4
    Remittances 5
    As a Destination, the U.S. Looms Large 7
    In Other Ways, U.S. Is in the Middle of the Pack 8
    U.S. Emigrants—A Rising Number, but Still Relatively Few 9
Chapter 2: Migrant Destinations 10
    Global Patterns and Shifts 10
    Changes in Top Destination Countries 11
    Top Destinations by Percent Foreign born 13
Chapter 3: Migrant Origins 14
    Global Patterns and Shifts 14
    Changes in Top Origin Countries 15
    TopOrigins by Percent Emigrant 16
Chapter 4: Remittances 18
    Share of Remittances by Income Category 20
    Remittances as Share of GDP 20
Appendix A: Methodology 22
    Data 22
    Economic Classifications and Regions 23
    Calculations 23
Appendix B: Countries by Economic Classification 25
Appendix C: Countries by Regional Classification 27
Chapter 1: Overview

Patterns of global migration and remittances have shifted in recent decades, even as both the number of immigrants and the amount of money they send home have grown, according to a new Pew Research Center analysis of data from the United Nations and the World Bank.

A rising share of international migrants now lives in today’s high-income countries such as the United States and Germany, while a growing share was born in today’s middle-income nations such as India and Mexico, the analysis finds.

These shifts occurred as the total number of international migrants rose from 154 million in 1990 to 232 million in 2013 – but remained steady as a 3% share of the globe’s growing population.

During this period, the U.S. remained the largest destination country by far and increased its share of the world’s migrants. One-in-five (46 million) migrants now live in the U.S., compared with slightly less than one-in-six (23 million) in 1990.

The U.S. is not the only wealthy destination country whose share of the world’s migrants has increased. All told, an estimated 160 million, or 69%, of international migrants now live in high-income countries (nations with an average per capita income of $12,616 or higher), up from 87 million, or 57%, in 1990, the Pew Research analysis finds. These high-income countries, many of them in North America and Europe, may appear increasingly attractive to modern migrants, whose principal reason for moving is to pursue economic opportunity.
Where do today’s migrants come from? Increasingly, they were born in what the World Bank designates as middle-income countries, those with per capita annual income between $1,036 and $12,615. About six-in-ten (135 million) of today’s international migrants were born in such countries, compared with fewer than half (74 million) of all migrants in 1990. Over the same period, the share of immigrants born in high- as well as low-income nations has declined.¹

Remittances

Once they move across borders, many migrants send money, known as remittances, back to families in their countries of origin. Despite a marked dip during the 2009 global recession, the overall annual flow of such remittances has nearly tripled since 2000 and now tops $500 billion.²

And according to the Pew Research analysis of World Bank data, the rise in the stock of emigrants from middle-income countries has been accompanied by a concomitant increase in the flows of remittances back to middle-income countries.

The share of all remittances received by today’s middle-income countries has risen to an estimated 71% in 2013 from 57% in 2000. The share to low-income nations has doubled, while remaining a small proportion of the total—6% in 2013 compared with 3% in 2000. The share to high-income nations has declined, to 23% in 2013 from 40% in 2000.

¹ Readers should note that the number of middle-income nations and the populations living in them have increased since 1990—for example, the populous nations of China and India have joined the list of middle-income nations—so some growth in emigration from those nations and remittances to them would be expected. But the findings in this report are valid even when adjusting for that growth. The emigrant population born in middle-income nations grew 73% between 1990 and 2010, more than the 31% population growth in those countries.
² Because of a change in the World Bank’s definition of remittances, there is discontinuity in data for 2004 and 2005. The change resulted in a somewhat lower level of overall remittances in 2005 than would otherwise have been the case. See the methodology for more details. Nonetheless, overall patterns are similar regardless of whether the new definition is used.
The economic importance of remittances is larger in poorer countries than in richer ones. Remittances account for 8% of the gross domestic product in low-income nations, 2% in middle-income nations and less than 1% in high-income nations, according to analysis of World Bank data. Total remittances to low- and middle-income nations are nearly three times the amount of foreign aid to those countries, the World Bank says. Since 2009, the World Bank has recognized the importance of remittances by including them in its measure of creditworthiness, allowing nations with high remittance levels to borrow more money than they otherwise could.

The shifting patterns in the flows of both migrants and remittances have a regional as well as economic dimension. The Middle East and North America have grown as destination regions of international migrants from 1990 to 2013, as have several Western European countries. A smaller share of international migrants lives in Asia in 2013 than three decades ago. Meanwhile, the shares of international migrants living in sub-Saharan Africa and Latin America have not changed markedly.

