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## Introduction

The digital era has brought profound challenges and opportunities to countless institutions and industries, from universities to newspapers to the music industry, in ways both large and small. Institutions that were previously identified with printed material-and its attendant properties of being expensive, scarce, and obscure-are now considering how to take on new roles as purveyors of information, connections, and entertainment, using the latest formats and technologies.

The impact of digital technologies on public libraries is particularly interesting because libraries serve so many people (about half of all Americans ages 16 and older used a public library in some form in the past year, as of September 2013) and correspondingly try to meet a wide variety of needs. ${ }^{1}$ This is also what makes the task of public libraries-as well as governments, news organizations, religious groups, schools, and any other institution that is trying to reach a wide swath of the American public-so challenging: They are trying to respond to new technologies while maintaining older strategies of knowledge dissemination.

In recent years, public libraries have continued to add new technologies and formats to their holdings, with the goal of providing patrons resources in whatever form they prefer. Many libraries have also expanded into community centers, serving as unique gathering places in their towns and cities. Today, they offer many events and services, and are experimenting with providing the next generation of "expensive and scarce" resources, from 3-D printers to recording studios.

Work by the Pew Research Center has shown that print books are still central to Americans' library use, just as they remain central in Americans' overall reading habits. In fact, though more Americans than ever are reading e-books ( $28 \%$ of adults ages 18 and older, as of January 2014), few have abandoned print entirely; just $4 \%$ of readers read e-books exclusively. Still, many Americans say they would be interested in exploring a range of technological services at public libraries, from personalized reading recommendations and online "Ask a Librarian" services to media kiosks and mobile apps.

Libraries loom large in the public imagination, and are generally viewed very positively: $90 \%$ of Americans ages 16 and older say that the closing of their local public library would have an impact on their community. This means that many people have a stake in the future of libraries, and as the digital age advances, there is much discussion about where they are headed. To help with that conversation, Pew Research has spent three years charting the present role libraries play in

[^0]Americans' lives and communities, in the hopes that this will set the foundation for discussions of what libraries should be in the future. The first stage of our research studied the growing role of ebooks, including their impact on Americans' reading habits and Americans' library habits. Our second stage explored the full universe of library services, as well as what library services Americans most value and what they might want from libraries in the future. This typology completes our third and final stage of research, which explores public libraries' roles in people's lives and in American culture writ large-how they are perceived, how they are valued, how people rely on them, and so forth. ${ }^{2}$ All of this research and the underlying data sets are available on our website.

This report is a collaborative effort based on the input and analysis of the following individuals:

Kathryn Zickuhr, Research Associate, Internet Project
Kristen Purcell, Associate Director, Internet Project
Lee Rainie, Director, Internet Project
Maeve Duggan, Research Assistant, Internet Project

The full archive of our research about Americans' use of public libraries is available at http://libraries.pewinternet.org.
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## Summary of Findings

The focus of this report is the creation of a new typology of Americans' engagement with public libraries, which sheds light on broader issues around the relationship between technology, libraries, and information resources in the United States. It serves as a capstone to the three years of research the Pew Research Center has produced on the topic of public libraries' changing role in Americans' lives and communities.

Briefly put, a typology is a statistical analysis that clusters individuals into groups based on certain attributes; in this case, those are people's usage of, views toward, and access to libraries. While Pew Research has reported in extensive detail on the ways different groups use public librariesincluding insights about differences by gender, race/ethnicity, age, income and community typethis typology enriches that picture considerably by moving beyond familiar groups and fitting demographics into contexts that matter to the library community. ${ }^{3}$ By creating groups based on their connection to libraries rather than their gender, age, or socio-economic attributes, this report allows portraiture that is especially relevant to library patrons, library staff members, and the people whose funding decisions impact the future of public libraries in the United States.

Among the broad themes and major findings in this report:

- Public library users and proponents are not a niche group: 30\% of Americans ages 16 and older are highly engaged with public libraries, and an additional $39 \%$ fall into medium engagement categories.
- Americans' library habits do not exist in a vacuum: Americans' connection-or lack of connection-with public libraries is part of their broader information and social landscape. As a rule, people who have extensive economic, social, technological, and cultural resources are also more likely to use and value libraries as part of those networks. Many of those who are less engaged with public libraries tend to have lower levels of technology use, fewer ties to their neighbors, lower feelings of personal efficacy, and less engagement with other cultural activities.
- Life stage and special circumstances are linked to increased library use and higher engagement with information: Deeper connections with public libraries are often associated with key life moments such as having a child, seeking a job, being a student, and going through a situation in which research and data can help inform a decision. Similarly, quieter times of life, such as retirement, or less momentous periods,

[^2]such as when people's jobs are stable, might prompt less frequent information searches and library visits.

## The spectrum of public library engagement in America

This report describes nine groups of Americans that reflect different patterns of public library engagement. Respondents were sorted into groups based on a cluster analysis of factors such as: the importance of public libraries in their lives; how they use libraries; and how they view the role of libraries in communities. (For more information about how the typology was created, see the overview in About This Typology; further details are available in the Methods section at the end of the report.) For each group, we describe not only their library habits and views, but also their larger information and technology habits and to what extent various demographic groups are represented.

The typology examines four broad levels of library engagement. These levels are further broken into a total of nine individual groups:

1. High engagement:

- Library Lovers
- Information Omnivores

2. Medium engagement:

- Solid Center
- Print Traditionalists

3. Low engagement:

- Not for Me
- Young and Restless
- Rooted and Roadblocked

4. Non-engagement (have never personally used a public library):

- Distant Admirers
- Off the Grid

The high, medium, and low engagement groups include Americans who have ever used a public library at some point in their lives, while the non-engagement groups include Americans who have never personally used a public library (either in person or online).

# Typology snapshot: Groups by level of engagement with public libraries 

Each group as a percentage of the general U.S. population (Americans ages 16+)


By level of engagement with public libraries:*

High Engagement (30\%)
Medium Engagement (39\%)
Low Engagement (17\%)
No personal library use (14\%)

* percentages in the chart may not add up to the percentages in the legend due to rounding.

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

The members of the two high engagement groups, Library Lovers and Information Omnivores, include people who value and utilize public libraries most heavily-those who say that libraries play a major role in their own lives and in the lives of their families, who think libraries improve their communities, who are avid readers and think libraries play an essential role in encouraging literacy and a love of reading. Members of these high engagement groups also tend to be active in other parts of their communities. They tend to know their neighbors, they are more likely to visit museums and attend sporting events, and they are more likely to socialize with families and friends.

On the other hand, those who are less engaged with public libraries are often less engaged in their communities overall. Particularly for the low engagement groups Not for Me and Rooted and Roadblocked, along with the non-engagement groups Distant Admirers and Off the Grid, lower rates of library use and lack of familiarity with libraries seem to coincide with lower patterns of social and civic engagement in other areas of their lives. Members of low and non-engagement groups are often less likely to participate in similar community activities, such as visiting museums
or patronizing bookstores, and more likely to report having difficulty using technology; they also tend to be less comfortable navigating various types of information, such as finding material about government services and benefits.

## Public library engagement typology: Group overviews

| Level of engagement with public libraries | Group name | \% of U.S. population ages 16+ | Major characteristics |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| High engagement <br> $\sim 80 \%$ used a public library in the past year | Library Lovers | 10\% | Members of this group report frequent personal use of public libraries, along with high levels of household library use. This group includes many parents, students, and job seekers; members tend to be younger, with higher levels of education. |
|  | Information Omnivores | 20\% | This group has the highest rates of technology use, as well as the highest levels of education, employment, and household income. They have high levels of personal and household library use, but their visits to library are less frequent than Library Lovers. |
| Medium engagement <br> $\sim 50 \%$ used a public library in the past year | Solid Center | 30\% | Centered in smaller towns, this group is similar to the general U.S. population in most measures. About half have used a public library in the past year; most view libraries positively. |
|  | Print <br> Traditionalists | 9\% | This group contains the highest proportion of rural, Southern, or white respondents. It is similar to Solid Center in many measures, except that its members tend to live farther away from libraries. They also have positive views about libraries' roles in communities. |
| Low engagement <br> ~30\% used a public library in the past year | Not For Me | 4\% | This group is distinguished from other low engagement groups by its members' strikingly negative views of libraries. In particular, they are far less likely than most other groups to say public libraries are important to their communities. |
|  | Young \& Restless | 7\% | This is a relatively young group, and few of its members have lived in their neighborhoods for very long. Their most striking feature is that only $15 \%$ know where the nearest public library is located. |
|  | Rooted \& Roadblocked | 7\% | This group generally views public libraries positively, but many face hurdles in their lives that may prevent them from engaging with libraries. They tend to be older, and many are living with disability or have experienced a recent illness in their family. |
| None <br> Have never personally used a public library | Distant Admirers | 10\% | Though members of this group have never personally used a public library, they view libraries quite positively-perhaps because many say other family members use them. Many also say that various library services are important to them and their families. They tend to be older and are often living in lower-income households. |
|  | Off the Grid | 4\% | Members of this group tend to be disengaged from their communities and social life in many ways. Many live in rural areas, and just $56 \%$ use the internet. Most have very low household incomes, as well as low levels of education-only one in ten has graduated from college. |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans 16 \& older conducted July 18-September $30,2013$.

## Group portraits

## High engagement groups

## Library Lovers ( $10 \%$ of the population)

- Overview: Library Lovers have strikingly positive views of public libraries compared with other groups, and with the U.S. population as a whole; they use libraries and library websites more than any other group, and believe libraries are essential at the personal as well as the community level.
- Who they are: Demographically, this group's members are disproportionately younger than the general population. A relatively large proportion of this group are women (62\%), and this group has the highest proportion of parents (40\%) of any group. They tend to have higher levels of education and somewhat higher household incomes than many other groups, but a notable share of them are in economically challenging circumstances: $23 \%$ have recently lost their jobs or seen a significant loss of income; $25 \%$ are currently looking for a job; $17 \%$ are students. Politically, they are more likely to be liberal and Democratic than the general population.
- Lifestyle: This group includes many heavy book readers (66\% read a book daily). Though they are heavy library users and generally prefer to borrow books instead of purchasing them, they are also have the highest proportion of regular bookstore visitors (57\%) than any other group. More than other groups, they like to learn new things and enjoy tracking down information. They are also active socially and engaged with community events, and rate their communities highly. They are also heavy internet users, and are particularly engaged with mobile: $72 \%$ go online via mobile devices.
- Relationship with libraries: They are the leading group in use of and affection for libraries: $87 \%$ visited the library in the last 12 months, most of them visiting weekly. And $75 \%$ say that the local library closed it would have a major impact on them personally, significantly more than any other group.


## Information Omnivores (20\% of the population)

- Overview: Information Omnivores are more likely to seek and use information than other groups, are more likely to have and use technology; at the same time, they are strong users of public libraries, and think libraries have a vital role in their communities. However, they are not quite as active in their library use as Library Lovers, or nearly as likely to say the loss of the local library would have a major impact on them and their family.
- Who they are: Information Omnivores are the highest ranking group in socio-economic terms: $35 \%$ live in households earning \$75,000 or more, and they have one of the highest employment rates and are relatively well educated. Like Library Lovers, this group includes
relatively high proportions of women (57\%) and parents (40\%). With a median age of 40, they are a bit younger than the U.S. population as a whole. It is also one of the more urban groups. Politically they are more likely to be Democratic and liberal compared with the general U.S. population.
- Lifestyle: As a group, Information Omnivores are the most intense users of technology: among internet users, $90 \%$ go online every day, and $81 \%$ use social media. Almost half (46\%) have a tablet computer, the highest proportion of any group, and 68\% own a smartphone. They rank just below Library Lovers in their consumption of books-they read an average of 17 books in the previous 12 months-and are more likely to buy their books than borrow them.
- Relationship with libraries: They appreciate public libraries a lot, especially as community resource: $85 \%$ strongly agree that libraries are important because they promote literacy, and $78 \%$ strongly agree that libraries improve the quality of life in their communities. Information Omnivores use libraries more than any other group except Library Lovers, though they use the library less often than that group and would not take the loss of their library at such a profound personal level. However, $77 \%$ say the loss of the library would be a major blow to their community.


## Medium engagement groups

## Solid Center (30\% of the population)

- Overview: The Solid Center is the largest group in our typology, and its members generally track with the general U.S. population-in their demographic proportions, in their technology use, in their patronage of libraries, and in their approach to information, and their views about the importance and role of libraries. They mostly view libraries positively, but a third (32\%) report their library use has declined in the past five years.
- Who they are: Compared with national benchmarks, this group includes a slightly higher proportion of men ( $51 \%$ ) than the general U.S. population; its median age is 47 . Its members are more likely than some other groups to live in small towns and cities, and half have lived in their communities for longer than 10 years. Those in the Solid Center are significantly less likely than high engagement groups to include parents with minor children living at home (28\%).
- Lifestyle: They rank high among the groups in appreciating their communities: 84\% would describe their communities as "good" or "excellent." In their attendance of various community activities, those in the Solid Center are not quite as involved as the high engagement groups, but they are fairly active: $34 \%$ got to sporting events regularly, $28 \%$ regularly go to bookstores, $27 \%$ regularly go to concerts, plays, or dance performances, and

26\% regularly go to museums or art galleries. They read books at the same frequency as the U.S. populations.

- Relationship with libraries: Some $58 \%$ have library cards, and $43 \%$ visited the library in the past 12 months; their visits are not as frequent as high engagement groups, with most saying they visit the library monthly or less often. They are one of the least likely groups to use library websites: only $5 \%$ used a library website in the past year, and only $26 \%$ have ever used one. Most members of the Solid Center rate libraries highly as community resources: $67 \%$ say that libraries improve the quality of life in a community and $61 \%$ say their library's closing would have a major impact on their community.


## Print Traditionalists (9\% of the population)

- Overview: Members of this group read an average of 13 books in the past 12 months, and tend to value the traditional services libraries perform. They are also in one of the higher ranking groups in expressing appreciation for the role of libraries in communities. They are notable for the distance most of them would need to travel to visit a library-only one in ten (11\%) say the nearest public library is five miles away or less.
- Who they are: The Print Traditionalist group has the highest proportions of rural (61\%), white ( $75 \%$ ), and Southern ( $50 \%$ ) respondents. They also have a higher proportion of women (57\%) than the general population. Print Traditionalists are less likely than some other groups to have graduated college, as about half of adults in this groups ended their education with a high school diploma. Their median age is 46 , and their political views lean conservative.
- Lifestyle: Print Traditionalists are more likely to have lived in their neighborhoods longer than many other groups, and are especially likely to say they know the names of all or most of their neighbors; they also tend to have positive feelings about where they live, and are generally quite social: $81 \%$ say they socialize with friends or family every day or almost every day. They have access to technology at roughly the same rates as the general population, but they use technology less than other higher engagement groups.
- Relationship with libraries: Print Traditionalists stand out in their positive views about the role of libraries in communities: $80 \%$ say libraries are important because they promote literacy; $75 \%$ say libraries play an important role because they give everyone a chance to succeed; and $73 \%$ say libraries improve the quality of life in the community. They also have one of the highest proportion of members reporting that if the local library closed it would have a major impact on the community. Some $48 \%$ say they visited the library in the last 12 months, and most say their own library use has not changed in the past five years.


## Low engagement groups

Not For Me (4\% of the population)

- Overview: As a low engagement group, Not for Me is made up of respondents who have used public libraries at some point in their lives, though few have done so recently. Their portrait suggests a level of alienation-34\% believe people like them can have no impact in making their communities better-and are somewhat less engaged socially and from other community activities: $45 \%$ do not regularly do any of the community activities we asked about, such as attending sports events, museums, or going to bookstores. Finally, they have strikingly less positive about role of libraries in communities, even when compared with other low engagement groups, and more than half (57\%) say they know "not much" or "nothing at all" about the library services in their area.
- Who they are: The Not for Me group includes a somewhat higher proportion of men (56\%), and its respondents are more likely to live in small town or rural areas. Its members are more likely to have lower levels of educational attainment, with just $18 \%$ having graduated from college. Members of this group are also somewhat less likely to be married (41\%), and are a little less likely to be parents (26\%) than the general population. Just $39 \%$ are employed full-time, and almost a quarter (23\%) are retired.
- Lifestyle: Few in this group are heavy book readers: $31 \%$ read did not read any books last year, and as a group they read a median of 3 books in that time. They also have somewhat lower levels of internet adoption and use, and are more likely than other groups to report having difficulty getting information about such things as politics and current events, community activities, health information, and career opportunities
- Relationship with libraries: Some $40 \%$ have library cards, similar to other low engagement groups; $31 \%$ visited the library in the past year, and just $12 \%$ used a library website. Relative to other groups, they are more likely to say they find libraries hard to navigate and are less likely to say they rely on individual library services. Fully 64\% say library closings would have no impact on them or their family, and just $20 \%$ strongly agree that having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. Finally, $70 \%$ say that people do not need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own.


## Young and Restless (7\% of the population)

- Overview: Though relatively small, this group contains a higher proportion of young people than most other groups, most of them relatively new to their communities. This may be why only $15 \%$ of its members say they even know where the local library is, fewer than any other group in the typology. Only a third have a library card or visited a library in
the past year, though unlike the Not for Me group, most Young and Restless respondents have positive views of libraries overall.
- Who they are: We call them "restless" because many are new to their communities: A third of them have lived in their communities less than a year. Their median age is 33, making them the youngest group overall, and $53 \%$ are male. They include a high proportion of urban dwellers, and are more often found in the South than members of the U.S. population as a whole . Many of them live in lower-income households- $37 \%$ live in households earning less than $\$ 30,000$; a relatively large share are students, or are looking for jobs. It is a much more racially diverse group than most of the others, and a somewhat higher proportion of its respondents identify as liberal compared with the national rate.
- Lifestyle: This is a group heavily involved with technology, especially mobile devices: $82 \%$ access the internet with a mobile device such as a smartphone or tablet and $68 \%$ own smartphones. (However, they are more likely than several other groups to say that there is a lot of useful, important information that is not available on the internet.) Fully $86 \%$ of the internet users among them use social networking sites and $27 \%$ use Twitter, higher rates than most other groups. When it comes to reading, they are fairly typical: Young and Restless members read an average of 11 books in the past 12 months, and a median of 5 .
- Relationship with libraries: The Young and Restless one of the most likely groups to say their library use has decreased in the past five years ( $36 \%$ say that), and just $11 \%$ know all or most of the services their library offers (compared with $23 \%$ of general population). At the same time, their views about the importance of libraries are generally positive: $71 \%$ agree that libraries promote literacy, and $61 \%$ agree libraries improve the quality of life in a community.


## Rooted and Roadblocked (7\% of the population)

- Overview: This group's name derives from the fact that they are longtime residents of their communities, but may face many potential hurdles in their lives: $35 \%$ are retired, $27 \%$ are living with a disability, and $34 \%$ have experienced a major illness (either their own or that of a loved one) within the past year. It is the oldest group, with a median age of 58. Like other low engagement groups, they have used libraries at some point in their lives, but only a third went in last 12 months. Still, among the low engagement groups, they are the most likely to say that the closing of the local library would have a major impact on the community ( $61 \%$ say that).
- Who they are: Rooted and Roadblocked is the oldest group in the typology, with a large share of retirees and a small share of parents with minor children. It also includes a higher proportion of white (69\%) when compared with other low or non-engagement groups.

Adults in this group are somewhat less likely to have completed higher levels of education, with $21 \%$ having graduated from college (compared with the national benchmark of 27\%).

- Lifestyle: The Rooted and Roadblocked are longtime residents of their communities, but less engaged with certain community activities-about half ( $52 \%$ ) don't regularly take part in any of the community activities we asked about. They have lower proportions of internet users ( $74 \%$ ), home broadband adopters (58\%), smartphone owners (40\%), and social media users. They were also less likely to feel comfortable with technology-related tasks we asked about, and some $28 \%$ did not read a book in the past 12 months.
- Relationship with libraries: This group stands apart from the Not for Me group in its relatively positive views about the role of libraries in communities: 78\% agree that libraries are important because they promote literacy and reading; 75\% say libraries improve the quality of life in a community; and $72 \%$ say libraries give everyone a chance to succeed. Finally, though only $36 \%$ have a library card and just $33 \%$ visited a library in person in the past year, some $54 \%$ say library closing would affect them and their families in some way.


