

## Methods in Detail: August-September 2009 Pew Global Attitudes Survey

### About the Survey

Results for the survey are based on telephone and face-to-face interviews conducted under the direction of Princeton Survey Research Associates International. All surveys are based on national samples.

The descriptions below show the margin of sampling error based on all interviews conducted in that country. For results based on the full sample in a given country, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling and other random effects is plus or minus the margin of error. In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

Country: **Britain**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: English  
Fieldwork dates: Sept. 1 – Sept. 11, 2009  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of error:  $\pm 3.5$  percentage points  
Representative: Telephone households (including cell phone only households)

Country: **Bulgaria**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Bulgarian  
Fieldwork dates: Aug. 27 – Sept. 19, 2009  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Czech Republic**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Czech  
Fieldwork dates: Aug. 30 – Sept. 14, 2009  
Sample size: 1,145  
Margin of error:  $\pm 3.5$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **France**  
Sample design: Quota  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: French  
Fieldwork dates: Sept. 8 – Sept. 15, 2009  
Sample size: 1,002  
Margin of error:  $\pm 3.5$  percentage points  
Representative: Telephone households (including cell phone only households)

Country: **Germany**  
Sample design: Probability (east Germany oversample)  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: German  
Fieldwork dates: Aug. 31 – Sept. 19, 2009 400 in west Germany and 400 in east Germany were re-contacted and asked questions Q2, Q3 and Q4. The 400 re-contacted respondents in the east were asked Q9a through Q9j (Oct. 2-7, 2009)  
Sample size: 1,600  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points,  $\pm 4.5$  percentage points in the west and the east  
Representative: Telephone households (excluding cell phone only households – less than 5%)

Country: **Hungary**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Hungarian  
Fieldwork dates: Aug. 31 – Sept. 13, 2009  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of error:  $\pm 5.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Italy**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Italian  
Fieldwork dates: Sept. 10 – Sept. 22, 2009  
Sample size: 1,005  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.5$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Lithuania**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Lithuanian  
Fieldwork dates: Sept. 3 – Sept. 19, 2009  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Poland**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Polish  
Fieldwork dates: Sept. 1 – Sept. 15, 2009  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Russia**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Russian  
Fieldwork dates: Aug. 28 – Sept. 24, 2009  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Slovakia**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Slovak  
Fieldwork dates: Sept. 2 – Sept. 17, 2009  
Sample size: 1,001  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Spain**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: Spanish  
Fieldwork dates: Aug. 31 – Sept. 16, 2009  
Sample size: 1,001  
Margin of error:  $\pm 3.5$  percentage points  
Representative: Telephone households (including cell phone only households)

Country: **Ukraine**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Russian, Ukrainian  
Fieldwork dates: Sept. 2 – Sept. 10, 2009  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **United States**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Telephone adults 18 plus  
Languages: English  
Fieldwork dates: Sept. 10 – Sept. 15, 2009  
Sample size: 1,006  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Telephone household in continental U.S. (including cell phone only households)

## Methods in Detail: Spring 1991 Times Mirror Center Pulse of Europe Survey

### About the Survey

Results for the survey are based on face-to-face interviews. The descriptions below show the margin of sampling error based on all interviews conducted in that country. For results based on the full sample in a given country, one can say with 95% confidence that the error attributable to sampling and other random effects is plus or minus the margin of error. In addition to sampling error, one should bear in mind that question wording and practical difficulties in conducting surveys can introduce error or bias into the findings of opinion polls.

Country: **Britain**  
Sample design: Quota  
Mode: Face-to-Face adults 16 plus  
Languages: English  
Fieldwork dates: May 2 – May 13, 1991  
Sample size: 1,107 (Form A = 549, Form B = 558)  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points total sample,  $\pm 5.5$  percentage points each form  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Bulgaria**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Bulgarian  
Fieldwork dates: May 8 – May 16, 1991  
Sample size: 1,267  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Czechoslovakia**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Czech  
Fieldwork dates: May 10 – May 31, 1991  
Sample size: 920 (Czech Republic = 638, Slovak Republic = 282)  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points total sample,  $\pm 5.0$  percentage points in the Czech Republic,  $\pm 7.0$  percentage points in the Slovak Republic  
Representative: Adult population (of Czechoslovakia)

Country: **France**  
Sample design: Quota  
Mode: Face-to-Face adults 18 plus  
Languages: French  
Fieldwork dates: April 22 – May 4, 1991  
Sample size: 1,035 (Form A = 518, Form B = 517)  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points total sample,  $\pm 5.5$  percentage points each form  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Germany**  
Sample design: Probability (east Germany oversample)  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: German  
Fieldwork dates: April 22 – May 31, 1991  
Sample size: 1,480 (West = 760, East = 720)  
Margin of error:  $\pm 3.0$  percentage points total sample,  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points in the west and the east  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Hungary**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Hungarian  
Fieldwork dates: May 10 – May 16, 1991  
Sample size: 1,000  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Italy**  
Sample design: Quota  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Italian  
Fieldwork dates: April 30 – May 8, 1991  
Sample size: 1,051 (Form A = 536, Form B = 515)  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points total sample,  $\pm 5.5$  percentage points each form  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Lithuania**  
Sample design: Probability; oversamples of Russians (68) and Poles (87)  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 16 plus  
Languages: Lithuanian, Russian  
Fieldwork dates: April 26 – May 23, 1991  
Sample size: 501  
Margin of error:  $\pm 6.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Poland**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Polish  
Fieldwork dates: May 10 – May 20, 1991  
Sample size: 1,496  
Margin of error:  $\pm 3.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Russian Soviet Federative Socialist Republic**  
Sample design: Probability; oversamples in Moscow (378) and Leningrad (215)  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Russian  
Fieldwork dates: April 15 – May 5, 1991  
Sample size: 1,123  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population in the European part of the Russian Republic

Country: **Spain**  
Sample design: Quota  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Spanish  
Fieldwork dates: April 25 – May 26, 1991  
Sample size: 1,003 (Form A = 503, Form B = 500)  
Margin of error:  $\pm 4.0$  percentage points total sample,  $\pm 5.5$  percentage points each form  
Representative: Adult population

Country: **Ukrainian Soviet Socialist Republic**  
Sample design: Probability  
Mode: Face-to-face adults 18 plus  
Languages: Russian, Ukrainian  
Fieldwork dates: April 15 – May 5, 1991  
Sample size: 586  
Margin of error:  $\pm 5.0$  percentage points  
Representative: Adult population