Although policy and geography are important factors in changing patterns of migration, migration researchers often cite economics to explain migration trends. The pattern of migrants increasingly living in today’s high-income countries but coming from middle-income nations reflects broader changes in the global economy. As free trade agreements for goods and services increased between middle-
and high-income countries, so has the movement of people. Moreover, as the human capital and economic aspirations of people in middle-income countries have grown during the past quarter century, more of them have been able to take advantage of opportunities in high-income countries. By contrast, people living in lower-income countries may want to move but most do not have the resources to undertake the journey.

**As a Destination, the U.S. Looms Large**

Despite global shifts in international migration, one constant remains: The U.S. has the world’s largest number of international migrants.

The number of immigrants in the U.S. doubled from 23 million people in 1990 to 46 million in 2013. During this time, no other country has come close to the number of foreign-born people living within its borders. For example, second-ranked Russia had about 11 million immigrants in both 1990 and 2013 (many of whom had moved within the former USSR prior to 1990). Consequently, the U.S. has bolstered its lead in the number of international migrants, doubling second-place Russia in 1990 and quadrupling it by 2013.

The U.S. has also become a major recipient of migrants from key countries with large numbers of emigrants. Although the U.S. was not a leading destination of migrants born in top origin countries in 1990, things have changed considerably in a quarter century. By 2013, nearly 1-in-6 (2.1 million) migrants born in India—the top country of birth for international migrants in 2013—lived in the U.S. Almost the entirety of the 13 million migrants born in Mexico—the second highest country of birth for international migrants in 2013—also lived in the U.S.

And the U.S. is the top recipient of migrants from about a quarter of the world’s countries. In 1990, the U.S. was the top destination of migrants born in 53 countries. In 2013, that number was about the same at 52 countries.
In Other Ways, U.S. Is in the Middle of the Pack

Even with this growth, the foreign born as a share of the total population is still considerably lower in the U.S. than in a number of other major destination nations. About 14% of the U.S. population in 2013 was foreign born, a smaller share than in Australia (28%) and Canada (21%), and significantly less than in some countries in the Persian Gulf, where the vast majorities of their populations are foreign-born workers.

In fact, the regional origins of U.S. immigrants have become more concentrated over time with a greater share born in Latin America and the Caribbean. About 47% of all migrants living in the U.S. in 1990 were from Latin American and Caribbean countries. By 2013, 55% of all foreign-born people living in the U.S. were born in the same region.

**U.S. Immigrants Increasingly Are Born in Latin America and the Caribbean ...**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region of Birth</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle East-N. Africa Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America-Caribbean</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia-Pacific</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**... and U.S. Emigrants Increasingly Are Living in Latin America and the Caribbean**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country of Residence</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Middle East-N. Africa Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America-Caribbean</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Asia-Pacific</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Unknown origins not included in estimates.

Source: United Nations

**PEW RESEARCH CENTER**
U.S. Emigrants—A Rising Number, but Still Relatively Few

The U.S. receives many more migrants than it sends. Nonetheless, nearly 3 million Americans lived outside of the U.S. in 2013, up from 1.8 million in 1990.

Compared with other origin countries, the U.S. is a relatively small source of international migrants. The U.S. ranks 20th in the list of top origin countries of international migrants, far behind world leaders such as India, Mexico and China, which together comprised about 16 million migrants in 1990 and nearly 37 million migrants in 2013.

The U.S. also has a significantly lower rate of emigration than most countries. For example, about 1% of Americans currently live outside of their country of birth, compared with about 20% of people born in several Eastern Europe countries and more than 4% of people born in countries such as the United Kingdom and Canada.

Road Map to the Report

Chapter 2 of the report looks at the share and number of international migrants in national and regional destinations, as well as trends from 1990 to 2013. Chapter 3 looks at the origin countries of international migrants and trends from 1990 to 2013. Chapter 4 looks at global trends in remittances and compares patterns in receiving nations by income category. Additional information is provided in Appendix A: Methodology and Appendix B, which lists countries included in the World Bank’s high-, middle- and low-income nation categories. Appendix C lists countries by their regional classification.
Chapter 2: Migrant Destinations

Global Patterns and Shifts

The shifts in migration by country income categories are to some extent associated with geographic changes in migrant destinations. Sometimes called the Global North, countries such as Canada and the United States in North America (among others including Australia and several countries in Europe) contain a greater share of international migrants today than a quarter century ago. At the same time, a larger share of international migrants now live in the Middle East-North Africa region. (For more information about which countries are part of which regions, see Appendix A: Methodology.)

In 1990, the largest share of international migrants (32%) lived in Europe. This group of international migrants consisted mainly of migrants born in developing countries beyond Europe (such as Turkey, Algeria and Pakistan), but also migrants who had moved between European countries (such as Eastern Europeans living in Western Europe).