## Non-engagement groups

## Distant Admirers (10\% of the population)

- Overview: Distant Admirers account for the majority of those who have never used a library. Despite their lack of personal library use, many say others in their house use libraries, and quite a few indicate that they indirectly rely on various library services. They have very high opinions about importance and role of libraries in communities, in sharp contrast to the other non-user group. As a group, they are relatively older and more likely to live in lower-income households.
- Who they are: In addition to having the largest share of Hispanics (27\%) of any group, Distant Admirers include a somewhat higher proportion of men (56\%) than the general population. They are also more likely to have relatively lower levels of education ( $62 \%$ did not attend college) and household income ( $42 \%$ live in households earning less than \$30,000 a year).
- Lifestyle: They are less likely than some of the other groups to know many neighbors, and when it comes to engagement with cultural and other community activities, they participate at rates that are considerably below the national benchmark-48\% say they do not regularly do any of the community activities we asked about. Their technology profile is notably below the national benchmark, and few are not heavy book readers. They are much less likely than most other groups to read the news regularly, to feel they can find information on key subjects, and to say they like to learn new things.
- Relationship with libraries: Despite their lack of personal use of libraries, this group is notable for its generally positive views about libraries. This might stem from the fact that

40\% of Distant Admirers report that someone else in their household is a library user. Two-thirds of them (68\%) say libraries are important because they promote literacy and reading; $66 \%$ say public libraries play an important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed; $64 \%$ say libraries improve the quality of life in a community. Finally, $55 \%$ say the loss of the local library would be a blow to the community.

## Off the Grid (4\% of the population)

- Overview: Their name comes from the fact that they are disconnected in many ways-not only from libraries, but also from their neighbors and communities, from technology, and from information sources. Many do not regularly read books or stay current with the news, and their technology profile is the lowest among the groups. Their feelings about libraries are likewise distant: Just $28 \%$ say their library's closing would have a major impact on their community, and another one in four ( $25 \%$ ) say it would have no impact at all.
- Who they are: This group includes higher proportions of men (57\%), older respondents (the median age 52), and Hispanic respondents (19\%), and many tend to live in lowerincome households and have lower levels of education-34\% never completed high school. The vast majority of members of this group live in small towns (38\%) and rural areas (45\%), far more than most other groups.
- Lifestyle: Those in the Off the Grid group are longtime residents of their communities, but $38 \%$ say they don't know the names of anyone who lives close by. They also engage in certain community activities at low levels, and just $59 \%$ say they socialize with family and friends daily (well below the national benchmark of $78 \%$ ). Only $56 \%$ use the internet, and just $33 \%$ have smartphones. Half read no books in the previous 12 months, and just a quarter (25\%) say they read books daily.
- Relationship with libraries: Like Distant Admirers, none of the members of this group have used a public library in their lives; unlike Distant Admirers, they have the least positive views about libraries. For instance, less than half ( $45 \%$ ) strongly agree that public libraries play an important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed by providing access to materials and resources, a view that is otherwise shared by $72 \%$ of the general population.


## General patterns in Americans' engagement with public libraries

Some general trends extend through these findings, as documented in our earlier reports such as How Americans Value Public Libraries in Their Communities:

- Socioeconomic status: Broadly speaking, adults with higher levels of education and household income are more likely to use public libraries than those with lower household incomes and lower levels of education. However, among those who have used a library in the past year, adults living in lower-income households are more likely to say various library services are very important to them and their families than those living in higherincome households.
- Parenthood: Parents of minor children, compared with non-parents, are significantly more likely to use libraries and value libraries' role in their lives.
- Ties to learning acquisition: Students, job seekers, and those without home internet, are especially likely to value particular library services.

These patterns are particularly prominent in the high engagement categories, which contain many of these (often overlapping) groups. In this way, high and medium engagement groups are often more alike than different. In contract, the low and non-engagement groups tend to be more distinct in the circumstances surrounding their lack of library engagement. For instance, looking only at low engagement groups (which include people who have used a library at some point in their lives but not recently), there are:

- Not for Me: Respondents who tend to dislike public libraries and are more likely to see them as irrelevant to modern life;
- Young and Restless: Young people who generally feel positively about public libraries, but are relatively new to their neighborhoods and are unlikely to know where their local library is located;
- Rooted and Roadblocked: Older adults who generally think libraries are good for their community, but may have obstacles in their lives, view libraries as somewhat difficult to use, or otherwise think that libraries are not personally relevant to them at this point in their lives.


## Broader trends in Americans' information habits

Though the main focus of this report is to describe the typology, there are a number of interesting thematic threads that emerge through that analysis: 4

Americans' library habits do not exist in a vacuum: People's connection-or lack of connection-with public libraries is part of their broader information and social landscape. As a rule, people who have extensive economic, social, technological, and cultural resources are also more likely to use and value libraries as part of those networks. Many of those who are less engaged with public libraries tend to have lower levels of technology use, fewer ties to their neighbors, lower feelings of personal efficacy, and less engagement with other cultural activities.

Most Americans do not feel overwhelmed by information today. Some $18 \%$ of Americans say they feel overloaded by information-a drop in those feeling this way from $27 \%$ who said information overload was a problem to them in 2006. Those who feel overloaded are actually less likely to use the internet or smartphones, and are most represented in groups with lower levels of library engagement (such as Off the Grid, Distant Admirers, and Not For Me).

Life stage and special circumstances are linked to increased library use and higher engagement with information: Deeper connections with public libraries are often associated with key life moments such as having a child, seeking a job, being a student, and going through a situation in which research and data can help inform a decision. Similarly, quieter times of life, such as retirement, or less momentous periods, such as when people's jobs are stable, might prompt less frequent information searches and library visits.

## Acquiring information is often a social process in which trusted helpers matter:

 There are indications in the survey that people often feel they need their social networks and reliable experts to help them navigate some information-intensive activities. Even those in the most self-reliant groups, such as Library Lovers and Information Omnivores, say they would probably ask for help when they file their taxes, if they ever decided to start a business, or apply for government benefits. And the vast majority of those in lower engagement groups say they would likely ask for help if they wanted to master a new technology gadget or start using a new social media platform.
## Technology use is not so much a substitute for "offline" activities as it is an

 enhancement tool: One of the persistent questions about the impact of digital technology is[^3]whether it pulls people away from traditional institutions and activities. In the case of library users, there is a strong tie between technology and library use. For instance, the technology-rich profiles of Information Omnivores might suggest that their gadgets could provide all the media and data they could possibly need-yet they still patronize libraries at high levels. Conversely, people with less technology in their lives, such as the Not For Me and Rooted and Roadblocked groups, are also less likely to use libraries. This suggests that technology is an "add on" for users that helps them leverage the way they acquire information.

## Libraries score high ease of access and use-even among those who are not frequent

 users: Fully $91 \%$ of Americans ages 16 and older say they know where the closest library is, and $72 \%$ live within 5 miles of a library branch. Asked how easy it would be for them to use libraries if they wanted, $93 \%$ of Americans ages 16 and older say libraries would be easy for them to visit in person, including $74 \%$ of those in the Off the Grid group. Further, $82 \%$ of all Americans say library websites would be easy for them to use.There are people who have never visited a library who still have positive views of public libraries and their roles in their communities: Members of the group we identify as "Distant Admirers" have never personally used a library, but nevertheless tend to have strongly positive opinions about how valuable libraries are to communities-particularly for libraries' role in encouraging literacy and for providing resources that might otherwise be hard to obtain. Many Distant Admirers say that someone else in their household does use the library, and therefore may use library resources indirectly.
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This report contains findings from a nationally representative survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older fielded July 18-September 30, 2013. It was conducted in English and Spanish on landline and cell phones. The margin of error for the full sample is plus or minus 1.4 percentage points. Unlike standard Pew Research surveys of adults 18 and older, this report also contains data on Americans ages 16-17. However, any analyses of behaviors based on education level or household income level exclude this younger age group and are based solely on adults ages 18 and older, which is also noted throughout the report.
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## About this typology

## What is a typology?

Briefly put, a typology is a statistical analysis that clusters groups based on certain attributes. In this case, the typology was built around people's use of libraries, their views about libraries and library services, and their access to libraries.

## Why use a typology instead of more familiar demographics?

Pew Research has reported in extensive detail how different demographic groups use libraries, library web sites, and a broad array of library services. That work can be found in our archives and contains numerous insights about gender differences, racial and ethnic differences, age differences, differences by community type (urban, suburban, rural), and socio-economic differences.

This typology enriches that picture considerably by moving beyond familiar groups by fitting demographics into contexts that matter to the library community. It is also useful to anyone interested in sweeping technology changes in America-how people get, use, share, and think about information and a key institution that offers information at no charge. Not all Hispanics or
men or rich people or high school dropouts or suburbanites act and think the same way. By creating groups based on their connection to libraries rather than their gender, race/ethnicity, or socio-economic attributes, this report allows portraiture that is especially relevant to the public library community.

This work also differs from a typical survey report by the Pew Research Center in that it focuses on analyses of groups, rather than individual respondents. Thus, we do not devote much space to elaborating the national findings on issues such as how many patrons used different library services, or how many books Americans read. Those who care about that can find the information in earlier reports such as our recent report on Americans' reading habits. We focus instead on how their book reading fits them into different kinds of groups that explore their library use and attitudes.

## About this typology

This typology is built around the current state of libraries in America: their importance to people's lives, how they are viewed, and the role they play in their communities. Because this is based on survey data of individual Americans' experiences and opinions, not all of the work libraries do will be visible. Yet this report contains important information about the relationship people have to public libraries at a time when reading and information habits are adapting to new technologies and economic realities, and when libraries' strong (though often subtle) place in American culture is the subject of much discussion.

The first thing to know is that the groups in this typology are defined by their engagement with libraries, not demographic characteristics such as gender, age group, race/ethnicity, or household income. We often discuss their demographics and other characteristics as a way to describe who is in these groups and what these groups look like-but characteristics such as age or income do not determine which group someone is placed in. For instance, the "Young and Restless" group does include a higher proportion of young people than the population as a whole (who are more likely to be new to their neighborhoods-the "restless" part of the name), the group does not represent all young people, or even all young "restless" respondents. Rather, low engagement library users who do value libraries but do not know where their local library is (two of the defining traits of the Young and Restless group) are simply more likely to be young than other low engagement groups.

In addition to demographic information, we also report other information about these groups' habits and attitudes. For instance, we asked about how active they are in attending various gatherings and institutions, from sporting events to museums and art galleries. We also asked several questions about their basic information practices and views: Do they read books, enjoy
following the news, or feel comfortable using newer technologies? Would they need help filing their taxes, or learning about relevant government benefits and services? Though their responses to these questions did not inform the typology, they can help provide context for these groups' lives in their communities beyond libraries.

The second thing to understand about this typology is that it is not exclusively a typology of library users-it's a typology of Americans' attitudes, perceptions, and priorities relating to public libraries, in addition to the basic contours of their library use. The following chart shows which factors were used in creating the analysis; for more information about the exact questions that each component was based on, please see the methods section (see Creating the typology) at the end of this report.

```
Factors for those who have ever used a public library: Factors for those who have not ever used a public library:
```

- Importance of public library to community as a whole
- Personal/Household library use
- Personal experiences using library and services (knowledge \& ease of use)
- Intensity of library use
- Familiarity with closest library location
- Proximity to public library
- Negative perceptions of/experiences with public libraries

Factors for those who have not ever used a public library:

- Importance of public libraries broadly
- Importance of library to me/my community
- Ease of access to library
- Declining value of libraries
- Proximity to public library
- Someone else in household uses library

Another thing readers may notice is that the groups outlined in this report are not always strongly delineated. In fact, the groups with the highest levels of public library engagement tend to look very similar, and may be best understood as existing along a gradient of library use and values. The lower engagement groups, by contrast, are often both smaller and more distinct.

Finally, while this typology contains many national-level insights that may be of interest to the public library community, it cannot answer individual libraries' questions about how to allocate their resources, or who their patrons are-nor is it meant to replace the hard work libraries already do to identify needs in their communities, or librarians' deep knowledge about the patrons they serve. Our hope is that this data might lay the foundation for a national conversation by illuminating the habits and attitudes of these groups in a way that lets individual readers understand the larger role libraries play, beyond those readers' individual experiences.

## High Engagement

About three in ten Americans ages 16 and older are "highly engaged" with public libraries. Those in this bracket sort into two basic groups: Library Lovers, who represent the $10 \%$ of Americans with the highest levels of library engagement; and Information Omnivores, who represent an additional $20 \%$ of Americans.

As a whole, the high engagement groups are defined by their high rates of library usage and their extremely positive views about libraries' roles in their communities. The vast majority visited a public library or used a public library website in the past year-far higher rates than the general population. They also appreciate what libraries do for their communities, and value a range of library services from book lending to children's programs. ${ }^{5}$

## High engagement groups

The two high engagement groups, Library Lovers and Information Omnivores, make up $30 \%$ of the U.S. population (ages 16 and older)


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

The groups' interactions with libraries fit into a broader array of involvement in their communities. They are active in their localities, taking advantage of a range of cultural and recreational activities. They feel they have the capacity to effect change for the better in their communities. In addition, they are heavy book readers and news consumers, and feel self- sufficient in pursing the information they need. And contrary to the idea that the internet is replacing the need for libraries in people's lives, these high engagement groups also report some of the highest rates of technology use in our sample.

Demographically, these two groups-Library Lovers and Information Omnivores-are quite similar. Both groups are dominated by women, are relatively well educated, and contain a high proportion of college graduates and graduate-degree holders. High engagement users also tend to be younger, and are more likely to be in life stages where libraries can play a big role; they contain a disproportionate number of parents, students, and young people looking for jobs.

[^4]Yet while the groups share many similarities, they are not identical. One of the main differences between the two high engagement groups is simply the intensity of their library use. For instance, though most visited a library in the past year, the frequency of their visits is very different:

- Among the $87 \%$ of Library Lovers who visited the library in the last 12 months, $58 \%$ go to the library every week-and all visit at least once a month.
- Among the $81 \%$ of Information Omnivores who visited the library in the last 12 months, just $3 \%$ go to the library every week, while $12 \%$ go several times a month and $35 \%$ visit about once a month.

These differences extend to their use of libraries' websites: Among the $83 \%$ of Library Lovers who visited a library website in the past year, $98 \%$ visit the library website at least monthly; among the $79 \%$ of Information Omnivores who visited a library website in the past year, only $23 \%$ visit monthly.

Library Lovers are also far more likely to say that their personal library use has increased over the past five years (53\%), while most Information Omnivores (62\%) say that their library use has stayed about the same.

## Library Lovers visit public libraries more frequently than Information Omnivores

Among Americans in each group who visited a public library in person in the past year, the \% who visited with the following frequencies


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Other than their library habits, the differences between Library Lovers and Information Omnivores revolve around their economic circumstances, their technology ecology, and the personal value they derive from libraries.

For instance, Information Omnivores are more likely than Library Lovers to live in higher-income households, to be employed in full time jobs, and to have higher rates of technology adoption. In fact, Information Omnivores are particularly striking for their mobile connectivity, their ownership of smartphones and tablet computers, and their use of social networking sites. Meanwhile, Library Lovers are more likely to have economic stressors in their lives: A quarter of them are looking for jobs. A third live in households earning less than $\$ 30,000$. Not surprisingly,

Library Lovers are much more likely than Information Omnivores to borrow the books they read and say that library services are important to them and their families.

Along these lines, Library Lovers are considerably more likely to feel personal stake in the future of libraries. Both groups believe libraries are important for communities, but Library Lovers are much more likely than Information Omnivores to say the closing of their local library would have a major impact on them.

## Library Lovers

## Overview

Library Lovers are the heaviest public library users, as well as libraries' greatest supporters. They represent about $10 \%$ of the overall U.S. population.

Library Lovers are frequent users of library services, and are most likely to be knowledgeably about what libraries are doing and the resources they provide. Some $87 \%$ have used a library in the past year, and $83 \%$ have used a library website in that time; almost all of them use the library at least monthly. The closing of their local library would devastate them personally: $75 \%$ say it would have a major impact on them and their families if the library vanished.


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Beyond their library use, they enjoy reading, keeping up with the news, and are comfortable navigating many types of media and information. Notably, they are the most prolific readers, especially of books: $66 \%$ read a book daily or almost every day, and they read an average of 27 books in the past 12 months. And despite the fact that most generally prefer to borrow books rather than purchasing them, a majority of Library Lovers are frequent patrons of bookstores: $57 \%$ say they visit bookstores regularly, more than any other group.

Demographically, this group has the highest share of women (62\%) of any group. Library Lovers are especially likely to be well-educated and have very high levels of technology use; most are comfortable finding many types of information on their own, and love to learn new things. However, with a disproportionate number of parents, students, and job seekers, many are also at life stages that predispose them to rely on library resources; for instance, they contain the greatest proportion of parents of minor children (40\%), and almost all (96\%) of those parents took their children to a library last year. And though they have high levels of education and relatively high levels of household income, Library Lovers are also more likely than some other groups to be nonworkers or working in part-time jobs.

Socially, they are deeply networked and embedded in community activities. They know their neighbors, socialize regularly, and are plugged into their neighborhoods and communities as the heaviest patrons of bookstores, museums, cultural events. Politically, they are more likely than some other groups to be liberal and identify as Democrats.

As mentioned above, the lives of Library Lovers are full of technology: 95\% use the internet; 92\% have cell phones and $58 \%$ have smart phones; $72 \%$ connect with mobile devices. They are also near the top among the groups when it comes to ownership of e-book readers (30\%) and tablet computers ( $39 \%$ ). However, they also value the resources that libraries provide for others in their community, not just themselves; despite their own high rates of technology use, they think that libraries are just as important today as in the past for finding information.

## Jump to:

- Who they are: Demographics and other traits
- Technology and information habits
- Relationship to public libraries


## Who they are

## Demographics

As a group, Library Lovers have noticeably higher proportions of women, married adults, and parents with minor children living at home. They are more likely to be well-educated and relatively upscale in household income, but there are signs of economic challenge among them, too:

- Gender: Library Lovers are the most heavily female-dominated group: 62\% of them are women, and only $38 \%$ are men.
- Age: Their median age is 44, making this one of the younger groups, but more than a decade older collectively than the Young and Restless group.
- Income and jobs: They are a somewhat upscale group in terms of household income: $39 \%$ live in households earning more than $\$ 50,000$. Still, relatively high proportions of them don't work ( $21 \%$ ) or have part-time jobs ( $17 \%$ ) and $25 \%$ say they are looking for jobs. That is likely related to the fact that $23 \%$ of them have lost a job or seen a significant decline in household income in the past 12 months. Some $17 \%$ are students and $42 \%$ work full time.
- Education: $41 \%$ have college degrees or graduate degrees, ranking them among the highest group; and another 31\% have taken some college courses.
- Marriage and parenting: $59 \%$ are married or living with a partner, and $40 \%$ are parents of minor children-both the highest proportion among any group.


## Library Lovers: A demographic portrait

Among Americans ages 16 and older<br>LIBRARY LOVERS ALL AMERICANS



Education ADULTS 18+


Household Income ADULTS 18+


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Other lifestyle notes

Library lovers are spread across community types, reflecting the general distribution of the population. There are indications that most are deeply connected to their communities, wherever they live. For instance, $84 \%$ rate their communities as "excellent" or "good," and they are tied for the highest ranking group with Information Omnivores in believing their own voices matter in community affairs: $81 \%$ say they think people like them have a big or moderate impact on their communities.


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

They are also more likely to be active in socializing with their family and friends: $83 \%$ socialize with family and friends in some form (whether in person, on the phone, or online) on a daily basis. And they are quite likely to know their neighbors: $33 \%$ know all their neighbors, a higher proportion than almost any group.

Library Lovers' higher levels of library use are part of a broader pattern of engagement with their communities. They are significantly more likely to visit bookstores, museums, or concerts than most other groups, and to attend sporting events.

Politically, $35 \%$ are Democrats (compared with $31 \%$ of the full population) and $28 \%$ identify as liberal (compared with $23 \%$ of the full population).

Technology and information

## Library Lovers' community activities

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who do each activity regularly


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## habits

The lives of Library Lovers are much more likely than other groups to be full of both books and technology. They are the heaviest book readers, and they are the most likely to favor borrowing books over buying them-though they are also frequent patrons of bookstores. They have some of the highest rates of internet users, mobile connectivity, and ownership of e-readers and tablet computers, and outpace most other groups in overall social networking site and Twitter usage.

## Technology use

Library Lovers are over-index on many measures of technology use, particularly compared with several other groups: $95 \%$ use the internet, and among internet users, $76 \%$ use social media. Fully $72 \%$ say it would be easy for them to use a library website.

## Library Lovers' technology profile

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies

|  | Library Lovers | All Americans |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Internet use and online activities | $\mathbf{9 5}$ | 86 |
| Use the internet at least occasionally | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 68 |
| Access the internet on cell phone, tablet or other mobile device | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 71 |
| Broadband at home | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | 82 |
| Use the internet every day or almost every day (among internet users) | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 74 |
| Use social networking site (among internet users) | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 20 |
| Use Twitter (among internet users) | $\mathbf{9 2}$ |  |
| Mobile devices and e-readers | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 91 |
| Have a cell phone (total, including smartphones) | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 55 |
| Have a smartphone | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 35 |
| Have a tablet computer |  | 24 |
| Have an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook |  |  |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Defining information traits

The singular defining distinction of Library Lovers' information habits is how much they love books, reading, and information:

- Library Lovers read an average (mean) of 27 books last year, and a median of 12 books.
- They are the most likely group to be heavy patrons of books stores- $57 \%$ regularly go to them, compared with $32 \%$ of the general population.
- However, they also are the most likely group to say they tend to borrow the books they read, rather than buying them: $58 \%$ say they borrowed more books than they purchased in the past year, compared with $38 \%$ of the general population.
- Beyond books, Library Lovers are heavy consumers of information and news in general: $69 \%$ read a newspaper in print or online daily or almost every day, and $66 \%$ read a book that frequently.

Library Lovers are more active in than the general population other ways as well. They are more likely to listen to music or the radio on a daily basis, and are also more likely to socialize with family and friends. They are somewhat less likely to watch television or movies on a daily basis.

In response to a series of questions about their information-seeking habits, Library Lovers also stand out in the answers about how much they like learning new

## Library Lovers' information habits

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who do each activity on a daily basis


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER information, that it would be easy for them to find information on many topics, and that they would feel comfortable on their own completing a variety of information-seeking tasks (rather than seeking help on those activities). Library Lovers are among the least likely groups to say they get frustrated when they can't find information right away, or that they prefer to get information in audio or video format.

## Library Lovers' views about learning new information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

|  | Library Lovers | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I like to learn new things. | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | 69 |
| I like hunting for facts and information that are hard to find. | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 29 |
| I get frustrated when I can't find information I need right away. | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 24 |
| I prefer to get information in audio and video format than reading text. | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 20 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of finding information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Library Lovers | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| News about politics and current events | $\mathbf{9 3}$ | 88 |
| Information about what's happening in your community | $\mathbf{9 1}$ | 85 |
| Reliable health information | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | 79 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | $\mathbf{8 3}$ | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 67 |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Library Lovers | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 33 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Relationship to public libraries

Library Lovers are the most enthusiastic users of libraries and the most appreciative of their local library's role in their own lives, as well as in the community. Almost all of them view public libraries as welcoming and extremely useful places that are easy to navigate and provide a range of important resources.

## Public library use and experiences

Library Lovers are the most prolific users of libraries by virtually every measure of usage:

- $98 \%$ have library cards.
- $87 \%$ visited the library in the last 12 months. Among these recent library visitors, $58 \%$ of them go to the library every week, and all go at least once a month.
- $83 \%$ visited a library website in the past year. Among them, $98 \%$ visit the library website at least monthly.
- $53 \%$ say their library use has increased in the past five years.

Nearly all of them (98\%) say libraries are welcoming places, and $81 \%$ say their local library is a nice, pleasant place to be (compared with $67 \%$ of all those ages 16 and older). They know their way around libraries: $50 \%$ say they know all or most of the services their library offers, and $99 \%$ of those who have visited a public library say it is "easy" or "very easy" for them to find what they are looking for.

Among parents of minors, Library Lovers are the highest ranking group of those whose children use the library: $96 \%$ of the Library Lovers who have minor children say their children have been to a library in the past 12 months.

## Public library attitudes

Library Lovers stand out as the topmost group members who have strongly positive views about the role of libraries in communities.

## Library Lovers' public library usage

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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How well-informed do you feel about the services your public library offers?
Do you feel like you know...


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Views about public libraries in the community
\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

|  | Library Lovers | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | 77 |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | $\mathbf{9 1}$ | 69 |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an <br> important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | $\mathbf{8 9}$ | 72 |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 48 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Views about public libraries and technologies

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

Library Lovers All Americans
People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own.
$5 \quad 22$
Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies.

4
11

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

If their library closed they are the group who are most likely to say they would feel the impact acutely. Three-quarters (75\%) of Library Lovers say that their library's closing would have a "major" impact on them and their family, more than twice the rate for the general public (29\%). And $86 \%$ say that their library's closing would majorly impact their community as a whole, compared with $63 \%$ of all Americans ages 16 and older.

When it comes to individual library services, Library Lovers are the highest-ranking group in their appreciation for every service, including $86 \%$ who say that public libraries' books and media are "very important" to them and their family.

## Most Library Lovers say local library's closing would have a major impact

\% of Americans ages 16+ who say the closing of their local public library would have a "major" impact on...


[^5]
## The importance of individual public library services

Among Americans ages 16 and older who have ever used a public library or have a family member who uses a library, the \% who say each of these services is "very important" to them and their family

|  | Library Lovers | All Americans |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Access to free books and media | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | 54 |
| Having a quiet and safe place to spend time, read or study | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 51 |
| Resources to do research for school or work | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 47 |
| Programs or events for children or teens | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 45 |
| Getting help from a librarian finding information | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 44 |
| Using the library's internet, computers or printers | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | 33 |
| Programs or events for adults | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 28 |
| Help finding or applying for a job | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 30 |
| Help applying for government programs, permits or licenses | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | 29 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Information Omnivores

## Overview

Information Omnivores, the second-highest group in terms of library engagement, represent about $20 \%$ of the U.S. population ages 16 and older; this makes this group about twice the size of the Library Lovers group. Like Library Lovers, they have extremely high levels of engagement with and appreciation for public libraries in their communities, but report lower levels of personal reliance on library services.

As their name implies, Information Omnivores are avid consumers of media and information, and report even higher rates of technology use than Library Lovers. Their library use is high, but not quite as high as Library Lovers-either for themselves or their children. Like Library Lovers, this group has a disproportionate number of parents. As they are somewhat more

## Information Omnivores' recent public library usage

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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financially well-off than Library Lovers, it may be that they can afford to purchase more services themselves instead of relying on libraries. However, they are still huge fans of libraries, especially in terms of their value for the communities as a whole.

## Jump to:

- Who they are: Demographics and other traits
- Technology and information habits
- Relationship to public libraries


## Who they are

## Demographics

Many Information Omnivores sit at top of the socio-economic ladder. They are the group with the highest proportion of financially respondents, and they are more likely to have relatively high levels of education. It is also the group with the greatest share of members working full time. The group also includes significantly higher proportions of women and parents compared with the
general population. It also contains a large share of young people and people who live in big cities, and its respondents tend to rank high in community engagement and a sense of self-efficacy:

- Gender: 57\% of Information Omnivores are women, the highest proportion of any group except Library Lovers.
- Age: Their median age is 40, making them a somewhat younger group than Library Lovers and the second youngest group in the typology.
- Race and ethnicity: Information Omnivores very closely mirror the general population in our sample: $68 \%$ are white, $12 \%$ are black, and $12 \%$ are Hispanic.
- Household income: This group has the highest proportion of financially well-off respondents, with $35 \%$ of its members living in households earning \$75,000 or more.
- Education: They are a relatively highly educated group: 73\% of adults in this group have had some college experience, including the $41 \%$ who have college or graduate degrees. In addition, $23 \%$ are currently students, one of the highest proportions of any group.


## Information Omnivores: A demographic portrait

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group

- INFO OMNIVORES ALL AMERICANS




Education ADULTS 18+
Household Income adult $18+$



Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Other notable characteristics:

- Employment: They are the most likely group to be employed: $52 \%$ have full time jobs.
- Parents: $36 \%$ are parents of minors-similar to Library Lovers -but they are more likely to be parents of young children (ages 2-5). The vast majority of these parents took their child to the library in the past 12 months (90\%), but Information Omnivores are somewhat less likely than Library Lovers to have done so.


## Other lifestyle notes

Information Omnivores are more likely to live in large cities or suburbs compared with other groups, as shown in the following chart.

## Information Omnivores: Where they live

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group

```
\square INFO OMNIVORES ALL AMERICANS
```


## Region <br> Community Type



Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Relatively speaking, Information Omnivores tend to be newcomers to their communities: 39\% have lived in their community for five years or less. Still, they are more likely to view their communities positively than any other group ( $84 \%$ rate their community as a "good" or "excellent" place to live). They are also more likely to think their voice matters in their community, as $36 \%$ say people like themselves can have a "big" impact on making their community a better place to live. Reflecting their cosmopolitan ways, they are more plugged in than most groups to certain activities in their communities. Still, they are significantly less likely than Library Lovers to say they know all their neighbors ( $25 \%$ versus $33 \%$ ). But Information Omnivores socialize a lot: $84 \%$ do so with family and friends in person, on the phone, or online daily.


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Politically, Information Omnivores tilt Democratic (33\% say this) and liberal (29\% say this), at higher rates than the general population.

## Technology and information habits

One of the most striking characteristics of Information Omnivores is their technology ownership and use. They are tied for the highest ranking group as cell owners and smartphone owners, and are the most intense users of the internet: $90 \%$ of the internet users go online every day or almost every day. They are also the top group of tablet computer owners, and are tied with Library Lovers as the leading group owning e-book reading devices.

As their name implies, they are comfortable with a wide variety of information types, and engage with a variety of media sources. They are also more likely than other groups to read books regularly, though their overall book consumption does not quite approach that of Library Lovers. Their book habits also differ in that they are far more likely to prefer to purchase their books than Library Lovers, who generally prefer to borrow them.

## Technology use

Almost all Information Omnivores use the internet (97\%), and most (68\%) have smartphones. Among internet users, $81 \%$ use social networking sites, $25 \%$ use Twitter. They are also notable for
their significantly higher rates of tablet ownership (46\%) and e-readers (33\%) compared with almost every other group.

## Information Omnivores' technology profile

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies

|  | Information Omnivores | All Americans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internet use and online activities |  |  |
| Use the internet at least occasionally | 97 | 86 |
| Access the internet on cell phone, tablet or other mobile device | 81 | 68 |
| Broadband at home | 86 | 71 |
| Use the internet every day or almost every day (among internet users) | 90 | 82 |
| Use social networking site (among internet users) | 81 | 74 |
| Use Twitter (among internet users) | 25 | 20 |
| Mobile devices and e-readers |  |  |
| Have a cell phone (total, including smartphones) | 95 | 91 |
| Have a smartphone | 68 | 55 |
| Have a tablet computer | 46 | 35 |
| Have an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook | 33 | 24 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Defining information traits

When it comes to information, Information Omnivores stand out in a number of ways. Information Omnivores are just as likely as Library Lovers to listen to music, talk radio, or podcasts ( $87 \%$ do this daily), and reading news offline or online (68\%). And like Library Lovers, they are somewhat less likely to watch television or movies (74\%) on a daily basis than the general public.

Information Omnivores are

## Information Omnivores' information habits

\% of Americans 16 and older in each group who do each activity daily ■ Info Omnivores All Americans


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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more likely than most other groups to read regularly, though they are not as likely as Library Lovers to do so. They rank below Library Lovers in:

- Daily book reading: 50\% versus 66\%.
- Average number of books read: Information Omnivores read an average (mean) of 17 books in the past 12 months, compared with 27 for Library Lovers, and a median of 10 books in the past 12 months, compared with 12 for Library Lovers.
- Bookstore visiting: $45 \%$ of Information Omnivores go to bookstores regularly, compared with $57 \%$ of Library Lovers and $32 \%$ of the general public.

Among those who read a book in the past 12 months, $52 \%$ of Information Omnivores say they bought most of those books and $37 \%$ say they borrowed most of the books. Another $10 \%$ say they bought and borrowed in equal proportions.

Most Information Omnivores are immersed in information, but few very much mind it: $82 \%$ say they like having so much information and only $16 \%$ say they feel overloaded. They also stand apart from most other groups-except Library Lovers-in their answers about how much they like learning new information. They are highly confident of their abilities to track down information and master new technologies. They also especially likely to say that it would be easy for them to find information on many topics, and that they would feel comfortable on their own completing a variety of information-seeking tasks (rather than seeking help on those activities).

## Information Omnivores' views about learning new information

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

| Information |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Omnivores |$|$ All Americans
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## Ease of finding information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Information Omnivores | All Americans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| News about politics and current events | 94 | 88 |
| Information about what's happening in your community | 90 | 85 |
| Reliable health information | 84 | 79 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | 85 | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | 74 | 67 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Information <br> Omnivores | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{6 4}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 33 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Relationship to public libraries

Information Omnivores are deeply engaged with libraries, but not quite at the same level as Library Lovers. For instance, they visit libraries, but not nearly at the same level of frequency. Over the past five years, their library use has mostly held steady, rather than risen in the way that Library Lovers has. Their somewhat lower level of engagement with libraries translates into less knowledge about the things that are going on at the local library.

## Public library use and experiences

In almost all respects, Information Omnivores' engagement with libraries far exceeds national benchmarks:


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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- $92 \%$ have library cards, compared with 61\% of all Americans ages 16 and older.
- $81 \%$ of Information Omnivores visited the library in the last 12 months, but their visits are less frequent that that of Library Lovers: Just $3 \%$ go to the library every week, while $12 \%$ go several times a month and $35 \%$ visit about once a month.
- $79 \%$ visited a library website in the last 12 months, though only $22 \%$ of those recent website users visit monthly-the rest log on less often.
- $62 \%$ say their library use has remained the same over the past five years; $16 \%$ say it has increased and $21 \%$ say it has decreased.
- $90 \%$ of the Information Omnivores who have minor children say their children have been to a library in the past 12 months.

Information Omnivores do not rate their knowledge of their local library as high as Library Lovers do. Some $55 \%$ of Information Omnivores say they know some of what the library offers and $29 \%$ say they know all or most of what it offers. They say it is generally easy for them to navigate the library, but not quite as much as Library Lovers: 40\% of Information Omnivores say their experience is that it is "very easy" to find what they are looking for when they visit a public library, compared with $55 \%$ of Library Lovers who say that. And, befitting their urban status, Information Omnivores say the library is easy for them to get to: $89 \%$ live five miles or less from the nearest library.

## Public library attitudes

Information Omnivores have strongly positive views of libraries. Nearly all (95\%) say their experience is that libraries are welcoming, friendly places; $73 \%$ say the physical condition of their local library makes it a nice, pleasant space.

As with other parts of this profile, many of the views of Information Omnivores outrank those in most other groups, but they are a notch or two below the level of personal value expressed by Library Lovers. One striking difference is that Information Omnivores are about half as likely to say that the closing of the local library would have a major impact on them and their families: $39 \%$ of Information Omnivores say that versus 75\% of Library Lovers. The Information Omnivores are also considerably less likely than Library Lovers to say that library services are important to them and their families.

The groups are much closer in their views that the closing of the library would have a major impact on the community. Perhaps because their technology use is so high, Information Omnivores are less likely than several other groups to say libraries provide services that people would have a hard time finding elsewhere.

Most Information Omnivores say their local library's closing would have a major impact on their community
$\%$ of Americans ages $16+$ who say the closing of their local public library would have a "major" impact on...

■ Info Omnivores All Americans


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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#### Abstract

Views about public libraries in the community \% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements |  | Information <br> Omnivores | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | 77 |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 69 |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an <br> important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 72 |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | 48 |
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## Views about public libraries and technologies

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements
$\left.\begin{array}{l|c|c|c|}\hline \text { Information } \\ \text { Omnivores }\end{array}\right]:$ All Americans

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## The importance of individual public library services

Among Americans ages 16 and older who have ever used a public library or have a family member who uses a library, the \% who say each of these services is "very important" to them and their family

|  | Information <br> Omnivores | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Access to free books and media | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 54 |
| Having a quiet and safe place to spend time, read or study | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 51 |
| Resources to do research for school or work | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 47 |
| Programs or events for children or teens | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 45 |
| Getting help from a librarian finding information | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 44 |
| Using the library's internet, computers or printers | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 33 |
| Programs or events for adults | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 28 |
| Help finding or applying for a job | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 30 |
| Help applying for government programs, permits or licenses | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 29 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Medium Engagement

Medium engagement groups make up almost $40 \%$ of the overall U.S. population, and often mirror the general population in their habits, views, and demographics. This is especially true of the larger of the two groups, the Solid Center, who make up 30\% of Americans ages 16 and older. The other medium engagement group, Print Traditionalists, comprises about $9 \%$ of the U.S. population.

Though they tend to be middle-of-the-road in most measures, both of these medium engagement groups are useful in understanding the quiet foundation of public library support in America.

One of the most striking differences between the two high engagement groups and two medium engagement groups is the degree to which they have basic connections to libraries. For instance, almost six in ten members of these medium engagement groups have library cards, roughly in line with the rate for the general population-but notably lower than the high engagement groups. Some $43 \%$ of those in the Solid Center and $48 \%$ of Print Traditionalists visited the library in the previous 12 months, though few of those recent visitors did so more than once a month. Both groups have typical rates of technology usage, and few members of either group make

## Medium engagement groups

The two medium engagement groups, Solid Center and Print Traditionalists, make up 39\% of the general U.S. population (ages 16 and older)


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER frequent use of library websites-though Print Traditionalists (20\%) are more likely to have used a library website in the past year than those in the Solid Center (5\%).