Meanwhile, about a quarter (27%) of international migrants in 1990 lived in the Asia-Pacific region. This group of migrants mainly consisted of cross-border migrants, who for a variety of reasons (economic opportunities, military conflicts, family reunification) lived in nearby countries within the Asia-Pacific region.

Nearly a fifth (18%) of international migrants in 1990 lived in North America, mostly in the United States. Smaller shares of migrants lived in the Middle East-North Africa (10%), sub-Saharan Africa (9%) and Latin America-Caribbean (5%) regions.

3 The United Nations defines the Global North as developed countries; they also are generally located in the Northern Hemisphere.
But a global shift in the destinations of migrants began in the 1990s. A greater number of people born in countries such as India, Pakistan and Bangladesh in the Asia-Pacific region started moving to the oil-rich countries of the Persian Gulf in the Middle East-North Africa region, shrinking the share of Asian migrants who may have otherwise moved to other countries in the Asia-Pacific or other regions.

Joining these Asian migrants in the Middle East were migrants from Europe and North America. Together, this migration to the Middle East-North Africa region increased the share of international migrants there from 10% in 1990 to 14% in 2013.

At the same time, huge numbers of migrants, many of whom were born in Latin American and Caribbean countries, crossed into the United States during the past quarter century. Combined with increased migration to Canada, this large-scale movement led to a growing share of international migrants living in North America, climbing from 18% of international migrants in 1990 to 23% in 2013.

Although the share of international migrants in Europe stayed about the same between 1990 and 2013, the composition of migrants living in Europe changed considerably. One reason was that migrants of previous waves, mostly among European countries, started to die off or returned to their countries of birth. Among their replacements were considerable numbers of people from developing countries in North Africa (such as Morocco and Algeria), Asia (such as Turkey and India) and refugee-sending countries in the Middle East and sub-Saharan Africa (such as Iraq and Somalia).

**Changes in Top Destination Countries**

In light of these regional and economic shifts, several countries received a much higher number of migrants than other destinations. And the list of top destination countries has changed between 1990 and 2013.

With a large number of migrants moving northward from Latin America and the Caribbean, the U.S. became an even larger destination of the world’s migrants, numbering 23 million in 1990 but ballooning to 46 million in 2013.
In addition, millions of people who had moved within their own countries suddenly were redefined as international migrants when their national borders changed. For example, in 1990, millions of people living in former USSR countries—including more than 10 million in Russia and more than 6 million in Ukraine—became “migrants” almost overnight. Their migrant status changed to “foreign born” when new borders separating the former USSR states were established. These “migrants” found themselves on the opposite side of a border they had crossed years earlier, but at the time their migration was not considered an international move. Many of these “migrants” moved back to their homelands, but many continued to remain in their current countries of residence. Also, Russia’s economic growth attracted more migrants from neighboring countries, sustaining its total number of immigrants around 11 million in 2013.

Migration among countries within the Indian subcontinent is common. In 1990, about 7 million migrants lived in India as well as in Pakistan. Some of these migrants didn’t actually move as international migrants, but like the Russian situation, became “migrants” because of changing borders in previous decades. But the long-term effects of this earlier “migration” dropped off by 2013, leading India and Pakistan to no longer rank in the world’s top-10 destinations.

Many countries in Europe as well as Canada and Australia and other traditional destinations continued to receive migrants during the past quarter century. For example, Germany’s growing ranks of foreign-born persons from nearly 6 million immigrants in 1990 to nearly 10 million immigrants in 2013 included many migrants from countries formerly aligned with the Soviet bloc as well as increased migration from Turkey and the Balkans. With growing tourism and agricultural business in Spain, many Moroccans and Romanians moved to Spain, helping to bolster its immigrant population to over 6 million.
Meanwhile, Saudi Arabia (9 million foreigners) and the United Arab Emirates (7.8 million foreigners) became even more prominent destination countries by 2013. With millions of temporary migrants to support the growing oil industry and related infrastructure in these countries, Gulf Cooperation Council countries have become important hubs for migrants in recent years.

**Top Destinations by Percent Foreign born**

Destinations can be ranked by the number of international migrants within their borders. But differences between immigrants and non-immigrants living within their borders can also be compared.

For example, several countries in the Persian Gulf region (such as the United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Kuwait and Bahrain) are majority foreign born, while other countries in the region (such as Saudi Arabia and Oman) are nearly a third foreign born.

And some countries with the highest percentage of immigrants are some of the geographically smallest countries. For example, about 4 in 10 people living in Hong Kong and Singapore were not born there.