One difference in the two groups' library usage is that Print Traditionalists, who are far more rural than those in the Solid Center, tend to live farther from public libraries-just 11\% say they live within 5 miles of the nearest library. They are also more likely to indicate there are barriers to their library use: Though 62\% of all Americans ages 16 and older say it would be "very easy" to visit a public library in person, this is true for only $46 \%$ of Print Traditionalists (but $69 \%$ of the Solid Center).

```
Distance to nearest public library
% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group
\begin{tabular}{lcc|c} 
& \begin{tabular}{c} 
Solid \\
Center
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Print \\
Traditionalists
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
All \\
Americans
\end{tabular} \\
\hline Less than 5 miles away & 93 & 11 & 72 \\
\hline 6-10 miles away & 7 & 50 & 13 \\
\hline 11-20 miles away & \(*\) & 30 & 4 \\
\hline More than 20 miles away & 0 & 8 & 1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
* indicates <1\%
Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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```

Additionally, while both groups view libraries positively and place a high value libraries' roles in their communities, members of the Solid Center are less likely to say they would be personally impacted by the closing of their local public library. Print Traditionalists are actually more likely to say they and their families rely on various library services, and that the closing of their local public library would have a major personal impact.

The groups also differ somewhat in their demographic make-up:

- Members of the Solid Center generally fall in the middle of the road on all demographic and lifestyle measures, but do include slightly higher proportions of men and older adults compared with the general population; they are also slightly more likely to live in higher income households, and to be politically conservative.
- Meanwhile, Print Traditionalists include a higher proportion of women, and are less likely to have graduated college (about half of adults in this groups have at most a high school education). They are also somewhat more likely to live in lower income households. They are more likely than other groups to be white, while the Solid Center tend to match the general U.S. population on measures of race and ethnicity.


## Solid Center

## Overview

The Solid Center is the largest group in the spectrum of public library users, representing more than a third of them. From their demographic composition to technology use to perceptions of libraries, they generally reflect the circumstances and habits of the overall U.S. population.

Those in the Solid Center appreciate libraries, but a third of them-32\%-say their use of libraries has declined in the past five years. They appreciate libraries as a community resource, but do not view them as an essential personal resource: A majority say that the closing of the local library would have a major impact on their community, but they are significantly less likely than Print Traditionalists to say the loss of the local library would have a major impact on them personally.

The members of the Solid Center cohort feel confident they can get crucial information if they want it. They like having lots of information and do not feel overloaded, and are medium-scale book readers and news consumers. They say they would find it easy to find information about politics and current events, what's happening in their community, health matters, and career opportunities. However, many of them do not necessarily think of the public library as a go-to resource to the same extent as higher engagement groups such as Library Lovers and Information Omnivores.

## Jump to:

- Who they are: Demographics and other traits
- Technology and information habits
- Relationship to public libraries


## Who they are

## Demographics

Demographically, the Solid Center group can be understood as a microcosm of America. As such, their demographic makeup looks broadly like the country as a whole, with only slight variations. For instance, they are a bit more like to be male than the general population ( $51 \%$ are male, $49 \%$ are female), and they are slightly older than the general population, with a median age of 47 . However, racially and ethnically, this group's makeup is very similar to the U.S. as a whole: $66 \%$ are white, $12 \%$ are black, and $14 \%$ are Hispanic. (In this, members of the Solid Center differ from Print Traditionalists, who are disproportionately white.)

Continuing their "center-ness," those in this group are married, partnered, singles, divorced, and widowed in the same proportion as the whole population. Some $28 \%$ are currently parents of minor children, considerably below the level of the High Engagement groups, but above the level of the Low Engagement groups. And the children of parents in the Solid Center are almost as likely to have visited the library as those in the general population.

## Solid Center: A demographic portrait

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group
$\square$ SOLID CENTER ALL AMERICANS
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Household Income ADULTS 18+


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Members of the Solid Center have educational levels that closely map the general adult population in the United States: $9 \%$ never graduated from high school; $35 \%$ ended their education with a high school diploma; $32 \%$ have taken some college courses but have no degree; $24 \%$ are college graduates.

Economically, the Solid Center are in the middle of the pack: $46 \%$ have full time jobs; $13 \%$ have part-time jobs; $18 \%$ are retired; $16 \%$ are not employed for pay; $2 \%$ have their own businesses. Some $19 \%$ are looking for jobs, the same number as are seeking work in the full population; and
$17 \%$ are students. Similarly, they map with the income profile of the country as $29 \%$ of adults live in households earning less than \$30,000; 20\% live in households earning \$30,000-\$49,999; 14\% live in households earning between $\$ 50,000$ and $\$ 74,999$; and $27 \%$ live in households earning $\$ 75,000$ or more. Compared with Print Traditionalists, those in the Solid Center are more likely to live in higher-income households and less likely to live in lower-income households.

## Other lifestyle notes

By being in the middle, Solid Center members are quite different from the other medium engagement group, Print Traditionalists, who are heavily concentrated in rural areas; those in the Solid Center are more evenly distributed throughout various kinds of communities.

## Solid Center: Where they live



Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013..
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Half of those in the Solid Center have lived in their communities for longer than 10 years, and they are generally happy with their communities: $84 \%$ rate them as "good" or "excellent." At the same time, they are a bit less likely than Print Traditionalists to say they feel that people like them can have a big impact in making their community a better place to live ( $28 \%$ versus $34 \%$ ). They are also less likely than Print Traditionalists to say they know all their neighbors ( $27 \%$ versus $35 \%$ ).

Politically, the Solid Center mirrors the partisanship and ideological distribution in the country: $23 \%$ are Republican; $32 \%$ are Democrats; $36 \%$ are independents. Some $37 \%$ of Solid Center members say they are conservative, $35 \%$ say they are moderate, and $21 \%$ say they are liberals.

In community engagement measures, those in the Solid Center are not quite as likely to be regularly engaged in certain activities as those in the high engagement groups, but they are relatively active: $34 \%$ attend sporting events regularly, $28 \%$ regularly visit bookstores, $27 \%$ go to concerts, plays, or dance performances (significantly higher proportions than among Print Traditionalists), and $26 \%$ say they frequent museums or art galleries.

Solid Center members' community activities
\% of Americans 16 and older in each group who do each activity regularly


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Technology and information habits

The Solid Center group's technology-adoption profile is similar to that of the general population.

## Technology profile of Solid Center members

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies

| Internet use and online activities | Solid Center | All Americans |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Use the internet at least occasionally | $\mathbf{8 7}$ | 86 |
| Access the internet on cell phone, tablet or other mobile device | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | 68 |
| Broadband at home | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 71 |
| Use the internet every day or almost every day (among internet users) | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | 82 |
| Use social networking site (among internet users) | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 74 |
| Use Twitter (among internet users) | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 20 |
| Mobile devices and e-readers | $\mathbf{9 1}$ |  |
| Have a cell phone (total, including smartphones) | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 91 |
| Have a smartphone | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 55 |
| Have a tablet computer | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 35 |
| Have an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook |  | 24 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

They differ somewhat from Print Traditionalists because they are more likely to have a smartphone ( $57 \%$ versus $47 \%$ ), more likely to have a tablet computer ( $35 \%$ versus $29 \%$ ), and more likely to use a mobile device to connect to the internet ( $69 \%$ versus $63 \%$ ).

## Defining information traits

Those in the Solid Center have information practices and attitudes that do not vary much from the overall population, or even from their medium engagement counterparts among the Print Traditionalists. They enjoy learning new things generally feel self-sufficient in getting some of the information they need, though they also say they would be more likely than not to ask for help doing more complicated information activities such as applying for government services or benefits, starting a business, and filing their taxes.

They listen to music, watch television, read newspapers and read books at frequencies very close to the U.S. population as a whole. Some $57 \%$ of them say they enjoy keeping up with the news "a lot," more than most other groups.

As a group, in the past 12 months, they have read an average of 11 books and a median of five books (half of them have read more than five and half of them have read less than five). Some $28 \%$ of them regularly go to bookstores, and $56 \%$ say they tend to purchase books rather than borrowing them.

## Solid Center information habits

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who do each activity on a daily basis


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Solid Center views about learning new information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

| I like to learn new things. | Solid Center | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I like hunting for facts and information that are hard to find. | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 69 |
| I get frustrated when I can't find information I need right away. | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 29 |
| I prefer to get information in audio and video format than reading text. | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 24 |
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## Ease of finding information

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Solid Center | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| News about politics and current events | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 88 |
| Information about what's happening in your community | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | 85 |
| Reliable health information | $\mathbf{8 1}$ | 79 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 67 |
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## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Solid Center | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 33 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Relationship to public libraries

One of the most striking differences between the high engagement groups and the medium engagement groups is the degree to which they have basic connections to libraries. As such, those in the Solid Center are less likely than those in the high engagement groups to have library cards or to have visited the library or a library website in the past 12 months.

Notably, $32 \%$ of Solid Center members say their library use has gone down in the past five years. At the same time, $98 \%$ report the library is easy for them to get to, and the vast majority live within five miles of a branch. Among those who have visited a library at some point, $94 \%$ report it is easy to find what they want when they do visit. They also feel pretty familiar with the services and programs their libraries offer.

Those in the Solid Center are more likely than Print Traditionalists to agree that people do not need libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own ( $67 \%$ agree with that versus $47 \%$ of Print Traditionalists).

## Public library use and experiences

Here are some of the key benchmarks of how members of the Solid Center connect with libraries:

- $58 \%$ have a library card.
- $43 \%$ have visited the library in the last 12 months; $44 \%$ of those recent visitors go to the library at least once a month, and $55 \%$ go less frequently.
- Only $5 \%$ used a library website in the past 12 months.
- They are more likely than some other groups to say their library use is decreasing (32\%).

This more moderate engagement with libraries compared with higher engagement groups also carries over to the lives of their children: Some $64 \%$ of Solid Center parents say their children have used a library in the past 12 months, compared with $70 \%$ of all parents in the United States.

## Public library attitudes

Like the overall population, those in the Solid Center express appreciation for libraries and their role in the community across a range of measures. They generally know a fair amount about their local public library, and tend to rate libraries highly overall.

## Views about public libraries in the community

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | Solid Center | $\mathbf{7 7}$ | 77 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | 69 |  |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an <br> important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | 72 |  |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 48 |  |
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Views about public libraries and technologies
\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

| People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find | Solid Center | All Americans |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| most information on their own. | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 22 |
| Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies. | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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However, though they think that libraries are very important to their communities-67\% say that libraries improve the quality of life in a community, and $61 \%$ say that their library's closing would have a major impact on their community as a whole-they tend to value libraries more for their neighbors' sakes than their own. They are less likely to say the loss of the local library would have a major impact on them personally, and are less likely to rely on different library services.


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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| The importance of individual public library services |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Among Americans ages 16 and older who have ever used a public library or have a family member who uses a |  |  |
| library, the \% who say each of these services is "very important" to them and their family |  |  |
|  | Solid Center | All Americans |
| Access to free books and media | $\mathbf{4 7}$ | 54 |
| Having a quiet and safe place to spend time, read or study | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 51 |
| Resources to do research for school or work | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 47 |
| Getting help from a librarian finding information | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 44 |
| Programs or events for children or teens | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 45 |
| Using the library's internet, computers or printers | $\mathbf{3 0}$ | 33 |
| Help applying for government programs, permits or licenses | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 29 |
| Help finding or applying for a job | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 30 |
| Programs or events for adults | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 28 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Print Traditionalists

## Overview

Print Traditionalists represent a modest, specific slice of the U.S. population (about 9\% of Americans ages 16 and older.) Though they value libraries, both personally and for their communities, they don't always have a lot of personal interaction with their local library: Among recent library visitors, only $5 \%$ say they visit at least once a week, and most visit either monthly (47\%) or less often (48\%). Though their library habits are generally similar to those of the Solid Center, Print Traditionalists are much more likely to live in rural areas, and the vast majority say the nearest public library is at least five miles away.

However, they are just as likely as the general population to say their household relies on various individual library services. We have called them Print Traditionalists because they are one of the higher-ranking groups when it comes to valuing the traditional services libraries perform. They value libraries as an important resource-both for themselves and for their communities-even though libraries aren't necessarily a part of their daily lives.

Unlike their medium engagement counterparts in the Solid Center, Print Traditionalists differ demographically from the general population in several ways. They are more likely to be women, for instance, and are more likely to be white. They are also somewhat more likely to live in lower income households, and are less likely to have graduated college-about half of adults in this groups have at most a high school education.

Finally, Print Traditionalists tend to have lower levels of technology use than some other groups, though most use the internet, own cell phones, and use social networking sites. The overall contours of their reading habits are similar to those of the general population, and they are generally comfortable finding various types of information.

## Jump to:

- Who they are: Demographics and other traits
- Technology and information habits
- Relationship to public libraries


## Who they are

## Demographics

Print Traditionalists include a higher proportion of women (57\%) compared with the U.S. population as a whole. They are less likely to have graduated college, as about half (51\%) of adults
in this groups have at most a high school education. They are also somewhat more likely to live in lower income households.

## Print Traditionalists: A demographic portrait

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group

- PRINT TRADItIonalists all americans


Education ADULTS 18+


Household Income ADULTS 18+


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Demographically, one of the largest differences between Print Traditionalists and the general U.S. population is that they are more likely to be white: $75 \%$ of Print Traditionalists are white, a higher proportion than almost any other group.

## Other lifestyle notes

About half (50\%) of Print Traditionalists live in in the south, and $61 \%$ say they would describe the place where they live as a rural area; just $2 \%$ say they live in a large city, compared with $20 \%$ of Americans as a whole.


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Like those in the Solid Center, Print Traditionalists are more likely to have lived in their neighborhood longer than the two high engagement groups. They are especially likely to say they know the names of all or most of their neighbors, and tend to have positive feelings about where they live. Print Traditionalists are also quite social: 81\% say they socialize with friends or family every day or almost every day.

Politically, Print Traditionalists skew Republican (27\%), and are more likely to identify as conservative (44\%) than any other group.

Finally, Print Traditionalists are fairly typical in their activity levels in their communities. About a third (34\%) of Print Traditionalists say they have attended a sporting event in the past year, similar to other high or medium engagement groups.

However, they are often less likely to visit bookstores, attend concerts, or visit museums than many other groups.

## Technology and information habits

Print Traditionalists have fairly typical rates of technology use, but they are somewhat less intense users of the internet and social media services than many other groups.

## Technology use

Print Traditionalists as a group have typical rates of internet use ( $87 \%$ go online, similar to the general U.S. population). However, those that do go online $\log$ on somewhat less often than other internet users: $74 \%$ of online Print Traditionalists say they use the internet every day, versus $82 \%$ of all internet users. Print Traditionalists are also less likely than some other groups to have internet access at home (85\%).

Print Traditionalists are not averse to e-book reading devices: They are just as likely to own ereaders as Americans as a whole ( $24 \%$ own an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook), but just $29 \%$ own tablets (compared with $35 \%$ of Americans ages 16 and older).

## Print Traditionalists' technology profile

| \% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |$\quad$| Print |
| :---: |
| Traditionalists | All Americans

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Defining information traits

Print Traditionalists' daily information habits are generally similar to those of the general population as a whole.

Like those in the Solid Center, Print Traditionalists are less likely to say they read books daily (36\%) than high engagement groups. Print Traditionalists read an average of 13 books in the past year, and a median of $5 .{ }^{6}$ They are slightly less likely to go to bookstores regularly, though are just as likely to purchase

Print Traditionalists' information habits
$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who do each activity on a daily basis


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER (50\%) or borrow (37\%) most of the books they read as the national population.

Print Traditionalists' views and expectations surrounding information are similar to those of the U.S. population as a whole, as shown in the charts below.

## Print Traditionalists' views about learning new information

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

|  | Print <br> Traditionalists | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I like to learn new things. | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 69 |
| I like hunting for facts and information that are hard to find. | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 29 |
| I get frustrated when I can't find information I need right away. | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 24 |
| I prefer to get information in audio and video format than reading text. | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 20 | PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^6]
## Ease of finding information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Print <br> Traditionalists | All Americans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| News about politics and current events | 88 | 88 |
| Information about what's happening in your community | 88 | 85 |
| Reliable health information | 79 | 79 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | 78 | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | 66 | 67 |
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## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Print <br> Traditionalists | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 33 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Relationship to public libraries

## Public library use and experiences

Like those in the Solid Center, Print Traditionalists' library habits generally do not differ too much from the general population:

- $55 \%$ of Print Traditionalists have library cards.
- Most Print Traditionalists say that their library use has stayed about the same over the past five years, and $23 \%$ say their library use has decreased in that time. Another $9 \%$ say their library use has increased (somewhat lower than the national rate for all recent library users).
- $48 \%$ of Print Traditionalists say they have visited a library in person in the past year.
- Among parents of minors, $61 \%$ say their children have visited a library in the past year, somewhat lower than the rate for the general population (70\%).

Though Print Traditionalists are less likely to have ever used a library website (39\%) than higher engagement groups, one in five ( $20 \%$ ) have used one in the past year, compared with just $5 \%$ of those in the Solid Center. They visit library websites monthly at most-47\% of website visitors do this-with another $48 \%$ visiting less often. Most members of this group say it would be easy to use a library website if they wanted to, with $42 \%$ saying it would be "very easy."

Like those in the Solid Center, Print Traditionalists' in-person library visits are relatively infrequent: Among recent library visitors, only $5 \%$ say they visit at least once a week, and most visit either monthly (47\%) or less frequently (48\%). However, Print Traditionalists tend to live farther from public libraries, with just $11 \%$ reporting they live within 5 miles of the nearest library. Similarly, though $62 \%$ of all Americans ages 16 and older say it would be "very easy" to visit a public library in person, this is true for only $49 \%$ of Print Traditionalists.

Though they tend to live farther away from their libraries, Print Traditionalists' familiarity with what their libraries is in line with the national benchmark: They are about as likely to know all or most of the services their library offers (21\%) and to say it's usually easy or very easy to find what they're looking for at the library (93\%). They have also had very positive

## Most Print Traditionalists say the nearest public library is at least five miles away

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER experiences at public libraries:

- Like other high and medium engagement groups, almost all those who have visited a library say that libraries are welcoming places ( $97 \%$ ).
- 70\% describe their library as a nice, pleasant place to be.
- Just $7 \%$ say they have ever had a negative experience using a public library.


## Public library attitudes

Overall, Print Traditionalists have more positive views of public libraries than those in the Solid Center, and most strongly think that libraries are important to their communities in many ways. Asked about the impact of newer technologies on libraries' roles, Print Traditionalists are less likely to think that people do not need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own.

## Views about public libraries in the community

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

|  | Print <br> Traditionalists | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | $\mathbf{8 0}$ | 77 |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an <br> important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | 69 |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 48 |
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## Views about public libraries and technologies

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

| Print | Traditionalists | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find <br> most information on their own. | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 22 |
| Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies. | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Along these lines, they are more likely than the general population to say it would have a major impact on their community as a whole- $69 \%$ say this. And $25 \%$ say that their local public library's closing would have a major impact on them and their families, more than those in the Solid Center (18\%).

One of the more interesting aspects of their relationship to libraries is that despite their fairly low rates of personal library use, Print Traditionalists are generally as likely as other respondents-and often more likely than Solid Center members-to say that various library services are very important to them and their families, as shown in the following chart.

## The importance of individual public library services

Among Americans ages 16 and older who have ever used a public library or have a family member who uses a library, the \% who say each of these services is "very important" to them and their family

|  | Print <br> Traditionalists | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Having a quiet and safe place to spend time, read or study | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 51 |
| Access to free books and media | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 54 |
| Resources to do research for school or work | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 47 |
| Programs or events for children or teens | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 45 |
| Getting help from a librarian finding information | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 44 |
| Using the library's internet, computers or printers | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 33 |
| Help finding or applying for a job | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 30 |
| Help applying for government programs, permits or licenses | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 29 |
| Programs or events for adults | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 28 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Low Engagement

The three low engagement groups in our typology-Not For Me, Young and Restless, and Rooted and Roadblocked-fall at the farthest end of the spectrum of library users. Unlike members of the medium engagement groups, who generally report being moderately active in their library habits, only about a third of the low engagement users have used a public library in any form in the past year. However, those in the low engagement groups have used a library at some point in their lives, which sets them apart from the non-engagement groups (who have no personal library experience).

These three groups are relatively small, together accounting for less than $20 \%$ of the U.S. population. They are also some of the most interesting groups in the typology because they are relatively distinct in their habits and views.

Members of these three groups generally share a lack of personal engagement with their local libraries, as well as a general familiarity with library services. A majority of all three groups say they know "not much" or "nothing at all" about the services at their local public library. However, the context of their low library engagement varies from group to group.

## Low engagement groups

The three low engagement groups (Not For Me, Young and Restless, and Rooted and Roadblocked) make up $17 \%$ of the general U.S. population (ages 16 and older)


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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For instance, the Not For Me group is set apart by its negative views of public libraries. In contrast to the other two low engagement groups, they don't view libraries as particularly important to their communities. In fact, only $56 \%$ of the Not For Me group say their library's closing would have any impact on their community, compared with $85 \%$ of the other two low engagement groups and $90 \%$ of the general population. This group includes a somewhat higher proportion of men, and its respondents are often older (as a group, the a median age is 46). Members of this group also tend to have lower levels of education, with just $18 \%$ having graduated college.


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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As the name of the second low engagement group implies, the Young and Restless tend to be both younger and more transient: Alost three-quarters (73\%) are under the age of 50 (their median age is 33), and most say they have lived in their current neighborhood five years or fewer. This might help account for the fact that fewer than one in six (15\%) even know where the closest public library is located-a lower proportion than any other group, and the most striking aspect of their current relationship with libraries. However, all have used a library at some point in their lives, and they tend to have positive views of libraries in general; at the moment, however, libraries aren't a part of their daily lives.

The final low engagement group, Rooted and Roadblocked, stands apart from the others on many measures. Their name comes from the fact that they tend to be longtime residents of their neighborhoods, but in many ways are disengaged from their communities. This is likely related to their age (most are over the age of 50 ) and various circumstances in their lives: $35 \%$ are retired, $27 \%$

Few "Young and Restless" know where the closest public library is to where they currently live
$\%$ of Americans ages $16+$ in each group


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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are living with a disability, and $34 \%$ say that they or a loved one had a major illness within the past year. Many say they would need help using newer technologies.

Like the other two low engagement groups, Rooted and Roadblocked respondents tend to have low levels of personal library use-about a third (33\%) visited the library in the last 12 months, and only $6 \%$ used a library website in that time. However, they are far more likely than the other two low engagement groups to say their library's closing would majorly impact themselves and their families, as well as on their communities as a whole.

Low engagement groups differ in their perceptions of the impact of their local public library's closing
$\%$ who say it would have a major impact on their . . .