Some destination countries have received a large number of refugees from neighboring countries, vastly increasing the share of their population that is foreign born. For example, about 4 in 10 people in Jordan are estimated to be foreign born, many of whom have come from neighboring Palestinian Territories, Iraq and Syria, often as refugees.

Several Western countries have become important migrant destinations because of their economic growth and high employment opportunities. Although these countries do not have the highest percentages of foreign-born residents, some Western countries have a greater share of immigrants than others. For example, Australia, New Zealand and Canada all have foreign-born percentages exceeding 20%. Several European countries such as Ireland, Sweden and Austria are also about 15% foreign-born. Finally, around 14% of people living in the United States in 2013 were born outside of the U.S., compared with 9% in 1990.
Chapter 3: Migrant Origins

Global Patterns and Shifts

The greater movement from middle-income countries is to some extent linked to underlying regional changes in migration. In sum, the origins of the international migrant population have changed in recent decades, becoming more Asian and Latin American or born in what is sometimes referred to as the Global South. At the same time, the origins of migrants have become less European.

In 1990, about one-third (33%) of international migrants were born in Europe. Many of these “migrants” had moved within the Soviet Union before the collapse of the USSR and were not considered migrants when they moved. When country boundaries were changed, their status changed to migrant or foreign born. About a third (34%) of international migrants in 1990 were born in countries in the Asia-Pacific region, including many in the Indian subcontinent and high-emigration countries such as China and the Philippines.

The remaining third of migrants in 1990 were born in the Latin America-Caribbean (11%), sub-Saharan Africa (10%) and Middle East-North Africa (7%) regions. Much of the movement of migrants in these regions occurred across nearby borders such as Mexicans migrating to the U.S.

But a global shift in the origins of migrants began in the 1990s. A greater number of migrants born in the Asia-Pacific region left for employment opportunities in the Middle

---

Regional Origins of International Migrants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>% of international migrants born in each region</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Asia-Pacific</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Latin America-Caribbean</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle East-North Africa</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>North America</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Europe</td>
<td>33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1990

Note: Unknown origins included in estimates; consequently percentages may not round to 100.

Source: United Nations

---

4 According to the United Nations, the Global South is defined as developing countries, or all countries except Australia, New Zealand, Japan and nations in North America and Europe. Countries in the Global South are located in Southern and Northern Hemispheres.
East, Europe and North America. This movement slightly increased the share of international migrants born in the Asia-Pacific.

Movement from Latin-America and the Caribbean to the U.S. also increased between 1990 and 2013. Consequently, a greater share (16%) of international migrants in 2013 had been born in Latin American and Caribbean countries than in 1990.

At the same time, a lower share of the world’s migrants by 2013 had been born in Europe (26%), a consequence of less migration within Europe, but also an aging migrant population in Russia and nearby countries that began to die off.

Changes in Top Origin Countries

In light of these regional and economic shifts, a greater number of migrants have left some countries than others. Consequently, top origin countries of international migrants have changed considerably during the past quarter century.

The high number of migrants in 1990 from Russia (12.7 million) and Ukraine (5.6 million) is largely the result of changing borders during the fall of the USSR. However, migrants have continued to leave these countries, albeit less so than other top origin countries. Consequently, Russia’s ranking fell from top origin country in 1990 to third place in 2013, with 10.8 million migrants. Ukraine also dropped its ranking by 2013, though its total of 5.6 million migrants was the same in 2013 as it had been in 1990.

Changing borders in the Indian subcontinent decades ago also led to the sudden increase in the number of international migrants from India, Bangladesh and Pakistan. However, millions of people left these countries during the past quarter

### Top-10 Origin Countries of International Migrants, 1990 and 2013

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>1990</th>
<th>2013</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>12.7</td>
<td>14.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>13.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>10.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ukraine</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>5.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United Kingdom</td>
<td>4.1</td>
<td>5.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pakistan</td>
<td>3.6</td>
<td>5.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>5.0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Numbers for Russia include people who moved within the Soviet Union before some parts of the USSR became separate nations. See Chapter 3.

Source: United Nations
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century, moving to other countries in the Indian subcontinent and also to the Middle East, Europe and North America. Consequently, the number of international migrants born in these countries has increased. In fact, India became the top origin country in 2013 with 14.2 million international migrants, while Bangladesh claimed the number five spot with 7.8 million international migrants. Pakistan is sixth with 5.7 million.

Political strife and military conflict continued to plague Afghanistan and nearby countries during recent decades. For these reasons, more than 7 million Afghans lived outside of Afghanistan in 1990, many in Iran, Pakistan and other nearby countries. In 2013, that number decreased to slightly more than 5 million people.

Each historical era has prominent countries of origin for international migrants. Among the examples: Around the beginning of the 20th century, many Italians left for the United States and countries in South America. After the Second World War, some people from the United Kingdom moved to Canada, Australia and the U.S. to join military spouses. The impact of these movements is reflected in migrant populations in 1990. Consequently, these earlier waves of migrants from Italy and the UK led to high origin rankings, with more than 4 million people born in the UK living outside of the UK and 3.5 million Italian-born migrants living elsewhere. With the passage of time, these migrant populations began to decline as the earlier migrants died and were not replaced by new migrants.

As the origins of migrants shifted from Europe to Asia, new countries of origin began to rank higher among migrant populations. By 2013, 9.3 million people born in China lived outside of China. Some 5.5 million people born in the Philippines lived in foreign countries.

Finally, the huge growth of Mexican immigrants moving to the U.S. made Mexico the second-highest origin country of international migrants in 2013. In the past quarter century, the number of international migrants born in Mexico had more than doubled—from 5 million in 1990 to more than 13 million in 2013—with almost all of them living in the U.S.

**Top Origins by Percent Emigrant**

Migrant origins can be ranked by the number of international migrants who have left their birth countries. But the share of country populations that has migrated out provides another interesting point of view.

Although some may not consider movement from Puerto Rico to the U.S. as an international move, definitions employed by the U.N. (and as used in this report) consider Puerto Rico a
separate territory from the U.S. Based on this definition, about a third of people born in Puerto Rico live outside of Puerto Rico.

Almost all migrants from Puerto Rico live in the U.S., as do migrants from other top origin countries by percent emigrant such as Jamaica (28%) and Trinidad and Tobago (22%).

Poor economic conditions explain most emigration from several countries in Eastern Europe. For example, nearly a third (29%) of people born in Albania no longer live in Albania, while about a fifth (20%) of Moldovans live outside of Moldova.

Conflict has led a large number of people to leave their countries of birth. For example, several countries once part of the former Yugoslavia such as Bosnia-Herzegovina and the Republic of Macedonia have had high rates of emigration. Similarly, large percentages of Kuwaitis left during the first Gulf War.

A number of factors may explain the high percentage of migrants born in Kazakhstan (23%) and Armenia (23%). Historical connections to nearby countries have led many people in these countries to move, as have high unemployment and social hostility toward some minority groups.
Chapter 4: Remittances

Remittances worldwide have nearly tripled since 2000 and experienced only a one-year dip during the recent recession. Remittances to middle-income countries—the birthplace of a rising share of immigrants worldwide—have more than tripled since 2000, and middle-income nations account for a markedly increased share of all remittances over that period.

After a one-year decline in 2009 during the Great Recession, remittances worldwide recovered the following year and have continued to rise. Remittances to all nations declined 7% ($30 billion) in 2009, to $420 billion, but by 2010 were slightly higher ($452 billion) than they had been in 2008 ($450 billion).

For those countries with available data, remittances rose 22% from 2009 to 2013, according to World Bank data. (The analysis in this chapter is based on remittances to 137 nations that receive 93% of estimated 2013 remittances in World Bank data. See Appendix A: Methodology for details.)

In 2013, the world’s middle-income nations as a group received an estimated $363 billion in remittances, according to World Bank data. That represented growth of 360% since 2000. As with remittances overall, remittances to middle-income countries declined in 2009 but picked up the following year to exceed the 2008 total. From 2009 to 2013, remittances to middle-income nations grew by 24%.
From 2000 to 2013, remittances to high-income nations as a group grew 64% and to low-income nations increased more than sixfold. Remittances to high-income nations declined during the recession, but remittances to low-income nations did not.

Remittances to high-income nations declined in 2009, rose in 2010 and by 2011 exceeded the total for 2008. Remittances to low-income nations have risen each year since 2000.

By any measure, India and China are the top global recipients of remittances, according to World Bank data. They also are the top receiving nations among middle-income countries. In 2013, India received an estimated $71 billion in remittances, and China an estimated $60 billion. Among the other top middle-income receiving nations for remittances are the Philippines ($26 billion), Mexico ($22 billion) and Nigeria ($21 billion).

Among high-income nations, the top five remittance receivers are France (with an estimated $22 billion in 2013), Germany ($15 billion), Belgium ($11 billion), Spain ($10 billion) and South Korea ($9 billion). The United States, which received an estimated $6 billion in remittances in 2013, ranks ninth among high-income nations.