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Though many in these low engagement groups are in life stages where they won't necessarily be pulled into the library by certain life stage-related factors (such as having minor children, having research needs related to college, or exploring training for work), this is not to say that they would have no use for libraries. On the contrary, groups such as Not For Me and Rooted and Roadblocked are less comfortable with newer technologies, tend to have lower levels of education and household income, and are generally more likely to say they would need help finding various types of information; thus while they seem to have many needs that could be met by libraries, they are often less likely to turn to the library for various reasons, such as perceived lack of relevance due to lack of familiarity, or a lack of personal mobility.

Overall, each of the low engagement groups have unique circumstances for their lack of library use, which may point to distinct barriers to engagement. For instance, few Young and Restless respondents are even aware of where their local library is located, but they report having an easier time finding what they're looking for when the do visit. Meanwhile, the Not For Me respondents are more likely to have difficulty visiting their library, and are often less comfortable navigating libraries in person or online.

## Not For Me

## Overview

Though accounting for only $4 \%$ of the U.S. population, the Not For Me group is striking in its negative perceptions of public libraries, even in comparison with the other two low engagement groups. Unlike the other two low engagement groups, they generally do not view libraries as an important resource for their communities:

- $70 \%$ say that people do not need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own, compared with $52 \%$ of all respondents.
- Only $56 \%$ say their library's closing would have any impact on their community, compared with $85 \%$ of the other two low engagement groups and $90 \%$ of the general population.
- Just $38 \%$ say that having a public library improves the quality of life in a community, compared with $94 \%$ of the U.S. population as a whole.

Perhaps related to their lack of interest in libraries, members of the Not for Me group know less about what services are offered, have more difficulty finding things, and are more likely to have had a negative experience at their local public library:

- $39 \%$ say it would be "very easy" to visit a public library in person, compared with a majority $62 \%$ of the general population.
- $32 \%$ say they know nothing at all about the services at their local public library.
- $26 \%$ say it would be "very easy" to use a public library website, compared with $47 \%$ of the general population.
- $21 \%$ have had a negative experience at a library, compared with $9 \%$ of all those who have ever used a public library.

Members of this group do not appear to reject libraries because they have lots of technology in their lives and use it for information acquisition, rather than libraries. On the contrary, they tend to have lower rates of technology adoption, particularly internet use, and are less confident navigating several types of information. An important clue to their state of mind about the importance of information is that only $57 \%$ of the Not For Me group say that people like them can have an impact on making their community a better place-the second lowest ranking group on that question.

The Not for Me group includes a higher proportion of men, with a slightly older median age of around 46. Members of this group also tend to have lower levels of education than the general population, with fewer than one in five ( $18 \%$ ) having graduated college. They are much more likely
to have lived in their neighborhood for longer periods, though less active in certain activities in their communities.
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## Who they are

## Demographics

## Not For Me: A demographic portrait

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group
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Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

The Not For Me group is often very similar in some demographics to the general population, with some exceptions:

- Gender: Unlike the general population, this group includes a higher proportion of men: $56 \%$ are men, compared with $48 \%$ of all Americans in our sample.
- Education: Members of this group tend to have lower levels of education, with just $18 \%$ having graduated college.
- Age: The Not For Me tend to be slightly older, with a median age of around 46.

Members of this group are also somewhat less likely to be married (41\%), and are also a little less likely to be parents (26\%) than the general population. They are also a little less likely to be employed full-time (39\%), and more likely to be retired (23\%).

## Other lifestyle notes

In terms of where they live, those in the Not For Me group are in line with the U.S. population as a whole; they can be found in every U.S. region and in every community type, though they are slightly less likely than the general population to live in urban or suburban areas.

Not For Me: Where they live
$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group
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Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Like their fellow low engagement group Rooted and Roadblocked, Not For Me respondents are tend to have lived in their neighborhood for longer periods than other groups: $34 \%$ have lived in their current neighborhood for more than 20 years (compared with the national benchmark of $26 \%$ ), and another $22 \%$ have lived there for 11-20 years. Yet they do not feel as positively about their community as some other groups, or feel as empowered to effect change:

- Though most still describe it as an "excellent" or "good" place to live, Not For Me respondents are more likely than many other groups to describe their community as "fair" or "poor".
- Only $57 \%$ think people like them can have even a moderate impact on their community, and a third (34\%) think they can only have a small impact or none or at all.

Members of the Not For Me group are less engaged in their communities in terms of activities such as attending sporting events, museums, or concerts. Notably, $45 \%$ do not participate in any of these activities regularly at all.

In terms of politics, those in the Not For Me group skews conservative, but also disengaged. They identify as Democrat at about half the national rate ( $15 \%$, compared with a national benchmark of $31 \%$ ), but are not necessarily more likely to identify as Republican; rather, they are more likely to not have political identification overall (12\%).

## Technology and information habits

Members of the Not For Me group have lower levels of technology use in some areas, and are also less likely to read or consume other forms of media regularly. They read an average of 10 books in the past 12 months (a median of 3), significantly fewer than higher engagement groups such as Library Lovers, Information Omnivores, and Print Traditionalists-though these habits are similar to other low engagement groups.

The Not for Me group does not resist library use because they feel self-sufficient. Rather, Not For Me respondents are more likely to say they would need assistance finding various types of information, such as reliable health information.

## Technology use

Those in the Not For Me group have somewhat lower rates of technology use than Americans as a whole, particularly internet adoption. Most do go online, but they log on somewhat less often than other internet users-though they are generally as likely as other internet users to use social networking sites or Twitter.

## The Not for Me group's technology profile

| \% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Internet use and online activities | Not For Me | All Americans |
| Use the internet at least occasionally | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 86 |
| Access the internet on cell phone, tablet or other mobile device | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 68 |
| Broadband at home | $\mathbf{6 6}$ | 71 |
| Use the internet every day or almost every day (among internet users) | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 82 |
| Use social networking site (among internet users) | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 74 |
| Use Twitter (among internet users) | $\mathbf{1 7}$ | 20 |
| Mobile devices and e-readers | $\mathbf{8 9}$ |  |
| Have a cell phone (total, including smartphones) | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | 91 |
| Have a smartphone | $\mathbf{3 1}$ | 55 |
| Have a tablet computer | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 35 |
| Have an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook |  | 24 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Defining information traits

Looking closer at their information habits, we find that Not For Me members are much less likely to report reading a book daily than most other groups, though most say they read the news.

A lack of engagement with reading and books is one of the most striking features of Not For Me respondents' information habits, and may be a contributing factor to their lower levels of engagement with libraries. For instance, they are less likely to say that they read books on a daily basis, and read an average of 10 books in the past 12 months (a median of 3), significantly fewer than higher engagement groups such as Library Lovers, Information Omnivores, and Print Traditionalists-though these habits are similar to other low engagement groups.

## Not For Me information habits

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who do each activity on a daily basis


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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In addition:

- $26 \%$ say they go to bookstores regularly, compared with $32 \%$ of the general population.
- About half say they purchase most books (50\%), in line with the national benchmark, though the Not For Me are slightly less likely to borrow (30\%) them. Another 8\% say they are just as likely to buy their books as to buy them.
- They are also more likely than the general population to prefer information in audio or visual format to reading text ( $54 \%$, compared with $49 \%$ of all Americans).

Asked a series of questions about their information attitudes and abilities, they are more likely to say it would be difficult to find various types of information, such as reliable health information.

## Not for Me views about learning new information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

|  | Not For Me | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I like to learn new things. | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 69 |
| I like hunting for facts and information that are hard to find. | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 29 |
| I get frustrated when I can't find information I need right away. | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 24 |
| I prefer to get information in audio and video format than reading text. | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 20 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of finding information

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Not For Me | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| News about politics and current events | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 88 |
| Information about what's happening in your community | $\mathbf{7 3}$ | 85 |
| Reliable health information | $\mathbf{6 9}$ | 79 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 67 |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Not For Me | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{5 9}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 33 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Relationship to public libraries

## Public library use and experiences

The Not For Me group's recent library usage is consistent with that of the other low engagement groups. They are also particularly less likely to have recently used a library website:

- $40 \%$ have library cards.
- $31 \%$ have visited a library in person in the past year.
- $12 \%$ say they have used a library website in the past year.
- $9 \%$ say their library use has increased in the past five years; $53 \%$ say their library use has stayed about the same, and another $29 \%$ say their library use has decreased in that time.

Those in the Not For Me group are much less likely than higher engagement groups to say they would have no problems visiting a library or used a library website if they wanted to: Just $39 \%$ say it would be "very easy" to visit a public library in person (compared with the national benchmark of $62 \%$ ), and $26 \%$ say it would be "very easy" to use a public library website (compared with the national benchmark of $47 \%$.) In addition:

Not for Me respondents are somewhat less likely to say they live within five miles of the nearest library ( $62 \%$, versus $72 \%$ of the general population).

- They are also less likely to say it's usually easy or very easy for them to find what they're looking for at the library: $70 \%$ say this, compared with $91 \%$ of all those who have ever visited a public library in person.
- Altogether, over half say they know "not much" ( $25 \%$ ) or "nothing at all" ( $32 \%$ ) about the services at their local public library.

Another clue to their low levels of engagement might be that Not For Me respondents have more negative experiences with the libraries they have come into contact with:

- They are the group most likely to say that they have had a negative experience using a public library, at more than twice the national rate: $21 \%$ have had a negative experience, compared with $9 \%$ of all those who have ever used a public library.
- Among the groups with any personal library experiences, they are the group least likely to say that public libraries are welcoming and friendly places: Just 70\% say that, while $16 \%$ say libraries are not welcoming.
- Just over half (52\%) say their library is a nice, pleasant place to be, lower than the $67 \%$ of the general population who say this. Another $20 \%$ say it's an okay space (but needs improvements), and $5 \%$ say it needs a lot of improvement; another $18 \%$ say they could not give an opinion because they have never been inside their local public library.


## Public library attitudes

In general, the Not For Me have much more negative opinions about public libraries than any other group. Not only do they not have a personal relationship with public libraries, they do not
view libraries as useful to their communities or even relevant in the digital age. For instance, 70\% say that people do not need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own; not even half (48\%) agree overall that libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. And just 38\% agree that having a public library improves the quality of life in a community, compared with $94 \%$ of all Americans.

In addition, many Not For Me members also do not have the familiarity with libraries to give an opinion one way or another. In one example, $31 \%$ did not know whether or not libraries do a good job keeping up with new technologies.

## Views about public libraries in the community

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | Not For Me | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an <br> important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{7 2}$ |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 69 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Views about public libraries and technologies

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

| People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find | Not For Me | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| most information on their own. | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 22 |
| Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies. | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | 11 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

[^7]These negative views are reflected further in their overall evaluation of the important of libraries, both to themselves and to their communities. This is illustrated most strikingly by the fact that only one in five (21\%) of the Not For Me group say that their local public library's closing would have a major impact on their community, compared with $63 \%$ of the general population. Similarly, just $14 \%$ say it would have a "major impact" on them and their family, compared with the $29 \%$ of all Americans ages 16 and older who say it would have a major household impact.

## Few Not for Me say local library's closing would have major impact on community

$\%$ of Americans ages 16+ who say the closing of their local public library would have a "major" impact on...


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Some respondents in this group do say that certain library services are very important to them and their family, but far fewer say this than almost any other group-including at least one of the non-user groups. And almost half (48\%) say that none of these services are very important, a significantly higher rate than any other group.

## The importance of individual public library services: Not For Me

Among Americans ages 16 and older who have ever used a public library or have a family member who uses a library, the \% who say each of these services is "very important" to them and their family

|  | Not For Me | All Americans |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Having a quiet and safe place to spend time, read or study | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 51 |
| Programs or events for children or teens | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 45 |
| Access to free books and media | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 54 |
| Getting help from a librarian finding information | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 44 |
| Resources to do research for school or work | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | 47 |
| Help finding or applying for a job | $\mathbf{2 3}$ | 30 |
| Help applying for government programs, permits or licenses | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 29 |
| Using the library's internet, computers or printers | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 33 |
| Programs or events for adults | $\mathbf{1 4}$ | 28 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Young and Restless

## Overview

On first glance, the Young and Restless group might seem to confirm certain narratives about young people's perceived lack of engagement with libraries. However, this group does not represent all young people-in fact, young people in general do not have lower rates of library use than older adults, and high engagement groups tend to be younger than the less engaged. Instead, it is the case that this is a group of non-users that tends to be younger. However, Young and Restless group members do tend to have generally positive views of libraries, and some personal experiences with them; they simply are not using public libraries at their current point in life.

Similar to the other low engagement groups, only a third of the members of this group have a library card, and about as many have visited a library in the past year. Most are under the age of 50 (their median age is 33), and they are more urban than most other groups. They also haven't lived in their neighborhoods very long, which might help account for the fact that fewer than one in five even know where the closest public library is located-far fewer than members of any other group, and the defining feature of their current relationship with libraries. However, all have used a library at some point in their lives, and they tend to have positive views of libraries in general; at the moment, libraries just aren't on their radar.

## Jump to:

- Who they are: Demographics and other traits
- Technology and information habits
- Relationship to public libraries


## Who they are

## Demographics

As their name implies, Young and Restless respondents are much more likely to be younger than most other groups. Most are under the age of 50, and their median age is 33 . They stand out from the general population in other ways as well:

- Gender: They include a higher proportion of men compared with the general population, as $53 \%$ are men and $47 \%$ are women.
- Race/ethnicity: This group is also more diverse than most others, as just $56 \%$ of its members are white (compared with $65 \%$ of the general population).
- Household income: The distribution of their household income more or less reflects that of the general population, although it is a little more divided: $37 \%$ are in households
earning less than \$30,000 per year, but 26\% live in households earning at least \$75,000 per year-fewer are in the intervening categories.


## Young and Restless: A demographic portrait

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group

- YOUNG \& RESTLESS ALL AMERICANS


Education ADULTS 18+


Household Income adults 18+


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Other lifestyle notes

Some $36 \%$ of the members of this group say they live in large cities, a higher proportion than almost any other group-though many also live in suburbs or small towns. In terms of region, almost half (48\%) live in the South, significantly more than almost any other group except for Print Traditionalists and those "Off the Grid".

# Young and Restless: Where they live <br> $\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group <br> ■ YOUNG \& RESTLESS ALL AMERICANS 

## Region



## Community Type



Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

As denoted by the second half of their name, they are more transient than other groups. A third have lived in their neighborhood less than a year, and $40 \%$ have lived there for one to five years. Perhaps related to their shorter tenure, few (12\%) say they know the names of all their neighbors, and $44 \%$ say they don't know any-one of the highest rates in any group.

## Most members of the Young \& Restless have lived in their neighborhoods for five years or fewer

How long the following groups have lived in their neighborhoods

| $\square<1$ year | - 1 to 5 | $\square 6$ to 10 |  | -11 to 2022 | $\square>20$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Not for Me | 9 | 22 | 12 |  | 34 |  |  |
| Young \& Restless |  | 32 |  | 40 | 11 | 8 | 9 |
| Rooted \& Roadblocked | 6 | 22 | 16 | 19 | 3 |  |  |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Young and Restless respondents generally feel positively about their communities, and feel they have the power to effect change. They are also very similar to the overall population in their attendance of most of the activities we asked about.

Politically, they tend to lean a little more moderate or liberal, though their party identification is similar to that of the general population: $32 \%$ identify as Democrat, 22\% identify as Republican, and $34 \%$ identify as independent.

## Technology and information habits

The Young and Restless have high rates of technology use overall, particularly when it comes to mobile adoption. However, they do not differ too


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER much from the general population in the broad outlines of their basic daily information and media habits. They like to learn, and are comfortable finding various types of information on their own.

Their overall reading habits are fairly typical, and they read an average of 11 books in the year. At least six in ten (61\%) say they purchase most of their books, one of the highest rates among any group. However, it seems they make more of their book purchases online, as they are less likely to visit bookstores in person than the general population.

## Technology use

Members of the Young and Restless group are distinguished by their extremely high rates of mobile use: $82 \%$ access the internet on a cell phone, tablet, or other mobile device, and $68 \%$ own a smartphone specifically. They also have higher rates of social media usage compared with other internet users. However, this group is not necessarily more likely to score highly on all our measures of technology use. Their rates of tablet and e-reader ownership are similar to that of the general population ( $36 \%$ own a tablet, and $24 \%$ an e-reader), as are their overall internet and cell phone ownership rates.

| The Young and Restless group's technology profile |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies |  |  |
|  | Young and <br> Restless | All Americans |
| Internet use and online activities | $\mathbf{9 0}$ | 86 |
| Use the internet at least occasionally | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | 68 |
| Access the internet on cell phone, tablet or other mobile device | $\mathbf{7 6}$ | 71 |
| Broadband at home | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | 82 |
| Use the internet every day or almost every day (among internet users) | $\mathbf{8 6}$ | $\mathbf{8 6}$ |
| Use social networking site (among internet users) | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 20 |
| Use Twitter (among internet users) | $\mathbf{9 3}$ |  |
| Mobile devices and e-readers | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 91 |
| Have a cell phone (total, including smartphones) | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | 55 |
| Have a smartphone | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 35 |
| Have a tablet computer | 24 |  |
| Have an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook |  |  |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Defining information traits

In broad strokes, the Young and Restless do not differ too much from the general population in their basic daily information and media habits. They are a little more likely than the national benchmark to listen to music or the radio on a daily basis, and a little less likely to watch television or movies or read a book that often.

Their overall reading habits are also fairly typical: As a group, the Young and Restless read an average of 11 books in the past 12 months, and a median of 5 . Some $16 \%$ did not read any books in the previous year, similar to the rate for all Americans. They are also more likely to buy their books: At

## Young and Restless information habits

\% of Americans 16 and older in each group who do each activity daily
■ Young and Restless $\quad$ All Americans


[^8]least six in ten (61\%) say they purchase most of their books instead of borrowing them, one of the highest rates of any group. However, like other low and medium engagement groups, they are somewhat less likely to visit bookstores in person than the general population.

## Young and Restless views about learning new information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

|  | Young and Restless | All Americans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I like to learn new things. | 69 | 69 |
| I get frustrated when I can't find information I need right away. | 30 | 24 |
| I like hunting for facts and information that are hard to find. | 26 | 29 |
| I prefer to get information in audio and video format than reading text. | 22 | 20 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of finding information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Young and Restless | All Americans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| News about politics and current events | 88 | 88 |
| Reliable health information | 83 | 79 |
| Information about what's happening in your community | 80 | 85 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | 76 | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | 62 | 67 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Young and <br> Restless | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{7 9}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{3 8}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 33 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Looking closer at their information habits and attitudes, we find that they like to learn new things, and most (80\%) say they like having so much information available these days-only $16 \%$ say they feel overloaded. They generally feel more confident than many other groups in being able to complete the tasks related to technology, though are a little more likely to need help with tasks such as applying for government services or benefits.

## Relationship to public libraries

## Public library use and experiences

The basic library habits of the Young and Restless are similar to those of the other two low engagement groups, though they are more likely to make use of library websites:

- $32 \%$ have a library card.
- $32 \%$ visited a library in person in the past year. Among these recent library visitors, hardly any go to the library every week; $34 \%$ go at least once a month, and $62 \%$ go less often.
- $25 \%$ used a library website in the past year. (About half- $-50 \%$-have used a library website at some point in their lives.)
- $36 \%$ say their library use has increased in the past five years, compared with $25 \%$ of the general population.

They generally feel it would be easy to use the library, but are less likely to know much about what resources and services are offered:

## Distance from nearest library

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say the nearest public library is ...

- Just $11 \%$ know all or most of the services their library offers (compared with $23 \%$ of general population), and $32 \%$ say they know nothing at all.
- Among those who have ever visited a library in person, most say it would be easy to visit a public library, and only $22 \%$ say it would be "very easy."

|  | Young and <br> Restless | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Less than 5 miles away | 11 | 72 |
| 6-10 miles away | 3 | 13 |
| 11-20 miles away | 1 | 4 |
| More than 20 miles away | 0 | 1 |
| Not sure where the nearest <br> library is | $\mathbf{8 5}$ | $\mathbf{9}$ |
| Total \% who know where the <br> nearest library is | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | $\mathbf{9 1}$ |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER
The biggest obstacle to their library use might simply be lack of awareness of local offerings: 85\% do not know where their closest public library is, compared with $9 \%$ of general population. Among the $15 \%$ who do know where the nearest library is, most say it is within five miles.

## Public library attitudes

Though most have not used a library recently, most Young and Restless respondents have positive views of public libraries and their roles in the community. For instance, $93 \%$ say libraries are welcoming places, and $99 \%$ overall agree that libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. They are somewhat less likely to feel that libraries are as essential in other roles in their communities. For instance, just $37 \%$ strongly agree that public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere, compared with the national benchmark of $48 \%$.

## Views about public libraries in the community

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

|  | Young and <br> Restless | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | $\mathbf{7 1}$ | 77 |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an <br> important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 72 |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 69 |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 48 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Views about public libraries and technologies

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

Young and
People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own.
Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies.

Restless

All Americans

12

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Young and Restless respondents are somewhat more likely than all those who have ever visited a public libraryto be ambivalent about the individual libraries they have encountered: They are far less likely to say their local library is a nice, pleasant place to be ( $29 \%$ say this, compared with $67 \%$ of all those ages 16 and older). Another $13 \%$ say that it was an okay space but could use some improvement, but $51 \%$ volunteered that they could not say because they have never been inside their local library.

The Young and Restless differ from the other two low engagement groups in their moderate views towards the importance of libraries in the community. They are similar to Not For Me respondents
in that few say their local library's closing would have a major personal impact; more say that their library's closing would have a major impact on their community as a whole, but not at the levels of Rooted and Roadblocked respondents.

Members of this group are less likely to say that they and their family rely on various individual library services (38\% say another member of their household uses the library), though they are more likely than Not For Me respondents to say so. One of the biggest differences is in the importance of libraries' free books and media: Only $44 \%$ say this resource is "very important," compared with $54 \%$ of all respondents with some library exposure. Finally, $35 \%$ say that none of these services are very important to them and their family.

## Young \& Restless views on impact of library's closing

$\%$ of Americans ages $16+$ who say the closing of their local public library would have a "major" impact on...

■ Young and Restless All Americans


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## The importance of individual public library services: Young \& Restless

Among Americans ages 16 and older who have ever used a public library or have a family member who uses a library, the \% who say each of these services is "very important" to them and their family

|  | Young and <br> Restless | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Having a quiet and safe place to spend time, read or study | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 51 |
| Access to free books and media | $\mathbf{4 4}$ | 54 |
| Resources to do research for school or work | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 47 |
| Getting help from a librarian finding information | $\mathbf{3 6}$ | 44 |
| Programs or events for children or teens | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 45 |
| Help finding or applying for a job | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 30 |
| Help applying for government programs, permits or licenses | $\mathbf{2 8}$ | 29 |
| Using the library's internet, computers or printers | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 33 |
| Programs or events for adults | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 28 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Rooted and Roadblocked

## Overview

The final low engagement group, Rooted and Roadblocked, represents about 7\% of the U.S. population. Their name derives from the fact that they are longtime residents of their neighborhoods, but many have challenging factors in their lives and are less active in certain community activities. They stand out in part due to their age (most are over the age of 50) and various circumstances in their lives: $35 \%$ are retired, $27 \%$ are living with a disability, and $34 \%$ experienced a major illness (either personally or that of a loved one) within the past year.