### Top-10 Remittance Receiving Countries by Country Income Group, 2013

*In billions, U.S. dollars*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>High-income countries</th>
<th>Middle-income countries</th>
<th>Low-income countries</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>France</td>
<td>21.6</td>
<td>India</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>14.7</td>
<td>China</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Belgium</td>
<td>10.8</td>
<td>Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>10.0</td>
<td>Mexico</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>Nigeria</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>7.7</td>
<td>Egypt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>7.3</td>
<td>Pakistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Russia</td>
<td>6.4</td>
<td>Vietnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>United States</td>
<td>6.3</td>
<td>Ukraine</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Portugal</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>Indonesia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>15.2</td>
<td>Nepal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tajikistan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Burma (Myanmar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kyrgyzstan</td>
<td></td>
<td>Haiti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td></td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethiopia</td>
<td></td>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Note: Includes all countries.

Source: World Bank
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---

5 Because of a change in the World Bank’s definition of remittances, there is discontinuity in data for 2004 and 2005. The change resulted in a lower level of remittances to high-income nations than would otherwise have been the case. See Appendix A: Methodology. Nonetheless, overall patterns are similar regardless of whether the new definition is used.
Among the world’s poorest countries, Bangladesh ranks first as a remittance receiving nation, taking in $15 billion in 2013, according to World Bank estimates. Among the other top low-income receiving nations are Nepal ($5 billion), Tajikistan ($4 billion), Burma (Myanmar) ($3 billion) and Kyrgyzstan ($2 billion).

**Share of Remittances by Income Category**

Middle-income nations receive the majority of remittances worldwide, 71% in 2013, according to World Bank estimates. Their share of the total has grown markedly since 2000, when it was 57%. That growth has slowed since the mid-2000s; middle-income nations have claimed 70% to 71% of the total since 2006.

Low-income nations receive a small share of remittances worldwide, an estimated 6% in 2013, but that share has doubled since 2000. The growth in share of remittances to these nations has taken place since 2006.

High-income nations receive 23% of worldwide remittances, according to World Bank 2013 estimates. That share has declined from 40% in 2000. Most of the decline came before the mid-2000s.

**Remittances as Share of GDP**

Remittances represent a higher share of GDP in poorer nations than in richer ones and also have grown more sharply as a share of GDP. Among all low-income nations, remittances accounted for an estimated 8% of GDP in 2012. The contribution of remittances to the GDP of low-income nations overall has more than doubled since 2000, when money sent home by migrants represented about 3% of those countries’ GDP. These nations, however, receive a small share of all world remittances.

Among middle-income nations, remittances represented less than 2% of GDP in 2012, according to an analysis of World Bank estimates.
Meanwhile, among high-income nations, remittances are a much smaller share of 2012 GDP, less than 1%, according to an analysis of World Bank estimates.

**Remittances as Share of GDP**

% of receiving countries’ GDP

Note: Includes 137 countries with data for all years.

Source: World Bank
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Appendix A: Methodology

Data

*Migration data* were released by the [United Nations](http://www.un.org) in September 2013. The data contain population counts for all possible destinations of international migrants for every origin country in the world (and vice versa, a population estimate for all origins of every destination country) in 1990, 2000, 2010 and 2013. Consequently, the data provide an estimate for the number of international migrants born in and currently living in every country of the world.

Estimates for the origins of migrants in this report include unknown origins, which together amount to more than 3 million people in 2013, or about 1% of all the world’s international migrants.

Also, the U.N.’s migration data released in September 2013 included updates to previous years. As a result, migrant estimates in this report differ from estimates in other reports on international migration published by the U.S. Census Bureau and the Pew Research Center.

Remittance inflow data from 2000 to 2013 were drawn from the [World Bank](http://www.worldbank.org). Estimates for 2013 are forecast by the World Bank based on previous trends and projected economic conditions in destination countries. When reporting trends over time in remittance flows, amounts for years before 2013 are adjusted to 2013 dollars, using the average U.S. inflation rate for every preceding year. For this reason, some numbers in this report differ from unadjusted data published by the World Bank. Also, trends contain complete information for countries across all years, amounting to 93% of total inflow in 2013. A total of 137 countries were used for trends.

The World Bank reports only remittances sent via formal channels, such as banks and other businesses that transfer money. If unofficial remittances were counted, the total could be 50% higher or more, according to household surveys and other evidence cited by the World Bank.

In 2013, the World Bank revised its definition of remittances to delete a category of capital transfers between households. The World Bank also revised previously published numbers back to 2005 to reflect the change. Therefore, there is some discontinuity in the remittance data in this report for 2000 to 2004 and data for 2005 onward. Nevertheless, overall patterns are similar regardless of whether the new definition is used.
Economic Classifications and Regions

Economic classifications follow the taxonomy provided by the World Bank in their categorization of countries in October 2013. Lower-income countries have a per capita gross national income (GNI) of $1,035 U.S. dollars or less. Examples of lower-income nations are Afghanistan and Zimbabwe. Middle-income countries have a per capita GNI between $1,036 and $12,615 U.S. dollars and include lower-middle nations such as Bolivia and Pakistan, and upper-middle countries such as Brazil and China. Finally, high-income nations have a per capita GNI of $12,616 U.S. dollars or more and include such countries as the United States and Russia. See Appendix B for a complete list of countries by their economic classification.