While they too have low levels of personal library use-33\% visited the library in the last 12 months, and only $6 \%$ used a library website in that time - Rooted and Roadblocked members are far more likely than other low engagement groups to say their library's closing would have a major impact on their families and on their communities. Thus, rather than representing a more negative view of libraries, as with the Not For Me group, Rooted and Roadblocked respondents' low levels of library engagement seem to fit into a broader context of low engagement with certain types of information and similar community activities.

## Jump to:

- Who they are: Demographics and other traits
- Technology and information habits
- Relationship to public libraries


## Who they are

## Demographics

In terms of age, Rooted and Roadblocked respondents represent one of the oldest groups in the typology: Almost two-thirds (66\%) are over the age of 50, and one in three (34\%) is over the age of 65 ; the group's median age is 58 . They stand out in other ways as well:

- Race/ethnicity: This group has a somewhat higher proportion of whites (69\%) compared with many other groups, and the U.S. population as a whole.
- Socioeconomic status: Members of this group are also more likely to have lower levels of education ( $51 \%$ of adults in this group did not attend college) and slightly lower household incomes than the general population.


## Rooted and Roadblocked: A demographic portrait

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group

- ROOTED \& ROADBLOCKED ALL AMERICANS


Education ADULTS 18+


Household Income ADULTS 18+


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Other lifestyle notes

Rooted and Roadblocked respondents can be found in every region, and in every community type. Some $20 \%$ live in big cities, $22 \%$ live in the suburbs, $40 \%$ live in a small city or town, and $16 \%$ live in a rural area.

## Rooted and Roadblocked: Where they live

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group<br>ROOTED \& ROADBLOCKED ALL AMERICANS

## Region <br> Community Type




Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

The first half of the "Rooted and Roadblocked" name stems from the fact that most have lived in their neighborhood for at least 11 years-including the $37 \%$ who have lived in their current neighborhood for more than 20 years. However, despite their longer tenure, they are not more likely to know their neighbors' names than many groups who have lived in their neighborhoods for shorter periods-and $25 \%$ don't know any of their neighbors' names.

The second half of their name relates to the various roadblocks many of them face in their life circumstances. For instance, like the Not For Me group, they are less likely to feel that they can effect change in their communities: only $62 \%$ say they feel they can have at least a moderate impact on their community, and $33 \%$ say people they them can only make a small impact or no impact at all. Other circumstances are more likely to affect their lives as well:

- Employment: Rooted and Roadblocked respondents are less likely to be in the


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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workforce, and $35 \%$ are retired.

- Health issues: $34 \%$ experienced a major illness-either their own, or that of a loved onewithin the past year, more than most other groups.
- Living with disability: $27 \%$ are living with a disability, a significantly higher proportion than almost any other group.

Perhaps as a result, they are also less engaged in certain community activities, from attending sporting events to visiting museums; over half do not regularly participate in any of the general activities we asked about (though they may be engaged in other community activities not covered in our questions).

Rooted and Roadblocked respondents are fairly typical in their political identification23\% identify as Republican, 29\% say they are Democrats, and $32 \%$ are Independents-

## Rooted and Roadblocked community activities

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who do each activity regularly


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER
though they are also less likely to have a party affiliation (8\%) than many groups. In terms of political ideology, $34 \%$ identify as conservative, $36 \%$ as moderate, and $17 \%$ as liberal, making them less likely to identify as liberal than the general population.

## Technology and information habits

Members of this group are less likely than most other groups to own or use new technologies, particularly mobile technologies. However, most do go online, and many have tablets (24\%) or ereaders (20\%). Most of them watch television on a daily basis and interact with family and friends, but they are less likely to read the news or read books compared with the general population. Additionally, $28 \%$ say they have physical or health conditions that make reading difficult, much higher than the national benchmark of $16 \%$ (and the highest proportion of any group).

## Technology use

Overall, Rooted and Roadblocked respondent are less technologically engaged, which might be related to their age and higher rate of physical limitations. This is not to say they're offline-most ( $74 \%$ ) use the internet, for instance, and $63 \%$ of those internet users use social networking sitesbut the frequency and depth of their use is lower than that of the general population.

As will be discussed in the next section, they are also less likely to use library websites, and just $12 \%$ say it would be very easy to use the website of a local public library (many weren't sure).

## The Rooted and Roadblocked group's technology profile

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies

|  | Rooted and Roadblocked | All Americans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Internet use and online activities |  |  |
| Use the internet at least occasionally | 74 | 86 |
| Access the internet on cell phone, tablet or other mobile device | 51 | 68 |
| Broadband at home | 58 | 71 |
| Use the internet every day or almost every day (among internet users) | 78 | 82 |
| Use social networking site (among internet users) | 63 | 74 |
| Use Twitter (among internet users) | 10 | 20 |
| Mobile devices and e-readers |  |  |
| Have a cell phone (total, including smartphones) | 84 | 91 |
| Have a smartphone | 40 | 55 |
| Have a tablet computer | 24 | 35 |
| Have an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook | 20 | 24 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Defining information traits

Rooted and Roadblocked respondents are not terribly different from the general population in their media habits, though they are less likely to listen to music or the radio, or read the news or books, on a daily basis.

Rooted and Roadblocked information habits
\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who do each activity on a daily basis


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Looking closer at their reading and information habits, particularly their relationship to books and reading:

- As noted above, $58 \%$ say they read the news daily or almost every day, a slightly lower rate than the general population.
- $36 \%$ read books daily, and two-thirds (68\%) read a book in the past year-fewer than many other groups (especially higher engagement groups).
- Overall, Rooted and Roadblocked respondents read an average of 11 books in the past 12 months, and a median of 3 .
- $21 \%$ visit bookstores regularly, compared with $32 \%$ of the general population. They are also slightly more likely than other groups to say they usually buy their books ( $53 \%$ ), rather than borrow them (32\%).

Looking at their general information habits, a striking pattern emerged when we asked about the relative ease of completing various tasks. Less than half of the Rooted and Roadblocked group say they would be comfortable completing the various tasks on their own, and were particularly less likely to feel comfortable with the technology-related tasks (such as using social media or learning how to use new devices); almost a quarter (23\%) say they would need help with all of them.

## Rooted and Roadblocked views about learning new information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

| I like to learn new things. | Rooted and <br> Roadblocked | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I like hunting for facts and information that are hard to find. | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 69 |
| I get frustrated when I can't find information I need right away. | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 29 |
| I prefer to get information in audio and video format than reading text. | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 24 |
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## Ease of finding information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Rooted and <br> Roadblocked | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |$|$| News about politics and current events | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | 88 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Information about what's happening in your community | $\mathbf{8 2}$ | 85 |
| Reliable health information | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 79 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | $\mathbf{6 1}$ | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | $\mathbf{5 6}$ | 67 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Rooted and <br> Roadblocked | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{4 8}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 33 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## Relationship to public libraries

Public library use and experiences
Similar to other low engagement groups, $36 \%$ of the Rooted and Roadblocked have library cards, and they tend to have lower levels of personal use:

- Only a third (33\%) visited a public library in the last 12 months, and those that do visit the library do so infrequently-among these recent visitors, a majority (64\%) do so less than once a month.
- Just $6 \%$ of Rooted and Roadblocked respondents used a library website in the past year. Few feel comfortable interacting with libraries digitally: Though 49\% say it would be "very easy" to visit a public library in person, just $12 \%$ say it would be very easy to use the website of a local public library (many also weren't sure).
- Only $9 \%$ say their library use has increased in the past five years, while $60 \%$ say it has stayed about the same and $24 \%$ say it has decreased in that time.

They also don't know very much about their local libraries. Just $5 \%$ know all or most of the services their library offers (compared with $23 \%$ of general population), and only $16 \%$ of those who have ever visited a library say it is usually very easy to find what they are looking for when they visit. However, the vast majority (92\%) do know where the closest library is, with over threequarters ( $76 \%$ ) saying it is five miles away or closer.

## Public library attitudes

Despite their low rates of general library use, Rooted and Roadblocked have generally positive feelings about public libraries, and are more likely to say that having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. However, many also do not have the familiarity with libraries to give an opinion one way or another, particularly regarding more recent changes-for instance, $46 \%$ did not know whether or not libraries do a good job keeping up with new technologies.

They also have positive views about their libraries' physical presences, with $83 \%$ of those who have ever visited a library describing libraries are welcoming places, and $50 \%$ saying their library is a nice, pleasant place to be (though $25 \%$ say that they had never been inside).

# Views about public libraries in the community <br> \% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements 

|  | Rooted and <br> Roadblocked | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 77 |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | $\mathbf{7 5}$ | 69 |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an <br> important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 72 |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | 48 |

## Views about public libraries and technologies

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

| People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find | Rooted and <br> Roadblocked | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| most information on their own. | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 22 |
| Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies. | $\mathbf{1 0}$ | 11 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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As noted earlier, members of the Rooted and Roadblocked group stand out from the other two low engagement groups by the level of importance they ascribe to their local public library. Specifically, they are significantly more likely than other low engagement groups to say library's closing would impact them and their families, and their communities as a whole-at rates similar to the national benchmark.

Likewise, Rooted and Roadblocked members are only somewhat less likely than the general population to rank various individual services as "very important" to them and their families, though almost a third (32\%) say that none of these services are very important.


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## The importance of individual public library services: Rooted \& Roadblocked

Among Americans ages 16 and older who have ever used a public library or have a family member who uses a library, the \% who say each of these services is "very important" to them and their family

|  | Rooted and <br> Roadblocked | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Having a quiet and safe place to spend time, read or study | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | 51 |
| Access to free books and media | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 54 |
| Programs or events for children or teens | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 45 |
| Getting help from a librarian finding information | $\mathbf{4 0}$ | 44 |
| Resources to do research for school or work | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 47 |
| Using the library's internet, computers or printers | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 33 |
| Help applying for government programs, permits or licenses | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 29 |
| Programs or events for adults | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 28 |
| Help finding or applying for a job | $\mathbf{2 4}$ | 30 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Non-engagement

The final category in our library engagement typology represents the almost $15 \%$ of Americans ages 16 and older who have never personally used a public library in their lifetime. These "never" library users break out into two non-engagement groups: Distant Admirers and Off the Grid. Though neither group has any personal library experience, they have different opinions of libraries and differing views on libraries' roles in their communities.

The first non-engagement group, Distant
Admirers, represent the majority of nonusers. Despite their lack of personal library use, many say that someone else in their household is a library user-and may rely indirectly on many library services. And while many say that their library's closing would not affect them personally, most say it would have a major effect on their community.

Demographically, Distant Admirers include a higher proportion of men than the U.S. population as a whole, and tend to be slightly older than the national benchmark; adults in this group are also more likely to report lower levels of education and household income. Many do not follow the news or read often. One way their relationship to libraries stands out from the other non-engagement groups is that Distant Admirers who say that another member of their household uses the library are actually more likely than some of the library-using groups to value individual library services; however, those without even household exposure often do not view libraries as potentially relevant to their lives.

## Summary of non-user groups' indirect engagement with and views on public libraries

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group in each group

|  | Distant <br> Admirers | Off the <br> Grid | All <br> Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Indirect public library engagement | 37 | 19 | 61 |
| Have library card to public library | 40 | 17 | 54 |
| Someone else in household uses library | 66 | 32 | 72 |
| Distance to closest public library | 11 | 15 | 13 |
| Less than 5 miles away | 1 | 18 | 4 |
| 6-10 miles away | 0 | 9 | 1 |
| 11-20 miles away | 22 | 24 | 9 |
| More than 20 miles away | 28 | 10 | 29 |
| Not sure where closest public library is | 55 | 28 | 63 |
| Local public library's closing would have major impact on... |  |  |  |
| Themselves or their family | 60 | 23 | 67 |
| Their community as a whole | 85 | 53 | 90 |
| Local public library's closing would have any (major or minor) impact on... |  |  |  |
| Themselves or their family | 60 |  |  |
| Their community as a whole |  |  |  |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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Meanwhile, those in the Off the Grid group are disconnected in many ways-from their communities, from libraries, from technology and information sources. They're more likely to be older than the general population, more likely to be living in rural areas, and less likely to know the names of many of their neighbors; they also tend to have low levels of reading and technology use. Like Distant Admirers, they have never used a public library themselves, but few Off the Grid respondents have indirect exposure to libraries via someone else in their household; as a result, few know very much about libraries in general, and many say visiting one would be difficult even if they wanted to. They also tend to be more ambivalent about the role that libraries play in their communities, and have the least positive views of libraries among all the groups.

## Distant Admirers

## Overview

Distant Admirers make up three-quarters of the "no library experience" group. Despite their lack of personal library use, many say that someone else in their household is a library user-and may rely indirectly on many library services. And while many say that their library's closing would not affect them personally, most say it would have a major effect on their community.

Demographically, Distant Admirers include a higher proportion of men than the general population. As a group, they also tend to be slightly older than the national benchmark, and are more likely to report lower levels of education and household income. Many do not follow the news or read often ( $27 \%$ did not read a book last year). Interestingly, those who say that another member of their household uses the library are actually more likely than some other groups to value individual library services, but those without even household exposure may not see how a library would be relevant to their lives.

## Jump to:

- Who they are: Demographics and other traits
- Technology and information habits
- Relationship to public libraries


## Who they are

## Demographics

As a group, Distant Admirers include a higher proportion of men than the general population ( $56 \%$ are male, and $44 \%$ are female). This group is also more diverse compared with many other groups, as well as the population as a whole: over a quarter (27\%) are Hispanic, most of whom were born outside the United States. Distant Admirers are also more likely to be older than the general population, though not by much (their median age is 48). They also tend to report lower levels of education and lower household income: $42 \%$ live in households earning less than $\$ 30,000$ per year, and six in ten did not attend college.

## Distant Admirers: A demographic portrait

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013
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## Other lifestyle notes

Distant Admirers are more likely than the general population to live in a small city or town, and as with several other low or non-engagement groups, there are some indications that they are more isolated in their communities. For instance, as many as $37 \%$ say they do not know the names of any of their neighbors, compared with $26 \%$ of the general population. They are also less likely to describe their community positively ( $77 \%$ do, compared with $82 \%$ of the general population), and are less likely to feel they can have even a moderate effect on their community ( $62 \%$ say this, compared with $72 \%$ of the general population).

## Distant Admirers: Where they live



Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013
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Distant Admirers are also less likely to be regularly engaged in several community activities, part of the context for their lack of library engagement. In this they are similar to the low engagement group Rooted and Roadblocked, with almost half (48\%) saying they don't do any of these activities regularly.
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## Technology and information habits

In terms of their technology and information habits, Distant Admirers tend to be somewhat less connected than other groups. They are less likely to read regularly, and $27 \%$ read no books in the past year; as a group, they read an average of 8 books in the past 12 months, and a median of 3 . Most (72\%) do use the internet, but only about half (51\%) have home broadband. Many say they would need help using new technologies if they wanted to in the future, particularly if they wanted to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone.

## Technology use

Distant Admirers have lower levels of technology use then the American population as a whole, but not dramatically so. Most use the internet at least occasionally, and over half access the internet on mobile devices. Most internet users in this group go online on a daily basis, and twothirds use social media (though these rates are again lower than national levels). However, only about half ( $51 \%$ ) have broadband at home, compared with $71 \%$ of all Americans.

Distant Admirers' technology profile
$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies

|  | Distant <br> Admirers | All Americans |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Internet use and online activities | $\mathbf{7 2}$ | 86 |
| Use the internet at least occasionally | $\mathbf{5 4}$ | 68 |
| Access the internet on cell phone, tablet or other mobile device | $\mathbf{5 1}$ | 71 |
| Broadband at home | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | 82 |
| Use the internet every day or almost every day (among internet users) | $\mathbf{6 7}$ | 74 |
| Use social networking site (among internet users) | $\mathbf{1 6}$ | 20 |
| Use Twitter (among internet users) | $\mathbf{8 8}$ |  |
| Mobile devices and e-readers | $\mathbf{4 1}$ | 91 |
| Have a cell phone (total, including smartphones) | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 55 |
| Have a smartphone | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 35 |
| Have a tablet computer |  | 24 |
| Have an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook |  |  |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
pew research center

Defining information traits
In terms of media habits, Distant Admirers are more likely to watch television daily ( $84 \%$ do this on a daily or neardaily basis), but otherwise they are less likely to do each of the activities we asked about. For instance, only $48 \%$ read the newspaper daily, and just $30 \%$ read a book that often.

As a group, Distant Admirers read an average of 8 books in the past 12 months, and a median of 3 . Some $27 \%$ read no books in the past year, higher

Distant Admirers information habits
\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who do each activity on a daily basis


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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than national levels. Some $22 \%$ do visit bookstores regularly (lower than the $32 \%$ of the general population who do this), and they are a little more likely to borrow books (44\%) than general population-another $45 \%$ prefer to purchase their books.

Looking at their information attitudes more broadly, Distant Admirers are less likely to say they like to learn new things ( $58 \%$ say this, compared with $69 \%$ of the general population). In response to a separate question, they were more likely to say they feel overloaded with too much information these days, though most still say they like having so much information available. Many also say they would need help using new technologies if they wanted to in the future: Just about half (52\%) say they would feel comfortable using social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family on their own, but only $37 \%$ say they would be able to how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone without assistance.

## Distant Admirers' views about learning new information

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

|  | Distant <br> Admirers | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I like to learn new things. | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 69 |
| I like hunting for facts and information that are hard to find. | $\mathbf{2 7}$ | 29 |
| I get frustrated when I can't find information I need right away. | $\mathbf{2 6}$ | 24 |
| I prefer to get information in audio and video format than reading text. | $\mathbf{2 2}$ | 20 |
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## Ease of finding information

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Distant <br> Admirers | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| News about politics and current events | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 88 |
| Information about what's happening in your community | $\mathbf{7 8}$ | 85 |
| Reliable health information | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | 79 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | $\mathbf{6 5}$ | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | $\mathbf{6 2}$ | 67 |
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## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Distant <br> Admirers | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{5 2}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{3 4}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{2 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 4}$ |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, $2013 .$.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Relationship to public libraries

Despite their lack of personal library use, quite a few members this group say that someone else in their household is a library user-and there are indications that some Distant Admirers rely indirectly on many library services. They also tend to view libraries as vital community resources:

Even though many say that their library's closing would not affect them personally, most agree it would have a major effect on their community.

## Public library use and experiences

About 37\% Distant Admirers say they have library cards, a similar rate as low engagement groups. However, none have ever used a public library personally, either by visiting a public library or using a library website. They do have some household exposure: 40\% say that someone else in their household uses the library, and among parents of minors, $43 \%$ say their children have visited a library in the past year (though lower than the $70 \%$ of all parents who say this). Almost eight in ten (78\%) say they do know where the closest public library is, with most saying the closest library is within five miles of where they live.

## Public library attitudes

As their name implies, Distant Admirers generally have positive views of libraries, though their support is not quite as strong as library-using groups in some areas. They are somewhat more ambivalent about the current role of libraries in the digital age.

## Views about public libraries in the community

\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

|  | Distant Admirers | All Americans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | 68 | 77 |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | 66 | 72 |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | 64 | 69 |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | 47 | 48 |
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## Views about public libraries and technologies

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

| People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find <br> most information on their own. | Admirers | $\mathbf{3 5}$ | 22 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Americans |  |  |  |
| Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies. | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | $\mathbf{1 1}$ |  |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

What sets Distant Admirers apart from the other non-engagement group is that even though they don't personally use the library, they are just as likely as the general population to say that if the local public library closed it would have a "major" impact on them and their family (though they were also more likely to say it would have no impact). A majority ( $55 \%$ ) also say it would have a major impact on the community.

Among those with a household member who uses the library, Distant Admirers are actually more likely than the national benchmark to highly value almost all of the services libraries offer, even though they do not use those services themselves. Only $19 \%$ say that none of these services are very important.

## Distant Admirers' views on impact of local public library's closing

$\%$ of Americans ages $16+$ who say the closing of their local public library would have a "major" impact on...


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
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## The importance of individual public library services

Among Americans ages 16 and older who have ever used a public library or have a family member who uses a library, the \% who say each of these services is "very important" to them and their family

|  | Distant <br> Admirers | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Resources to do research for school or work | $\mathbf{5 7}$ | 47 |
| Access to free books and media | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 54 |
| Getting help from a librarian finding information | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 44 |
| Having a quiet and safe place to spend time, read or study | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 51 |
| Programs or events for children or teens | $\mathbf{5 3}$ | 45 |
| Help applying for government programs, permits or licenses | $\mathbf{4 3}$ | 29 |
| Help finding or applying for a job | $\mathbf{4 2}$ | 30 |
| Using the library's internet, computers or printers | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 33 |
| Programs or events for adults | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 28 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013 PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Off the Grid

## Overview

The Off the Grid group sits at the farthest end of the spectrum of library engagement. A small group representing just a quarter of non-library users and $4 \%$ of the entire U.S. population, they are disconnected in many ways-not only from libraries, but from their communities, from technology, and from information sources.

Off the Grid respondents generally have the least positive views of libraries among all the groups. In line with their extremely low levels of contact with public libraries, almost two-thirds (66\%) say that if the local public library closed it would have no impact on them and their family. However, one factor that sets the "Off the Grid" group apart from the others is how small of a role its respondents felt that libraries play in their communities. Fewer than one in three (28\%) of those "Off the Grid" say their library's closing would have a major impact on their community, and another one in four ( $25 \%$ ) say it would have no impact at all.

Off the Grid respondents tend to be older, and often live in rural areas; many don't know many of their neighbors, and they tend to have low levels of reading and technology use. Almost half don't use the internet, the highest rate of any group.

Like Distant Admirers, they have never used a public library themselves, but few in this group have regular exposure to libraries through someone else in their household; as a result, they don't know much about libraries, and many say visiting one would be difficult even if they wanted to.

## Jump to:

- Who they are: Demographics and other traits
- Technology and information habits
- Relationship to public libraries


## Who they are

## Demographics

As a group, Off the Grid respondents include a disproportionate amount of men compared with the general population, and also include more older adults (their median age is 52 ). They are more diverse than most other groups-though not to the same extent as Distant Admirers-and are more likely to have completed the survey's interview in Spanish ( $15 \%$ did so).

## Off the Grid: A demographic portrait

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group

■ OFF THE GRID all AMERICANS


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013
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Many Off the Grid respondents face economic barriers in their lives. They are more likely to have low levels of education, with a third of adults in this group reporting that they did not complete high school, and only one in ten having graduated college; they are also more likely to live in lower-income households, with $44 \%$ living in households earning less than \$30,000 per year. Less than half (46\%) are employed, either full- or part-time.

## Other lifestyle notes

Off the Grid members are far more rural than Distant Admirers, and in fact are one of the most rural groups in the typology. Hardly any members of this group live in a large city or suburb; $39 \%$ say they live in a small city or town, and $45 \%$ say they live in a rural area. They have also lived in their neighborhoods for longer- $37 \%$ have lived in their current neighborhood for more than 20 years-but almost four in ten (38\%) say they don't know the names of anyone who live close by.

Members of this group are less likely than several other groups to think their community is a "good" or "excellent place to live," and they are much less likely to feel like they can effect change in their communities: Only $50 \%$ of those in the "Off the Grid" group feel they can have even a moderate impact on their community, compared with $72 \%$ of the general population.

## Off the Grid: Where they live

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group

```
\square OFF THE GRID ALL AMERICANS
```

Region Community Type



Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Among all the groups, those "Off the Grid" are the least engaged in the community activities we asked about-and a majority say they don't do any of these activities regularly.

Politically, they are more likely to be both conservative: $15 \%$ identify as very conservative (twice the national rate).
However, another 16\% don't know their ideological leaning. Another 10\% have no party preference, and 5\% don't know their affiliation.

Off the Grid members' community activities
$\%$ of Americans 16 and older in each group who do each activity regularly


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Technology and information habits

Members of this group are less likely to use the internet or own mobile devices, behaviors that are strongly correlated with age, household income, and education. They are less engaged with various types of media, and are less comfortable navigating several types of information.

## Technology use

| $\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who use various technologies | Off the Grid | All Americans |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Internet use and online activities |  |  |
| Use the internet at least occasionally | 56 | 86 |
| Access the internet on cell phone, tablet or other mobile device | 45 | 68 |
| Broadband at home | 37 | 71 |
| Use the internet every day or almost every day (among internet users) | 68 | 82 |
| Use social networking site (among internet users) | 70 | 74 |
| Use Twitter (among internet users) | 19 | 20 |
| Mobile devices and e-readers |  |  |
| Have a cell phone (total, including smartphones) | 77 | 91 |
| Have a smartphone | 33 | 55 |
| Have a tablet computer | 19 | 35 |
| Have an e-reader like a Kindle or Nook | 12 | 24 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013. PEW RESEARCH CENTER

In keeping with their name, just over half (56\%) of the members of the "Off the Grid" group use the internet, far lower than the national benchmark of $86 \%$. They are likewise less likely to own or use mobile devices, with only $33 \%$ reporting that they own a smartphone.

## Defining information traits

We named this group "Off the Grid" due to their lower levels of engagement in many spheres. For instance, while they are as likely to watch television daily as the general population, they otherwise are far less likely to socialize with friends and family on a daily basis, listen to music or the radio, or follow the news. They are also less likely to say they enjoy keeping up with the news in general.

Off the Grid information habits
\% of Americans 16 and older in each group who do each activity daily
$\square$ Off the Grid All Americans


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Off the Grid respondents also have very low rates of engagement with books and reading:

- $51 \%$ read no books in the past year. (Among all Americans ages 16 and older, only $16 \%$ did not read any books in that time.)
- Only $25 \%$ say they read books daily (in any format), compared with $41 \%$ of the general population.
- Overall, Off the Grid respondents read an average of 5 books in the past 12 months; since a majority read no books in the past year, the median for the whole group is 0 . Among those who did read a book last year, the average number read is 10 and a median number is 4 , which is still lower than the national benchmark.
- About one in ten (11\%) Off the Grid respondents visit bookstores regularly, roughly a third of the national rate.


## Over half of those "Off the Grid" did not read any books in the past year

$\%$ of Americans ages $16+$ in each group who read the following number of books in the past year
$■$ Off The Grid All Americans


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224
Americans 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

Off the Grid respondents are less likely than most other groups to say they are comfortable learning new things, and they stand out for the obstacles they face in their abilities to navigate different types of information. Fewer than half say it would be easy for them to find information about government benefits and programs, for instance, and only $63 \%$ say they feel they could easily locate reliable health information.

## Off the Grid views about learning new information

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say each of the following statements "describes me very well"

| I like to learn new things. | Off the Grid | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I like hunting for facts and information that are hard to find. | $\mathbf{4 9}$ | 69 |
| I get frustrated when I can't find information I need right away. | $\mathbf{1 9}$ | 29 |
| I prefer to get information in audio and video format than reading text. | $\mathbf{1 8}$ | 24 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of finding information

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say it would be either "easy" or "very easy" to find each type of information

|  | Off the Grid | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Information about what's happening in your community | $\mathbf{7 4}$ | 85 |
| News about politics and current events | $\mathbf{6 8}$ | 88 |
| Reliable health information | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | 79 |
| Information about career opportunities, job training, or educational programs | $\mathbf{5 5}$ | 77 |
| Information about government benefits and programs | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 67 |

## PEW RESEARCH CENTER

## Ease of completing tasks

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they would be comfortable completing the following tasks on their own, without assistance

|  | Off the Grid | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Use social media like Facebook or Twitter to connect with friends or family | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 67 |
| Learn how to use a new device like a tablet computer or smartphone | $\mathbf{2 9}$ | 52 |
| Apply for government services or benefits | $\mathbf{2 1}$ | 43 |
| File your taxes | $\mathbf{1 5}$ | 33 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

[^10]Finally, a majority of Off the Grid respondents would need help completing various tasks, particularly those centered around using the internet or newer technologies. Some $36 \%$ would not be comfortable doing completing any of the tasks we asked about on their own.

## Relationship to public libraries

## Public library use and experiences

As with other non-engagement group, none of those in the Off the Grid category have ever visited a public library or used a library website. Some $19 \%$ do say they have library cards, although this is the lowest rate of any group in the typology.

Most (75\%) members of this group say they do know where the closest public library is, with a majority reporting that the closest library is more than five miles away from where they live. However, the data suggests many would encounter difficulties if they did want to use library resources: $24 \%$ say it would be difficult to visit a library in person, and $38 \%$ say it would be difficult for them to use a library website.

## Public library attitudes

Few Off the Grid respondents have positive views of libraries-setting them apart even from the other non-engagement group, Distant Admirers. For instance, less than half ( $45 \%$ ) Off the Grid respondents "strongly agree" that public libraries play an important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed by providing access to materials and resources, a view that is otherwise shared by $72 \%$ of the general population; only four in ten (39\%) strongly feel that public libraries improve the quality of life in a community. They are also more likely to feel that libraries not as necessary today for finding information due to technological advances, though due to their overall lack of exposure to public libraries, many were give an opinion one way or another.

## Off the Grid views about public libraries in the community

$\%$ of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

|  | Off the Grid | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading. | $\mathbf{5 8}$ | 77 |
| Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an <br> important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed. | $\mathbf{4 5}$ | 72 |
| Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community. | $\mathbf{3 9}$ | 69 |
| Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere. | $\mathbf{3 2}$ | 48 |

PEW RESEARCH CENTER
Views about public libraries and technologies
\% of Americans ages 16 and older in each group who say they "strongly agree" with each of the following statements

| People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find | Off the Grid | All Americans |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| most information on their own. | $\mathbf{3 3}$ | 22 |
| Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies. | $\mathbf{1 1}$ | 11 |

Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

In line with their extremely low levels of contact with public libraries, almost two-thirds (66\%) say that if the local public library closed it would have no impact on them and their family. Even more striking is how small of a role its respondents felt that libraries play in their communities: Only $28 \%$ of those "Off the Grid" say their library's closing would have a major impact on their community, and another $25 \%$ say it would have no impact at all. 7


Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.
PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^11]
## Methods

## Creating the Typology

This library engagement typology divides the public into seven groups of people who have ever used a public library, either in person or online, in addition to two groups of people who have never used a public library. The assignment of individuals to one of the seven core typology groups is based on a two-step analysis comprised of principal components (PCA) analysis to simplify multiple measures of library use, first by identifying and scoring respondents on underlying components of this behavior, and then using PCA scores to run a "cluster analysis" identifying internally consistent groups within the broader universe of library users.

## Library Users

The first step in identifying library user "types" involved streamlining a wide array of library use survey questions into core underlying components on which each respondent could be scored. Principal components analysis (PCA) identified seven library use components from a combination of 17 survey questions, many of which were multi-item and used different response scales. To account for variations across question format, PCA was run on a correlation matrix of 25 items from the 17 key survey questions about past and present library use, attitudes toward libraries, and access to library services. The final rotated solution (varimax rotation) identified seven components with Eigenvalues greater than 1, and together these components accounted for $47 \%$ of total variance. Component scores were calculated for each respondent for each of these seven components of library use. The combination of library use measures used in the final PCA was determined by running multiple models to see which produced the most consistent and recognizable components, based on measures of fit, face validity, prior Pew Internet research on library use, and extensive qualitative research with library patrons and non-patrons.

| Principal Component Analysis of Library Users |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Components Identified (descending Eigenvalues) | Questions Comprising Component Score (descending order of contribution to each factor) |  |  |
| Importance of public library to community as a whole | Q18 | d. | ll me if you AGREE or DISAGREE with each of the following statements blic libraries. <br> Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading <br> Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community <br> Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed <br> Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time |


|  | Q20 | finding elsewhere <br> If your local public library CLOSED, would that have a MAJOR impact, MINOR impact or NO IMPACT on your community as a whole? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personal/Household library use | Q17 <br> Q21 <br> Q20 <br> Q21 <br> Q25 | Do you have a library card for a public library? <br> Have you, personally, EVER used a public library WEBSITE, or is this something you've never done? IF YES, ASK: Have you done this in the past 12 months? <br> If your local public library CLOSED, would that have a MAJOR impact, MINOR impact or NO IMPACT on you and your family? <br> Have you, personally, EVER visited a public library or used a public library bookmobile IN PERSON, or is this something you've never done? IF YES, ASK: Have you done this in the past 12 months? <br> Does anyone else in your household ever visit a public library or bookmobile or use a public library website? |
| Personal experiences using library and services | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q19 } \\ & \text { Q30 } \\ & \text { Q19 } \\ & \text { Q27 } \\ & \text { Q28 } \end{aligned}$ | If you, personally, wanted to Use the website of a local public library, would that be very easy, easy, difficult or very difficult? <br> And in your experience, is it usually very easy, easy, difficult, or very difficult to find what you're looking for when you visit a public library? <br> If you, personally, wanted to visit a public library in person would that be very easy, easy, difficult or very difficult? <br> Overall, how well-informed do you feel you are about the different services and programs your public library offers? Do you feel like you know ALL or MOST of the services and programs your library offers, SOME of what it offers, NOT MUCH of what it offers, or NOTHING at all? <br> Based on your own experiences, would you say... [READ AND ROTATE 1-2] <br> 1 Public libraries are welcoming, friendly places (OR) <br> 2 Public libraries are NOT welcoming and friendly places (OR) <br> 3 (VOL.) Sometimes welcoming/friendly, sometimes not <br> 8 (DO NOT READ) Don't know <br> $9 \quad$ (DO NOT READ) Refused |
| Intensity of library use | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q23 } \\ & \text { Q22 } \end{aligned}$ | How often do you use a public library website? Would you say at least once a week, several times a month, at least once a month, or less often? <br> How often do you visit public libraries or bookmobiles in person? Would you say at least once a week, several times a month, at least once a month, or less often? |
| Familiarity with closest library location | Q32 Q31 | Do you happen to know where the CLOSEST public library is to where you currently live, or are you not sure? <br> If you had to describe the physical condition of the public library nearest you, would you say... |


|  |  | 8 (DO NOT READ) Don't know <br> 9 (DO NOT READ) Refused |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Proximity to public library | Q33 <br> LIVE1 | Approximately how many miles from your home is the closest public library? Would you say... <br> Which of the following BEST describes the place where you now live? <br> 1 A large city <br> A suburb near a large city <br> A small city or town (OR) <br> A rural area <br> (DO NOT READ) Don't know <br> (DO NOT READ) Refused |
| Negative perceptions of/experiences with public libraries | Q18 <br> Q24 <br> Q29 | Please tell me if you AGREE or DISAGREE with each of the following statements about public libraries. <br> b. Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up with new technologies <br> c. People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own <br> Over the past five years, has your own use of the public library, either in-person or online, [READ 1-3; ROTATE 1-2] <br> 1 Increased (OR) <br> 2 Decreased (OR) <br> 3 Stayed about the same <br> 8 (DO NOT READ) Don't know <br> 9 (DO NOT READ) Refused <br> Have you, personally, ever had a NEGATIVE experience using a public library, either in person or online? |

The typology groups were then created using a statistical procedure called "cluster analysis" which uses respondents' scores on all seven components to sort them into relatively distinct and internally consistent groups. The table below shows each library user group's mean score on the seven components identified through PCA.

|  | Importance <br> of library to <br> community | Personal/ <br> household <br> library use | Personal <br> experience <br> using <br> library/ <br> services | Intensity <br> of library <br> use | Familiarity <br> with <br> closest <br> library | Proximity <br> to public <br> library | Negative <br> perceptions/ <br> experiences |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Library Lovers <br> (n=653) | 0.19 | 0.76 | 0.35 | 1.91 | 0.10 | 0.14 | -0.18 |
| Info Omnivores <br> $(\mathrm{n}=1248)$ | 0.04 | 0.84 | 0.43 | -0.72 | -0.02 | 0.34 | -0.23 |
| Solid Center <br> (n=1893) | 0.11 | -0.48 | 0.01 | -0.09 | 0.46 | 0.22 | 0.30 |
| Print <br> Traditionalists <br> $(n=584)$ | 0.26 | -0.19 | 0.15 | -0.15 | 0.13 | -1.67 | 0.04 |
| Not For Me <br> $(n=238)$ | -3.02 | -0.22 | -0.45 | 0.11 | -0.01 | -0.86 | -0.23 |
|  <br> Restless <br> $(n=339)$ | 0.19 | -0.56 | 0.22 | -0.11 | -2.49 | 0.18 | 0.43 |
| Rooted and <br> Roadblocked <br> $(n=438)$ | 0.34 | -0.62 | -2.05 | -0.02 | 0.09 | 0.28 | -0.76 |

Cluster analysis is not an exact process. Different cluster solutions are possible using the same data depending on model specifications and even the order in which respondents are assessed. Several different cluster solutions were evaluated for their effectiveness in producing cohesive groups that were sufficiently distinct from one another, large enough in size to be analytically practical, and substantively meaningful. While each solution differed somewhat from the others, all of them shared certain key features. The final solution selected to produce the library use typology was judged to be strongest from a statistical point of view, most persuasive from a substantive point of view, and was representative of the general patterns seen across the various cluster solutions.

## Library "Non-Users"

Prior to conducting the PCA and cluster analysis, two measures of library use were used to separate library "users" from library "non-users." Users were defined as anyone who had ever used a public library in the past, either online or in person. Non-users were defined as those who reported never using a public library in either format. Non-users represent $13 \%$ of the overall population, and were held aside prior to analysis of library users.

Separating out non-users was critical from both a substantive and statistical standpoint. Substantively, non-users do not have the personal experience necessary to judge the value and performance of public libraries in the same way users can. As a result, they were filtered out of several survey questions that rely on personal experience with libraries. To account for this, a separate analysis was run on non-users using some but not all of the variables included in the user
typology. The goal was to determine if there are distinct types of non-users among the broader population of Americans who have no personal experience with public libraries. PCA run on a slightly smaller set of variables ( 9 rather than 17) identified six underlying components of library experience with Eigenvalues greater than 1, accounting for $62 \%$ of total variance. These components are similar to those found in the analysis of library users. The sixth "component" below is comprised of a single variable; for consistency and ease of analysis it was treated as a component and respondents were given a score which could then be used in the cluster analysis with the other five factor scores.

| Principal Component Analysis of Library Non-Users |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Components Identified (descending Eigenvalues) |  | Questions Comprising Component Score (descending order of contribution to each factor) |
| Broad importance of public libraries | Q18 | Please tell me if you AGREE or DISAGREE with each of the following statements about public libraries. <br> e. Public libraries are important because they promote literacy and a love of reading <br> a. Because it provides free access to materials and resources, the public library plays an important role in giving everyone a chance to succeed <br> f. Having a public library improves the quality of life in a community <br> d. Public libraries provide many services people would have a hard time finding elsewhere |
| Importance of public library to respondent and their own community | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Q20 } \\ & \text { Q17 } \\ & \text { Q20 } \end{aligned}$ | If your local public library CLOSED, would that have a MAJOR impact, MINOR impact or NO IMPACT on you and your family? <br> Do you have a library card for a public library? <br> If your local public library CLOSED, would that have a MAJOR impact, MINOR impact or NO IMPACT on your community as a whole? |