The great majority of countries remained in the same high-, middle-, or lower-income positions since 1990. Major exceptions include China (changed from low income to middle income in 1999), India (changed from low income to middle income in 2007) and Russia (changed from middle income to high income in 2012). However, even if these countries are excluded from the analysis, the patterns are similar. Additional analysis indicates that economic shifts in migration patterns are not due entirely to changing classification of countries. When the World Bank’s 1990 classification of countries is substituted for the 2013 classification, the patterns also are similar, even though the numbers of countries in the high- and middle-income groups have increased since 1990.

Geographic regions used in this report for the most part match regions categorized by the U.N. One exception is the added Middle East-North Africa region, which consists of countries in North Africa along the Mediterranean, Gulf Cooperation Council countries, Israel and nearby countries as far east as Iraq. Sudan is also part of the Middle East-North Africa region, while Turkey and Iran are considered part of the Asia-Pacific region. See Appendix C for a complete list of countries by their regional classification.

Calculations

The percent immigrant calculation for 1990, 2000 and 2010 uses the number of immigrants estimated by the U.N. in these years divided by the total population in these countries also estimated by the U.N. The percent immigrant calculation for 2013 is from the U.N.’s Migration Wallchart.

The percent emigrant calculation was computed for 1990, 2000 and 2010. The numerator is the number of people living outside of their country of birth. The denominator is the total population estimated by the U.N. for the birth country plus the number of people born in the birth country but living in a foreign country minus the number of foreign-born people living in the birth country.
Because smaller nations can have unique explanations associated with these calculations, countries with a total population less than 1 million are excluded from the rankings included in this report. Moreover, the Palestinian territories are not included for the percent emigrant since the U.N. includes descendants into the third and fourth generations. Consequently, these migrant counts are not consistent with the foreign-born definition used in this report.

The percent of GDP calculation is the total remittances received by a country divided by the country’s GDP for that particular year.
Appendix B: Countries by Economic Classification

**High Income (2013 per capita GNI of $12,616 U.S. dollars or more)**

| Andorra | Germany | Poland |
| Antigua and Barbuda | Greece | Portugal |
| Aruba | Greenland | Puerto Rico |
| Australia | Guam | Qatar |
| Austria | Hong Kong | Russia |
| Bahamas | Iceland | San Marino |
| Bahrain | Ireland | Saudi Arabia |
| Barbados | Isle of Man | Singapore |
| Belgium | Israel | Sint Maarten |
| Bermuda | Italy | Slovakia |
| Brunei | Japan | Slovenia |
| Canada | Kuwait | South Korea |
| Cayman Islands | Latvia | Spain |
| Channel Islands | Liechtenstein | St. Kitts and Nevis |
| Chile | Lithuania | Sweden |
| Croatia | Luxembourg | Switzerland |
| Cyprus | Macau | Trinidad and Tobago |
| Czech Republic | Malta | Turks and Caicos Islands |
| Denmark | Monaco | U.S. Virgin Islands |
| Equatorial Guinea | Netherlands | United Arab Emirates |
| Estonia | New Caledonia | United Kingdom |
| Faeroe Islands | New Zealand | United States |
| Finland | Northern Mariana Islands | Uruguay |
| France | Norway | |
| French Polynesia | Oman | |

**Middle Income (2013 per capita GNI between $1,036 and $12,615 U.S. dollars)**

<p>| Albania | Costa Rica | Iran |
| Algeria | Cuba | Iraq |
| American Samoa | Djibouti | Ivory Coast |
| Angola | Dominica | Jamaica |
| Argentina | Dominican Republic | Jordan |
| Armenia | Ecuador | Kazakhstan |
| Azerbaijan | Egypt | Kiribati |
| Belarus | El Salvador | Laos |
| Belize | Fed. States of Micronesia | Lebanon |
| Bhutan | Fiji | Lesotho |
| Bolivia | Gabon | Libya |
| Bosnia-Herzegovina | Georgia | Malaysia |
| Botswana | Ghana | Maldives |
| Brazil | Grenada | Marshall Islands |
| Bulgaria | Guatemala | Mauritania |
| Cameroon | Guyana | Mauritius |
| Cape Verde | Honduras | Mexico |
| China | Hungary | Moldova |
| Colombia | India | Mongolia |
| Congo | Indonesia | Montenegro |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Low Income (2013 per capita GNI of $1,035 U.S. dollars or less)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Afghanistan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Benin</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burkina Faso</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burma (Myanmar)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Burundi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Central African Republic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comoros</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Democratic Republic of Congo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Eritrea</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## Appendix C: Countries by Regional Classification