| Ease of access to library | Q19 <br> Q32 | If you, personally, wanted to [INSERT ITEMS IN ORDER], would that be very easy, easy, difficult or very difficult? <br> a. Visit a public library in person <br> b. Use the website of a local public library <br> Do you happen to know where the CLOSEST public library is to where you currently live, or are you not sure? |
| Declining value of libraries | Q18 | Please tell me if you AGREE or DISAGREE with each of the following statements about public libraries. <br> c. People do NOT need public libraries as much as they used to because they can find most information on their own <br> b. Public libraries have NOT done a good job keeping up |


|  | with new technologies |
| :---: | :---: |
| Proximity to public library | LIVE1 Which of the following BEST describes the place where you now live? <br> Q33 Approximately how many miles from your home is the closest public library? Would you say... <br> 1 Five miles or less <br> 2 Six to 10 miles <br> $3 \quad 11$ to 20 miles (OR) <br> 4 More than 20 miles away <br> 8 (DO NOT READ) Don't know <br> 9 (DO NOT READ) Refused |
| Someone else in household uses library | Q25 Does anyone else in your household ever visit a public library or bookmobile or use a public library website? |

Scores for the six components above were used in a cluster analysis which revealed two fairly distinct groups of "non-users." The table below shows each library non-user group's mean score on the six components identified through PCA.

|  | Importance of <br> public <br> libraries <br> broadly | Importance of <br> library to <br> me/my <br> community | Ease of <br> access to <br> library | Declining <br> value of <br> libraries | Proximity to <br> public library | Someone <br> else in <br> household <br> uses library |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distant <br> Admirers <br> $(n=615)$ | 0.17 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.16 | 0.24 | 0.17 |
| Off the Grid <br> $(n=203)$ | -0.49 | -0.62 | -0.14 | -0.47 | -0.70 | -0.50 |

Again, cluster analysis is not an exact process and several different cluster solutions were evaluated for their effectiveness in producing cohesive groups of non-users that were sufficiently distinct from one another, large enough in size to be analytically practical, and substantively meaningful. The final solution selected to produce the library use typology was judged to be strongest from a statistical point of view, most persuasive from a substantive point of view, and was representative of the general patterns seen across the various cluster solutions.

## Final Typology Groups

In combination, the above cluster analyses produced a typology of nine separate groups of individuals with fairly distinct and internally cohesive behaviors, perceptions, and attitudes related to public libraries. The table below provides unweighted demographic information for these nine groups. (For a similar table with weighted demographic information, see the Appendix.)

| Demographic Profile of Library Engagement Typology Groups |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ 16+ \\ (\mathrm{n}=6211) \end{gathered}$ | Library Lovers ( $\mathrm{n}=653$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Info } \\ & \text { Omni. } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=1248) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Solid } \\ \text { Cent } \\ (n=1893) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Print } \\ \text { Trad. } \\ (\mathrm{n}=584) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not For } \\ & \quad \text { Me } \\ & (n=238) \end{aligned}$ | Young \& Restless ( $\mathrm{n}=339$ ) | Rooted \& Roadblocked $\qquad$ | Distant Admirers ( $\mathrm{n}=615$ ) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Off the } \\ & \text { Grid } \\ & (n=203) \end{aligned}$ |
| Men | 46 | 34 | 42 | 49 | 40 | 54 | 53 | 43 | 53 | 54 |
| Women | 54 | 66 | 58 | 51 | 60 | 46 | 47 | 57 | 47 | 46 |
| Race/ethnicity |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| White, Non-Hispanic | 69 | 72 | 70 | 71 | 78 | 66 | 58 | 75 | 61 | 66 |
| Black, Non-Hispanic | 11 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 7 | 9 | 14 | 9 | 13 | 10 |
| Hispanic | 12 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 7 | 13 | 17 | 10 | 20 | 16 |
| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-17 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 5 | * | 3 | 1 |
| 18-29 | 15 | 14 | 18 | 14 | 13 | 13 | 35 | 6 | 13 | 15 |
| 30-49 | 26 | 31 | 31 | 23 | 27 | 24 | 25 | 17 | 23 | 19 |
| 50-64 | 30 | 33 | 28 | 31 | 33 | 28 | 20 | 32 | 25 | 31 |
| 65+ | 25 | 18 | 16 | 26 | 23 | 29 | 13 | 43 | 33 | 30 |
| Household income (18+ only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| < \$30,000/year | 28 | 27 | 21 | 26 | 33 | 33 | 36 | 31 | 36 | 40 |
| \$30,000-\$49,999 | 17 | 17 | 15 | 19 | 20 | 15 | 14 | 17 | 19 | 14 |
| \$50,000-\$74,999 | 13 | 15 | 15 | 14 | 13 | 8 | 10 | 12 | 12 | 4 |
| \$75,000+ | 28 | 27 | 38 | 30 | 23 | 24 | 27 | 18 | 18 | 17 |
| Education attainment (18+ only) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| No HS diploma | 8 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 8 | 11 | 11 | 12 | 14 | 18 |
| High school grad | 28 | 17 | 15 | 30 | 36 | 35 | 28 | 33 | 40 | 41 |
| Some College | 27 | 26 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 25 | 24 | 26 | 21 | 17 |
| College+ | 37 | 54 | 52 | 35 | 26 | 26 | 36 | 29 | 23 | 13 |
| Parent of minor |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Parent | 25 | 34 | 31 | 23 | 24 | 20 | 22 | 15 | 20 | 20 |
| Non-parent | 75 | 65 | 68 | 77 | 75 | 78 | 77 | 84 | 80 | 80 |
| Community type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Urban | 31 | 34 | 37 | 31 | 13 | 29 | 42 | 27 | 32 | 24 |
| Suburban | 50 | 50 | 53 | 51 | 45 | 47 | 46 | 53 | 46 | 40 |
| Rural | 20 | 16 | 10 | 18 | 41 | 24 | 12 | 20 | 23 | 36 |
| Student |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Student, FT or PT | 14 | 15 | 19 | 14 | 11 | 10 | 25 | 6 | 11 | 4 |
| Not a student | 86 | 85 | 80 | 86 | 89 | 89 | 75 | 94 | 89 | 96 |


| Employment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Full-time or part-time | 53 | 55 | 63 | 54 | 50 | 45 | 56 | 38 | 44 | 41 |
| Retired | 26 | 23 | 17 | 27 | 25 | 31 | 14 | 42 | 33 | 26 |
| Not employed | 15 | 17 | 15 | 13 | 16 | 14 | 23 | 13 | 15 | 19 |

Source: Pew Research Center Libraries Typology Survey, July 18—September 30, 2013. N=6224 Americans ages 16+. Interviews were conducted in English and Spanish and on landline and cell phones.

## Typology Survey

Prepared by Princeton Survey Research Associates International for the Pew Research Center's Internet \& American Life Project and the Gates Foundation

October 2013

## Summary

The Gates Typology Survey, sponsored by the Pew Research Center's Internet \& American Life Project and the Gates Foundation, obtained telephone interviews with a nationally representative sample of 6,224 people ages 16 and older living in the United States. Interviews were conducted via landline ( $\mathrm{nLL}=3,122$ ) and cell phone ( $\mathrm{nC}=3,102$, including 1,588 without a landline phone). The survey was conducted by Princeton Survey Research Associates International. The interviews were administered in English and Spanish by Princeton Data Source from July 18 to September 30, 20138. Statistical results are weighted to correct known demographic discrepancies. The margin of sampling error for results based on the complete set of weighted data is $\pm 1.4$ percentage points. Results based on the 5,320 internet users9 have a margin of sampling error of $\pm 1.5$ percentage points.

Details on the design, execution and analysis of the survey are discussed below.

## Design and data collection procedures

## Sample Design

A combination of landline and cellular random digit dial (RDD) samples was used to represent all adults in the United States who have access to either a landline or cellular telephone. Both samples were provided by Survey Sampling International, LLC (SSI) according to PSRAI specifications.

Numbers for the landline sample were drawn with probabilities in proportion to their share of listed telephone households from active blocks (area code + exchange + two-digit block number) that contained three or more residential directory listings. The cellular sample was not listassisted, but was drawn through a systematic sampling from dedicated wireless 100-blocks and shared service 100-blocks with no directory-listed landline numbers.

## Contact Procedures

[^12]Interviews were conducted from July 18 to September 30, 2013. As many as 10 attempts were made to contact every sampled telephone number. Sample was released for interviewing in replicates, which are representative subsamples of the larger sample. Using replicates to control the release of sample ensures that complete call procedures are followed for the entire sample. Calls were staggered over times of day and days of the week to maximize the chance of making contact with potential respondents. Interviewing was spread as evenly as possible across the days in field. Each telephone number was called at least one time during the day in an attempt to complete an interview.

For the landline sample, interviewers asked to speak with the youngest male or female ages 16 or older currently at home based on a random rotation. If no male/female was available, interviewers asked to speak with the youngest person age 16 or older of the other gender. This systematic respondent selection technique has been shown to produce samples that closely mirror the population in terms of age and gender when combined with cell interviewing.

For the cellular sample, interviews were conducted with the person who answered the phone. Interviewers verified that the person was age 16 or older and in a safe place before administering the survey. Cellular respondents were offered a post-paid cash reimbursement for their participation.

## Weighting and analysis

Weighting is generally used in survey analysis to compensate for sample designs and patterns of non-response that might bias results. The sample was weighted to match national adult general population parameters. A two-stage weighting procedure was used to weight this dual-frame sample.

The first stage of weighting corrected for different probabilities of selection associated with the number of adults in each household and each respondent's telephone usage patterns. 10 This weighting also adjusts for the overlapping landline and cell sample frames and the relative sizes of each frame and each sample.

The first-stage weight for the $i^{\text {th }}$ case can be expressed as:

[^13]\[

$$
\begin{aligned}
W T_{i}=\left[\left(\frac{S_{L L}}{F_{L L}}\right.\right. & \left.\times \frac{1}{A D_{i}} \times L L_{i}\right)+\left(\frac{S_{C P}}{F_{C P}} \times C P_{i}\right) \\
& \left.-\left(\frac{S_{L L}}{F_{L L}} \times \frac{1}{A D_{i}} \times L L_{i} \times \frac{S_{C P}}{F_{C P}} \times C P_{i}\right)\right]^{-1}
\end{aligned}
$$
\]

Where $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{LL}}=$ the size of the landline sample
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{LL}}=$ the size of the landline sample frame
$\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{CP}}=$ the size of the cell sample
$\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{CP}}=$ the size of the cell sample frame
$\mathrm{AD}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ Number of adults in household i
$L_{i}=1$ if respondent has a landline phone, otherwise $L L=0$.
$\mathrm{CP}_{\mathrm{i}}=1$ if respondent has a cell phone, otherwise $\mathrm{CP}=0$.

The second stage of weighting balances sample demographics to population parameters. The sample is balanced to match national population parameters for sex, age, education, race, Hispanic origin, region (U.S. Census definitions), population density, and telephone usage. The Hispanic origin was split out based on nativity; U.S born and non-U.S. born. The White, nonHispanic subgroup was also balanced on age, education and region.

The basic weighting parameters came from the US Census Bureau's 2011 American Community Survey data. ${ }^{11}$ The population density parameter was derived from Census 2010 data. The telephone usage parameter came from an analysis of the July-December 2012 National Health Interview Survey. ${ }^{12} 13$

Weighting was accomplished using Sample Balancing, a special iterative sample weighting program that simultaneously balances the distributions of all variables using a statistical technique called the Deming Algorithm. Weights were trimmed to prevent individual interviews from having too much influence on the final results. The use of these weights in statistical analysis ensures that the demographic characteristics of the sample closely approximate the demographic characteristics of the national population. Table 1 compares weighted and unweighted sample distributions to population parameters.

[^14]Table 1: Sample Demographics

|  | Parameter |  | Unweighted |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gender |  |  | Weighted |  |
| Male | 48.2 |  | 45.6 |  |
| Female | 51.8 |  | 54.4 |  |


| $\underline{\text { Age }}$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $16-24$ | 15.2 | 13.5 | 15.7 |
| $25-34$ | 17.0 | 11.7 | 16.7 |
| $35-44$ | 16.9 | 12.8 | 16.5 |
| $45-54$ | 18.4 | 16.7 | 18.2 |
| $55-64$ | 15.8 | 19.6 | 15.8 |
| $65+$ | 16.7 | 25.7 | 17.1 |

Education
HS Graduate or Less
Some College/Assoc. Degree College Graduate

Race/Ethnicity
White/not Hispanic
Black/not Hispanic
Hisp - US born
44.5
37.7
43.9
29.8
25.7
26.2
36.1
29.5
26.6

Hisp - born outside
66.3
71.1
66.6
11.5 71.
$7.5 \quad 6.5$
11.6
5.4
7.5

Other/not Hispanic
73
5.8
7.2
7.2

Region

| Northeast | 18.1 | 16.5 | 17.7 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Midwest | 21.5 | 24.3 | 22.2 |
| South | 37.1 | 36.7 | 37.1 |
| West | 23.3 | 22.4 | 23.1 |

County Pop. Density
1 - Lowest
19.9
24.1
20.3

2
20.0
20.5
20.2
$3 \quad 20$
$4 \quad 20$.
5 - Highes
Household Phone Use

| LLO | 6.5 | 4.9 | 6.0 |
| ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dual - few, some cell | 35.9 | 50.7 | 36.7 |
| Dual - most cell | 18.3 | 18.7 | 18.5 |
| CPO | 39.3 | 25.7 | 38.8 |

## Effects of Sample Design on Statistical Inference

Post-data collection statistical adjustments require analysis procedures that reflect departures from simple random sampling. PSRAI calculates the effects of these design features so that an appropriate adjustment can be incorporated into tests of statistical significance when using these data. The so-called "design effect" or deff represents the loss in statistical efficiency that results from unequal weights. The total sample design effect for this survey is $\mathbf{1 . 2 5}$.

PSRAI calculates the composite design effect for a sample of size $n$, with each case having a weight, $w_{i}$ as:

$$
\operatorname{deff}=\frac{n \sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}^{2}}{\left(\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i}\right)^{2}}
$$

formula 1

In a wide range of situations, the adjusted standard error of a statistic should be calculated by multiplying the usual formula by the square root of the design effect ( $\sqrt{ }$ deff). Thus, the formula for computing the $95 \%$ confidence interval around a percentage is:

$$
\hat{p} \pm\left(\sqrt{\text { deff }} \times 1.96 \sqrt{\frac{\hat{p}(1-\hat{p})}{n}}\right)
$$

## formula 2

where $\hat{p}$ is the sample estimate and $n$ is the unweighted number of sample cases in the group being considered.

The survey's margin of error is the largest $95 \%$ confidence interval for any estimated proportion based on the total sample - the one around $50 \%$. For example, the margin of error for the entire sample is $\pm 1.4$ percentage points. This means that in 95 out every 100 samples drawn using the same methodology, estimated proportions based on the entire sample will be no more than 1.4 percentage points away from their true values in the population. It is important to remember that sampling fluctuations are only one possible source of error in a survey estimate. Other sources, such as respondent selection bias, questionnaire wording and reporting inaccuracy, may contribute additional error of greater or lesser magnitude.

## Response Rate

Table 2 reports the disposition of all sampled telephone numbers ever dialed from the original telephone number samples. The response rate estimates the fraction of all eligible respondents in
the sample that were ultimately interviewed. At PSRAI it is calculated by taking the product of three component rates:14

- Contact rate - the proportion of working numbers where a request for interview was made15
- Cooperation rate - the proportion of contacted numbers where a consent for interview was at least initially obtained, versus those refused
- Completion rate - the proportion of initially cooperating and eligible interviews that were completed

Thus the response rate for the landline sample was 10 percent. The response rate for the cellular sample was 13 percent.

[^15]Sample Disposition

| Landline | $\underline{\text { Cell }}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | :--- |
| 116,709 | 61,496 | Total Numbers Dialed |
| 5,165 | 1,052 | Non-residential |
| 4,316 | 225 | Computer/Fax |
| 30 | 0 | Cell phone |
| 70,002 | 25,290 | Other not working |
| 5,084 | 497 | Additional projected not working |
| 32,113 | 34,432 | Working numbers |
| $27.5 \%$ | $56.0 \%$ | Working Rate |
|  |  |  |
| 1,695 | 166 | No Answer / Busy |
| 8,341 | 6,795 | Voice Mail |
| 116 | 50 | Other Non-Contact |
| 21,961 | 27,421 | Contacted numbers |
| $68.4 \%$ | $79.6 \%$ | Contact Rate |
|  |  |  |
| 843 | 3,543 | Callback |
| 17,666 | 19,219 | Refusal |
| 3,452 | 4659 | Cooperating numbers |
| $15.7 \%$ | $17.0 \%$ | Cooperation Rate |
|  |  |  |
| 204 | 228 | Language Barrier |
| 0 | 1,250 | Child's cell phone |
| 3,248 | 3,181 | Eligible numbers |
| $94.1 \%$ | $68.3 \%$ | Eligibility Rate |
| 126 | 78 | Break-off |
| 3,122 | 3,103 | Completes |
| $96.1 \%$ | $97.5 \%$ | Completion Rate |
| $10.3 \%$ | $13.2 \%$ | Response Rate |
|  |  |  |


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ All references to libraries in this report relate to public libraries in the United States.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ For more information, see our libraries research timeline: http://libraries.pewinternet.org/about/research-timeline/

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ For a more traditional analysis of Americans' library use and views, see our companion report, "How Americans Value Public Libraries in Their Communities": http://libraries.pewinternet.org/2013/12/11/libraries-in-communities/

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ See also our companion report, "How Americans Value Public Libraries in Their Communities."
    http://libraries.pewinternet.org/2013/12/11/libraries-in-communities/

[^4]:    ${ }^{5}$ Note on charts: "All Americans" represents all Americans ages 16 and older, and is included for reference; members of each group are also represented in the "All Americans" data.

[^5]:    Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^6]:    ${ }^{6}$ NOTE: uses figures for ALL Americans (including non-book readers).

[^7]:    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^8]:    Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013.

    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^9]:    Source: Pew Research Center's Library Services Survey of 6,224 Americans ages 16 and older conducted July 18-September 30, 2013

[^10]:    PEW RESEARCH CENTER

[^11]:    ${ }^{7}$ Note: There were too few members of the sample who say another member of their household used the library to analyze their responses about the relative importance of individual library services.

[^12]:    ${ }^{8}$ Twenty-one pretest interviews conducted on July 18 and 19 were included in the final data file since no changes were made to the questionnaire. Full data collection started on July 22.
    ${ }^{9}$ Internet user is defined as those accessing the internet occasionally, sending or receiving email, and/or accessing the internet on a cell phone, tablet, or other mobile handheld device.

[^13]:    ${ }^{10}$ i.e., whether respondents have only a landline telephone, only a cell phone, or both kinds of telephone.

[^14]:    ${ }^{11}$ ACS analysis was based on all people ages 16 and older excluding those living in institutional group quarters (GCs).
    ${ }^{12}$ Blumberg SJ, Luke JV. Wireless substitution: Early release of estimates from the National Health Interview Survey, JulyDecember, 2012. National Center for Health Statistics. June 2013.
    ${ }^{13}$ The phone use parameter used for this $16+$ sample is the same as the parameter we use for all $18+$ surveys. No adjustment was made to account for the fact that the target population for this survey is slightly different than a standard $18+$ general population survey.

[^15]:    ${ }^{14}$ PSRAI's disposition codes and reporting are consistent with the American Association for Public Opinion Research standards.
    ${ }^{15}$ PSRAI assumes that 75 percent of cases that result in a constant disposition of "No answer" or "Busy" are actually not working numbers.