### Asia-Pacific
- Afghanistan
- American Samoa
- Armenia
- Australia
- Azerbaijan
- Bangladesh
- Bhutan
- Brunei
- Burma (Myanmar)
- Cambodia
- China
- Cook Islands
- Cyprus
- Fed. States of Micronesia
- Fiji
- French Polynesia
- Guam
- Hong Kong
- India
- Indonesia
- Iran
- Japan
- Kazakhstan
- Kiribati
- Kyrgyzstan
- Laos
- Macau
- Malaysia
- Maldive Islands
- Marshall Islands
- Mongolia
- Nauru
- Nepal
- New Caledonia
- New Zealand
- Niue
- North Korea
- Northern Mariana Islands
- Pakistan
- Palau
- Papua New Guinea
- Philippines
- Samoa
- Singapore
- Solomon Islands
- South Korea
- Sri Lanka
- Tajikistan
- Thailand
- Timor-Leste
- Tokelau
- Tonga
- Turkey
- Turkmenistan
- Tuvalu
- Uzbekistan
- Vanuatu
- Vietnam
- Wallis and Futuna

### Europe
- Albania
- Andorra
- Austria
- Belarus
- Belgium
- Bosnia-Herzegovina
- Bulgaria
- Channel Islands
- Croatia
- Czech Republic
- Denmark
- Estonia
- Faeroe Islands
- Finland
- France
- Georgia
- Germany
- Gibraltar
- Greece
- Hungary
- Iceland
- Ireland
- Isle of Man
- Italy
- Latvia
- Liechtenstein
- Lithuania
- Luxembourg
- Malta
- Moldova
- Monaco
- Montenegro
- Netherlands
- Norway
- Poland
- Portugal
- Republic of Macedonia
- Romania
- Russia
- San Marino
- Serbia
- Slovakia
- Slovenia
- Spain
- Sweden
- Switzerland
- Ukraine
- United Kingdom
- Vatican City
### Latin America-Caribbean

- Anguilla
- Antigua and Barbuda
- Argentina
- Aruba
- Bahamas
- Barbados
- Belize
- Bolivia
- Brazil
- British Virgin Islands
- Caribbean Netherlands
- Cayman Islands
- Chile
- Colombia
- Costa Rica
- Cuba
- Curacao
- Dominica
- Dominican Republic
- Ecuador
- El Salvador
- Falkland Islands (Malvinas)
- French Guiana
- Grenada
- Guadeloupe
- Guatemala
- Guyana
- Haiti
- Honduras
- Jamaica
- Martinique
- Mexico
- Montserrat
- Nicaragua
- Panama
- Paraguay
- Peru
- Puerto Rico
- Sint Maarten
- St. Kitts and Nevis
- St. Lucia
- St. Vincent and Grenadines
- Suriname
- Trinidad and Tobago
- Turks and Caicos Islands
- U.S. Virgin Islands
- Uruguay
- Venezuela

### Middle East-North Africa

- Algeria
- Bahrain
- Egypt
- Iraq
- Israel
- Jordan
- Kuwait
- Lebanon
- Libya
- Morocco
- Oman
- Palestinian territories
- Qatar
- Saudi Arabia
- Sudan
- Syria
- Tunisia
- United Arab Emirates
- Western Sahara
- Yemen

### North America

- Bermuda
- Canada
- Greenland
- St. Pierre and Miquelon
- United States

### Sub-Saharan Africa

- Angola
- Benin
- Botswana
- Burkina Faso
- Burundi
- Cameroon
- Cape Verde
- Central African Republic
- Chad
- Comoros
- Congo
- Democratic Republic of Congo
- Djibouti
- Equatorial Guinea
- Eritrea
- Ethiopia
- Gabon
- Gambia
- Ghana
- Guinea
- Guinea Bissau
- Ivory Coast
- Kenya
- Lesotho
- Liberia
- Madagascar
- Malawi
- Mali
- Mauritania
- Mauritius
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mayotte</th>
<th>Sao Tome and Principe</th>
<th>St. Helena</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>Senegal</td>
<td>Swaziland</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Namibia</td>
<td>Seychelles</td>
<td>Tanzania</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Niger</td>
<td>Sierra Leone</td>
<td>Togo</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>Somalia</td>
<td>Uganda</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reunion</td>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>Zambia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rwanda</td>
<td>South Sudan</td>
<td>Zimbabwe</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>